



Zhi Yang Ng¹, Shaun Shi Yan Tan², Alexandre Gaston Lellouch¹, Curtis Lisante Cetrulo Jr¹, Harvey Wei Ming Chim³

¹Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; ²Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Glasgow, Scotland, UK; ³Division of Plastic Surgery, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

Background Upper extremity soft tissue defects with complete circumferential involvement are not common. Coupled with the unique anatomy of the upper extremity, the underlying etiology of such circumferential soft tissue defects represent additional reconstructive challenges that require treatment to be tailored to both the patient and the wound. The aim of this study is to review the various options for soft tissue reconstruction of complete circumferential defects in the upper extremity.

Methods A literature review of PubMed and MEDLINE up to December 2016 was performed. The current study focuses on forearm and arm defects from the level at or proximal to the wrist and were assessed based on Tajima's classification (J Trauma 1974). Data reviewed for analysis included patient demographics, causality, defect size, reconstructive technique(s) employed, and postoperative follow-up and functional outcomes (when available).

Results In accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines, 14 unique articles were identified for a total of 50 patients (mean = 28.1 years). Underlying etiologies varied from extensive thermal or electrical burns to high impact trauma leading to degloving or avulsion, crush injuries, or even occur iatrogenically after tumor extirpation or extensive debridement. Treatment options ranged from the application of negative pressure wound dressings to the opposite end of the spectrum in hand transplantation.

Conclusions With the evolution of reconstructive techniques over time, the extent of functional and aesthetic rehabilitation of these complex upper extremity injuries has also improved. The proposed management algorithm comprehensively addresses the inherent challenges associated with these complex cases.

Keywords Upper extremity / Reconstructive surgical procedures / Soft tissue injuries

Received: 3 Sep 2016 • Revised: 1 Jan 2017 • Accepted: 6 Feb 2017 pISSN: 2234-6163 • eISSN: 2234-6171 • https://doi.org/10.5999/aps.2017.44.2.117 • Arch Plast Surg 2017;44:117-123

INTRODUCTION

The upper extremity is functionally and anatomically complex. Its role in our daily interactions with the external environment translates to an increased susceptibility to various forms of trauma including burns (thermal, electrical), degloving or avulsion and crush injuries of the skin envelope. Occasionally, the resultant soft tissue defect may involve complete circumferential de-

Copyright © 2017 The Korean Society of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeons

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/

licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. | www.e-aps.org

Curtis Lisante Cetrulo Jr Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, 15 Parkman St., WACC #435, Boston, MA 02112, USA Tel: +1-617-643-7294 Fax: +1-617-643-7316 E-mail: ccetrulo@partners.org

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

struction of the overlying skin. Such defects may also result iatrogenically following oncological resection (e.g., neoplastic processes, congenital nevi) and surgical debridement of necrotic tissues (e.g., due to extensive infection). In severe cases, the underlying bony and tendinous structures may be involved as well. These complete circumferential defects are "three-dimensional" in nature with concomitant involvement of the radial, ulnar, volar and dorsal surfaces of the affected limb. In addition to defect size, further considerations such as volume, mobility and pliability are necessary for adequate soft tissue coverage and functional outcomes. Moreover, the nature of the original insult would often preclude the use of local reconstructive options due to proximity to the zone of injury. Treatment options can therefore be limited and represent a particular challenge.

Various grading schemes of these circumferential injuries have been reported previously. Tajima described a classification system for degloving or avulsion and heat-press injuries with increasing depths of anatomic involvement from the deep fascia to periosteum and bone which could then be addressed with skin grafting and/or additional flap transfers respectively [1]. Waikakul [2] then described a system with increasing severity of involvement and introduced revascularization of the arterial and venous systems. More recently, Lo et al. [3] introduced a classification scheme that builds on Waikakul's by designating anatomical areas of involvement distal and proximal to the wrist that are considered "non-expendable" and "expendable" respectively due to involvement, or not, of glabrous skin of the palm and digits.

In recent times, various wound care adjuncts such as negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) and skin substitutes have received greater recognition because evidence suggests that their use in delayed reconstruction did not affect overall outcomes [4] and in fact, may even simplify the final reconstruction required [5]. In contrast, the use of tissue expansion techniques can further optimize the final aesthetic and functional outcomes in an elective setting. Therefore, the management of complete circumferential injuries of the upper extremity requires further clarification due to the multitude of underlying etiologies and is the aim of this review.

METHODS

Our study focuses on forearm and arm defects from the level at and proximal to the wrist (similar to Group 3 defects described by Lo et al. [3]). A literature review up to December 2016 was performed using PubMed and MEDLINE. Search terms included "upper extremity degloving", "forearm degloving", "upper extremity circumferential", "upper extremity circumferential defect", "extremity circumferential defect", "forearm circumferential defect" and "wrist circumferential." All English language articles were screened and abstracts, when provided, were individually reviewed for relevance to upper extremity soft tissue defects with complete circumferential involvement. Relevant data extracted include patient demographics, causality, defect size, reconstructive technique(s) employed, and postoperative follow-up and functional outcomes. We then applied Tajima's classification [1] to categorize the extent of soft tissue involvement described.

Studies were excluded if (1) the circumferential defect(s) involved only a single digit (including the thumb) or multiple digits not in-continuity because such problems are considered a separate topic of its own, (2) the level of injury was distal to the wrist and salvage attempts are based more on arterio-venous shunting [2,3] of the degloved or avulsed skin segment, and (3) if there was inadequate data to determine the exact number or extent of cases with complete circumferential involvement. From here, all complete circumferential soft tissue defects of the upper extremity will be referred to simply as "circumferential".

RESULTS

A total of 586 articles were identified based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines. After removing duplicate entries and applying our inclusion and exclusion criteria, 14 unique articles were included in the final analysis (Table 1) [6-19].

Overall, 50 patients with a mean age of 28.1 years (range, 4.5 months–70 years) were identified. Trauma (i.e., burns, avulsion, degloving, crush injuries) was the most common cause (68%) of circumferential defects followed by iatrogenic causes (e.g. resections for congenital nevi or neoplasia; extensive debridement). The extent of circumferential defect reported varied from Tajima 1 (superficial to deep fascia), 2 (superficial to periosteum), and 3 (bone affected) with various reconstructive techniques employed including varying combinations of split-thickness skin grafts (STSGs), full-thickness skin grafts (FTS-Gs), tissue expanded flaps (random, pedicled, free), various flap options (greater omentum, paraumbilical, rectus abdominis, lower abdominal, thoracoabdominal, latissimus dorsi etc.) and even composite tissue allotransplantation.

For congenital nevi with circumferential involvement of the upper extremity, tissue expansion of local and/or regional options (from the abdomen, flank, back) is typically performed prior to excision and reconstruction of the resultant Tajima 1 defects. Because the underlying, deeper structures such as the tendons and muscles are usually spared, functional outcomes

Table 1. Summary of literature review on soft tissue reconstruction of upper extremity circumferential defects Defect/Flap size Author, Year Age (yr) Reconstruction Outcome Etiology (n) Tajima classification [1] Nazerani et al. [6], 21.9 Burns (2) 6% TBSA Two-stage, pre-expanded latissimus Secondary operations (two to five); 2010 (4.5 mo-64 yr) Congenital nevi (2) 4%, 7% TBSA dorsi musculocutaneous flaps (free & patients were "satisfied", no follow-up Avulsion (1) 5% TBSA pedicled) described Tajima 1–3 Liu et al. [7], 2012 13 Congenital nevi (1) 10% TBSA Multi-stage, pre-expanded local/ random Eight operations total; Normal function Tajima 1 patterned tissue flaps at 8 months follow-up Shachar et al. [8], 1.8 Congenital nevi (8) 122 ± 63.2 Three-stage, pre-expanded, bi-pedicled "Satisfactory" functional and cosmetic (10-38 mo) outcomes at 36 months follow-up (57-238) cm² "sleeve" flap from lateral abdomen 2016 and/or flank Tajima 1 Ding et al. [9], 2016 27×15 cm 4 Congenital nevi (1) Two-stage, pre-expanded, pedicled flank "Good" functional and cosmetic outcomes at 6 months follow-up Tajima 1 flap Ng et al. [10], 2016 68 24×10 cm Muscle-sparing latissimus dorsi latrogenic (post-Revision surgery (2); pre-morbid oncological Tajima 3 flap+arthrodesis function preserved at 23 months resection) follow-up Balakrishnan et al. 28,44 Burns (2) Escharotomy, excision, STSG Chronic lymphedema; [11], 2004 Tajima 1–2 Limited hand function at 2-3 years follow-up Eberlin et al. [12], "Excellent" functional outcome at 14 44 Burns (1) Upper extremity allotransplant Tajima 3 2014 months follow-up ? Pedicled greater omentum+arterial Shen et al. [13], 46 "Reasonably good" Electrocution 1990 Tajima 2 bypass (between palmar and no specific follow-up described gastroepiploic arteries) Hu et al. [14], 2013 21 (19-32) Electrocution (6) PF 22 \times 11 Partial rectus abdominis muscle/ Liquefaction, infection (2): interpositional $(19 \times 10 \text{ to } 24 \times 11 \text{ cm})$ PF+LAF vein grafting to radial artery (2); no report of functional outcomes at 6 to LAF 12×10 $(10 \times 10 \text{ to } 14 \times 11 \text{ cm})$ 12 months follow-up Tajima 1–3 Sanguinetti [15], 3, 24 Degloving, ? Defatting and replacement of degloved Secondary thoracoabdominal flap for 1977 avulsion (2) Tajima 3 flap as FTSG (1); FTSG+STSG contracture release; "limited" functional recovery, no specific followup described Jeng and Wei [16]. 33 (8-62) Dealovina (12) FTSG from defatted and avulsed flap Superficial necrosis (5), contracture ? Tajima 2 1997 release (2); "satisfied" functional outcome at 2 to 4 years follow-up 15×25 cm Hazani et al. [17], 24 Crush (1) Dorsal thoracic fascial flap Secondary operation (\times 1); "Excellent" 2009 Tajima 3 functional outcome at 6 months follow-up Seitz et al. [18], 13 Pitbull mauling (1) 750 cm² Tissue expansion, scapular free Secondary revision with STSG excision, 2009 54 Tajima 3 flap+STSG omental free flap new STSG; stable function at 7 months follow-up 400 cm² Serial debridement, NPWT, omental free Crush-dealovina+ Undergoing therapy at 6 months followburn (1) Tajima 3 flap+STSG up Kim et al. [19], 56.3 (33-70) Crush (4) 16×9 Latissimus dorsi perforator flap Partial flap loss (1); mean 2014 Embolus (1) $(10 \times 8 \text{ to } 28 \times 13 \text{ cm})$ DASH score 18.2 at mean Cellulitis (2) follow-up of 11.7 months Tajima 1–3 TBSA, total body surface area; STSG, split-thickness skin graft; PF, paraumbilica; LAF, lower abdominal flap; FTSG, full-thickness skin graft; NPWT, negative pressure wound

therapy; DASH, disabilities of the arm, shoulder and hand.

are typically "good" or "normal" although follow-up, when available, remains limited in the literature (6 to 36 months) [6-9]. Functional preservation becomes increasingly challenging when Tajima 3 defects result following R0 resection for neoplastic processes, but can still be sufficiently addressed [10].

In terms of circumferential involvement in thermal burns resulting in Tajima 1 to 3 defects, the sequelae of chronic lymphedema [11] and scar contractures [12] oftentimes result in very poor functional outcomes due, in part, to involvement of the deep fascia, muscles and tendons, as well as the application of STSGs which have a high propensity for developing scar contractures. Similarly, electrical burns result in Tajima 1 to 3 defects with deep tissue involvement, especially that of the vasculature, which portends especially poor outcomes, both in the early and late postoperative period [13,14]. This subgroup of patients has also seen the introduction and demonstrated utility

of hand allotransplantation as a radical form of secondary treatment when conventional reconstructive surgery has failed to restore adequate function [12].

Mechanical trauma in the form of degloving, avulsion and/or crush injuries can oftentimes result in circumferential defects of the upper extremity due to machinery and even animal attacks. There was a noticeable trend in reconstructive techniques employed. Previously, the degloved/avulsed segment, if viable, would be applied back onto the affected extremity as a full thickness skin graft (FTSG) with or without additional STSGs. However, such an approach was invariably associated with the related complications of superficial flap necrosis (up to 42%) and scar contractures with limited functional restoration [15,16]. Since then, various reconstructive techniques including fascial flaps [17], omental flaps [18], and fasciocutaneous flaps [19] have been reported with the potential to attain both excellent functional and cosmetic outcomes for Tajima 1 to 3 defects. This subgroup of patients has also seen the introduction of various adjuncts including tissue expansion and negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) to improve the likelihood of achieving successful outcomes.

DISCUSSION

The current study has shown that circumferential defects of the upper extremity may develop subsequent to various etiologies, each of which will necessitate a different approach to management (Fig. 1).

Circumferential sequelae resulting from large surface area burns, defects secondary to trauma or tumor resection, and conditions such as giant congenital pigmented nevi can now be better addressed in a staged setting through tissue expansion of regional and/or distant sites wherein the relative lack of healthy tissue obviates the option of local soft tissue coverage. Management of these complex defects in the acute setting is based on established principles and is detailed elsewhere [20].

One of the principal challenges of complete circumferential defects is the risk of proximal kinking of the pedicle during inset, leading to flap compromise and even, loss [21]. In the setting of electrical burns, the higher risk of associated thrombotic and aneurysmal complications [22] have led to the design of multiple pedicled flaps, rather than a single free tissue transfer, so as to "sandwich" the defect circumferentially and to create a tight seal

Fig. 1. Algorithmic approach to upper extremity circumferential defects



Algorithmic approach to upper extremity circumferential defects. NPWT, negative pressure wound therapy; STSG, split thickness skin graft; FTSG, full thickness skin graft.

to minimize the risks of deadspace and infections. However, with increasing knowledge of flaps and vascular anatomy, recent studies have shown that carefully designed fascial and fasciocutaneous flaps can be applied reliably in complete "wrap-around" fashion [17,19].

While emergency free flaps used to be the maxim when underlying bone and tendons are exposed (i.e., Tajima 3 defects) [23], recent evidence suggests that NPWT in the form of vacuum assisted closure (VAC) devices may potentially help simplify the final reconstructive option (i.e., STSGs or transposition flaps rather than free tissue transfers) required without compromising on the final clinical outcome by promoting granulation tissue formation to prepare the wound bed for definitive closure [5]. Moreover, there are now increasing reports on the use of VAC devices in a circumferential manner in burns [24-26] and lower extremity degloving [27,28]. Additionally, VAC dressings have proven utility in helping to secure and improve STSG take [29], and has been used in clinical hand burns to prevent further progression of thermal injury through increased perfusion and reduction of edema [30]. Taken together, NPWT may, presumably, confer a similar benefit in traumatic, upper extremity circumferential defects requiring soft tissue reconstruction.

When donor sites for further STSGs are unavailable due to concomitant injuries or existing co-morbidities preclude lengthy surgeries, delayed wound closure can be achieved by using dermal regeneration templates such as Integra first due to its wide availability, followed by STSGs in a secondary setting as demonstrated by Lohana et al. [31] where upper limb involvement was most common (45%) in total body surface area burns of up to 64%. Similar to STSGs, bolstering of these skin substitutes with VAC therapy is well-described [29].

Finally, despite much effort and advancement in upper limb preservation following circumferential injury, the resultant scarring from STSGs or burn contractures may severely compromise and limit the final extent of functional recovery despite multiple secondary revision procedures such as scar revisions, contracture releases, tendon transfers, tenolysis etc. With the advent of vascularized composite allotransplantation (VCA), such residual circumferential defects and the resulting sequelae can now be comprehensively addressed through upper extremity transplantation [12]. Such functional outcomes in VCA are at least equivalent to, if not superior to the use of prosthetics whereby their usage is often abandoned altogether in up to a third of upper extremity amputees [32].

There remains a paucity of literature however, regarding postoperative management of circumferential upper extremity defects. Indiscriminate pressure from applying a circumferential dressing can result in arterial occlusion and/or venous congestion to the flap, concluding in partial or complete flap loss [33]. Mashhadi and Loh [34] described a useful method of applying a dressing, which involves interposing a regular 6-inch crepe bandage over the top of the wound covered with plain gauze. Following this, the authors apply the circumferential bandage. The 6-inch crepe bandage is removed before the final wrap, therein allowing an extra space between the flap wound and bandage hence creating enough slack. This ensures the dressing is secure enough to protect the flap while concurrently reducing the risk of occlusion. Margulis et al. [35] have established a postoperative protocol of a circumferential adhesive bandage over the arm, shoulders and trunk for several days, with gradual increments of motion ranging over 7 to 10 days. Ultimately, adherence to the basic tenets of postoperative wound care in reconstructive surgery will provide favorable outcomes.

Taken together, our article provides a review of the currently available evidence underlying the management of circumferential upper extremity wounds that may result from various etiologies. The algorithm for managing these complex cases involves an approach with judicious debridement of possibly contaminated and necrotic wounds; cognizance of the various reconstructive options with selection of a suitable modality based on the location, size, depth and etiology of the defect; and finally, postoperative management paying particular attention to dressing pressure and graduated motion increments of the affected limb to optimize functional outcomes which are paramount for the upper extremity. NPWT with skin grafting and tissue-expanded flaps have been employed to reasonably good effect but VCA may yet hold the greatest promise for patients with severe circumferential defects not amenable to conventional reconstructive methods should the associated immunological concerns be adequately addressed [36].

REFERENCES

- Tajima T. Treatment of open crushing type of industrial injuries of the hand and forearm: degloving, open circumferential, heat-press, and nail-bed injuries. J Trauma 1974;14: 995-1011.
- 2. Waikakul S. Revascularization of degloving injuries of the limbs. Injury 1997;28:271-4.
- 3. Lo S, Lin YT, Lin CH, et al. A new classification to aid the selection of revascularization techniques in major degloving injuries of the upper limb. Injury 2013;44:331-5.
- Harrison BL, Lakhiani C, Lee MR, et al. Timing of traumatic upper extremity free flap reconstruction: a systematic review and progress report. Plast Reconstr Surg 2013;132: 591-6.

- 5. Parrett BM, Matros E, Pribaz JJ, et al. Lower extremity trauma: trends in the management of soft-tissue reconstruction of open tibia-fibula fractures. Plast Reconstr Surg 2006; 117:1315-22.
- Nazerani S, Motamedi MH, Keramati MR, et al. Upper extremity resurfacing via an expanded latissimus dorsi musculocutaneus flap for large circumferential defects: the "spiral" reconstruction technique. Strategies Trauma Limb Reconstr 2010;5:115-20.
- Liu Y, Huang J, Wen K, et al. Treatment of giant congenital melanocytic nevus of the left upper extremity with staged expanded flap. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2012;65:258-63.
- 8. Shachar Y, Billig A, Chapchay K, et al. Expanded bi-pedicled "sleeve" flap for reconstruction of the upper extremity after large circumferential nevus excision in children. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2016;69:1676-82.
- 9. Ding JP, Chen B, Fang L, et al. Total reconstruction of giant congenital circumferential nevi of the upper limb using a large pedicle expanded flank flap: a brief report. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2016;98:e150-1.
- Ng ZY, Ramachandran S, Tan BK, et al. Elbow reconstruction with compression plate arthrodesis and circumferential muscle-sparing latissimus dorsi flap after tumor resection: a case Report. Hand (NY) 2016;11:97-102.
- 11. Balakrishnan C, Bradt LM, Khalil AJ, et al. Lymphedema of the upper extremity following circumferential burns. Can J Plast Surg 2004;12:79-80.
- Eberlin KR, Leonard DA, Austen WG Jr, et al. The volar forearm fasciocutaneous extension: a strategy to maximize vascular outflow in post-burn injury hand transplantation. Plast Reconstr Surg 2014;134:731-5.
- Shen ZY, Chang ZD, Wang NZ. Electrical injury of wrist: classification and treatment: clinical analysis of 90 cases. Burns 1990;16:449-56.
- Hu XH, Qin FJ, Chen Z, et al. Combined rectus abdominis muscle/paraumbilical flap and lower abdominal flap for the treatment of type III circumferential electrical burns of the wrist. Burns 2013;39:1631-8.
- 15. Sanguinetti MV. Reconstructive surgery of roller injuries of the hand. J Hand Surg Am 1977;2:134-40.
- 16. Jeng SF, Wei FC. Resurfacing a circumferentially degloved hand by using a full-thickness skin graft harvested from an avulsed skin flap. Ann Plast Surg 1997;39:360-5.
- 17. Hazani R, Brooks D, Buntic RF. Resurfacing of a complex upper extremity injury: an excellent indication for the dorsal thoracic fascial flap. Microsurgery 2009;29:128-32.
- 18. Seitz IA, Williams CS, Wiedrich TA, et al. Omental free-tis-

sue transfer for coverage of complex upper extremity and hand defects: the forgotten flap. Hand (N Y) 2009;4:397-405.

- 19. Kim SW, Lee HJ, Kim JT, et al. Multiple-digit resurfacing using a thin latissimus dorsi perforator flap. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2014;67:74-80.
- 20. Ng ZY, Askari M, Chim H. Approach to complex upper extremity injury: an algorithm. Semin Plast Surg 2015;29:5-9.
- 21. Cowen NJ, Giannotto RP. Multiple flap coverage for degloving hand injuries. South Med J 1978;71:281-8.
- 22. Shen TY, Sun YH, Cao DX, et al. The use of free flaps in burn patients: experiences with 70 flaps in 65 patients. Plast Reconstr Surg 1988;81:352-7.
- 23. Lister G, Scheker L. Emergency free flaps to the upper extremity. J Hand Surg Am 1988;13:22-8.
- 24. Chong SJ, Liang WH, Tan BK. Use of multiple VAC devices in the management of extensive burns: the total body wrap concept. Burns 2010;36:e127-9.
- 25. Low OW, Chong SJ, Tan BK. The enhanced total body wrap: the new frontier in dressing care for burns. Burns 2013;39:1420-2.
- 26. Fischer S, Wall J, Pomahac B, et al. Extra-large negative pressure wound therapy dressings for burns: initial experience with technique, fluid management, and outcomes. Burns 2016;42:457-65.
- Wong LK, Nesbit RD, Turner LA, et al. Management of a circumferential lower extremity degloving injury with the use of vacuum-assisted closure. South Med J 2006;99:628-30.
- Barendse-Hofmann MG, van Doorn L, Steenvoorde P. Circumferential application of VAC on a large degloving injury on the lower extremity. J Wound Care 2009;18:79-82.
- 29. Kantak NA, Mistry R, Halvorson EG. A review of negativepressure wound therapy in the management of burn wounds. Burns 2016;42:1623-33.
- 30. Kamolz LP, Andel H, Haslik W, et al. Use of subatmospheric pressure therapy to prevent burn wound progression in human: first experiences. Burns 2004;30:253-8.
- 31. Lohana P, Hassan S, Watson SB. Integra in burns reconstruction: our experience and report of an unusual immunological reaction. Ann Burns Fire Disasters 2014;27:17-21.
- 32. Tintle SM, Baechler MF, Nanos GP 3rd, et al. Traumatic and trauma-related amputations: Part II: Upper extremity and future directions. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2010;92:2934-45.
- 33. Walton RL, Matory WE Jr, Petry JJ. The posterior calf fascial free flap. Plast Reconstr Surg 1985;76:914-26.
- Mashhadi SA, Loh CY. A reliable method of applying a circumferential dressing. Int Wound J 2011;8:320-1.

- 35. Margulis A, Bauer BS, Fine NA. Large and giant congenital pigmented nevi of the upper extremity: an algorithm to surgical management. Ann Plast Surg 2004;52:158-67.
- 36. Petruzzo P, Lanzetta M, Dubernard JM, et al. The international registry on hand and composite tissue transplantation. Transplantation 2010;90:1590-4.