

Access this article online
Quick Response Code:

Website: www.jlponline.org
DOI: 10.4103/JLP.JLP_78_18

# Prevalence, etiology, and antibiotic resistance profiles of bacterial bloodstream infections in a tertiary care hospital in Northern India: A 4-year study

Surbhi Khurana, Nidhi Bhardwaj, Minu Kumari<sup>1</sup>, Rajesh Malhotra<sup>2</sup>, Purva Mathur

## Abstract:

**INTRODUCTION:** Bloodstream infections (BSIs) can lead to life-threatening sepsis and are globally associated with high morbidity and mortality. Although BSIs require immediate antimicrobial treatment, their prevalence, etiology, and antimicrobial susceptibilities differ from one country to other. There is a dearth of such data from India. Here, we report the 4-year etiologic data on BSI in trauma patients admitted to a tertiary care referral hospital in New Delhi, India.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** A retrospective study was conducted at the trauma center between January 2013 and December 2016. The routine microbiological data on bacterial BSI were recorded and determined retrospectively from the laboratory records. Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles were statistically analyzed.

**RESULTS:** A total of 2017 bacterial strains isolated from blood culture samples were included for microbiological analysis. During the study, the median age of the patients varied from 30 to 35 years, with the percentage of females in the study population varying from 17% to 19%. The predominant pathogens were Gram-negative bacteria, with *Acinetobacter* species, followed by *Klebsiella* species being the most commonly isolated organisms throughout the 4 years of study. Among Gram-positive isolates, *Staphylococcus* species were the leading pathogens (11%–15%).

**CONCLUSIONS:** A detailed analysis of prevalence, etiology of BSIs in India and its resistance profile is crucial for appropriate antibiotic use, clinical management, and formulation of antibiotic policies and preventive measures.

## Key words:

Antimicrobial profile, blood stream infections, etiology, Gram-negative bacteria, Gram-positive bacteria, trauma patients

## Introduction

Bloodstream infections (BSIs) range from self-limiting infections to life-threatening sepsis and are an important cause of sepsis-related morbidity and mortality worldwide.<sup>[1]</sup> Studies have revealed that the annual numbers of BSI episodes ranged from 1,213,460 to 1,381,590 in Europe and

575,462–677,389 in North America with large annual numbers of BSI-associated deaths.<sup>[2]</sup> In a developed setting, the inhospital mortality rates are observed to be at least 40%.<sup>[3]</sup> Data on the profile of BSI from low- and middle-income countries like India are limited.<sup>[4]</sup>

The epidemiology and pathogen profile of BSIs vary between regions.<sup>[5]</sup> This

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

**How to cite this article:** Khurana S, Bhardwaj N, Kumari M, Malhotra R, Mathur P. Prevalence, etiology, and antibiotic resistance profiles of bacterial bloodstream infections in a tertiary care hospital in Northern India: A 4-year study. *J Lab Physicians* 2018;10:426-31.

Departments of  
Laboratory Medicine  
and <sup>2</sup>Orthopaedics, Jai  
Prakash Narayan Apex  
Trauma Centre, All India  
Institute of Medical  
Sciences, <sup>1</sup>Division of  
Trauma Surgery and  
Critical Care, Jai Prakash  
Narayan Apex Trauma  
Centre, All India Institute  
of Medical Sciences,  
New Delhi, India

## Address for correspondence:

Dr. Purva Mathur,  
Department of Laboratory  
Medicine, Jai Prakash  
Narayan Apex Trauma  
Centre, All India Institute  
of Medical Sciences,  
New Delhi - 110 029, India.  
E-mail: purvamathur@  
yahoo.co.in

Submission: 05-06-2018

Accepted: 05-08-2018

considerable unevenness between hospitals and health-care centers in different countries requires constant analysis of local trends. Many bacterial pathogens have developed resistance to most of the antibiotics and are creating a serious health crisis with many economic and social inferences all over the worlds.<sup>[6]</sup>

The changing epidemiology and susceptibility patterns of microorganisms in India threaten the effectiveness of most, if not all; antibiotics frequently used to prevent and treat bacterial infections.<sup>[7]</sup> In addition to the increasing resistance to even the last resort drugs such as colistin from across the globe, the situation is getting graver because of the absence of any new drugs in the pipeline.<sup>[8,9]</sup>

There is a dearth of detailed studies and data on prevalence, etiology, and antibiotic resistance profiles of bacterial BSIs in India. Such data are crucial for enabling clinicians to improve the empirical treatment and administer appropriate antimicrobial therapy. In addition, it is vital to recognize and track the source of all BSIs to prioritize and implement preventive measures.

India is a developing economy and a hotspot for emerging infectious diseases. Rates of antibiotic resistance, an important reason of treatment failure and subsequent mortality are also alarming in India. However, the epidemiology of BSI in Indian adults is not well studied and thus requires constant surveillance of bloodstream infections.

With this background, the present study was conducted to analyze various organisms causing BSI and their prevalence and antibiotic resistance pattern, which would ultimately aid in decreasing the hospital stay and cost of treatment which would consequently reduce mortality. This article reports a 4-year retrospective analysis of BSI data at a tertiary referral center in India.

## Materials and Methods

### Study design and data collection

This is a retrospective, cohort study of patients with bacterial BSI admitted to our tertiary care trauma center between January 1, 2013, and December 31, 2016.

Our trauma center is a 186-bedded tertiary referral center. As a result, the hospital receives patients both directly from the community and transferred from hospitals in the region. The study was approved by the local Institute Ethics Committee. All positive blood cultures with recognized bacterial pathogens among patients who were hospitalized during the study were included in the study. The routine microbiological profile

was recorded for all the clinical samples received in the laboratory.

Blood samples were sent in BacTAlert (BioMérieux, France) bottles upon clinical suspicion of BSI. Bottles that signaled positive were then subculture on blood, MacConkey, and chocolate agar. These plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C and examined after 18–24 h. Bacterial identification was done by the Vitek II system. Antimicrobial susceptibility was done by Vitek II and disc diffusion technique as per Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. For Gram-negative isolates, disc diffusion testing was performed for the following antimicrobials for each isolate: Amikacin (30 µg), cefepime (30 µg), cefoperazone/sulbactam (75/30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), chloramphenicol (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), imipenem (10 µg), netilmicin (30 µg), piperacillin/tazobactam (100 µg/10 µg), tigecycline (15 µg), and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (1.25/23.75 µg). For Gram-positive isolates, disc diffusion testing was performed for the following antimicrobials for each isolate: amikacin (30 µg), amoxicillin (20 µg), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (20/10 µg), ampicillin (10 µg), ampicillin/sulbactam 10/10 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), clindamycin (2 µg), colistin (10 µg), co-trimoxazole (1.25/23.75 µg), erythromycin (15 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), Levofloxacin (5 µg), linezolid (30 µg), Netilmicin (30 µg), Nitrofurantoin (30 µg), Oxacillin (1 µg), Penicillin (10 U), Rifampicin (30 µg), Teicoplanin (30 µg), Tetracycline (30 µg), and Vancomycin (30 µg). Antibiotic susceptibilities were performed using CLSI guidelines with breakpoints from 2017.<sup>[10]</sup>

### Data entry and statistical analysis

Data were entered into an indigenously developed automated surveillance system and analyzed using Stata/SE 12.1 (Stata Corp, LP, USA). In cases where there were multiple blood cultures positive with the same pathogen, only the 1<sup>st</sup> positive blood culture was included in this study. Standard descriptive statistics were calculated for categorical (in percentage) and continuous variables (median and interquartile, interquartile range). *P* value was calculated using Chi-square test for a row-by-column contingency table with appropriate degrees of freedom. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## Results

### Study population's demographics

After exclusion of blood cultures positive with contaminants, as per standard definitions, 1983 positive bacterial blood cultures were recorded between January 2013 and December 2016, from which a total of 2017 bacteria were isolated.

The median age of the patients varied from 30 to 35 years, with the percentage of females in the study population being 17%–19% [Table 1]. The range of patient-age largely lied between 24 and 54 years during the study. Among the 1983 positive blood samples 1009 (51%), 902 (45%), 17 (1%), 23 (1%), and 32 (2%), were recovered respectively from surgical Intensive Care Units (ICUs), neurosurgical ICUs, orthopedic ICUs, emergency department, and follow-up outpatients.

### Analysis of microbiological dataset

During the 4-year study, a total of 1983 blood samples of BSI patients were received in the laboratory, and more than one bacterium were isolated from 1.5% (29/1983) of these blood samples. A total of 2017 bacterial isolates were identified with 82% (1646/2017) with Gram-negative bacteria isolated and 18% (371/2012) Gram-positive bacteria. Gram-negative bacteria were the most common cause of bloodstream infection in adults presenting to our tertiary referral hospital during all 4 years (78%–85%). *Acinetobacter* species was the most commonly isolated bacteria in 2013, 2015, and 2016. *Enterobacteriaceae* were the most commonly isolated group of organisms among the study cases in all the 4 years except for 2014, when 26% of the total isolated bacteria were *Burkholderia* spp. This was a part of an outbreak (under publication). The predominant *Enterobacteriaceae* was *Klebsiella* spp. throughout the study, followed by *Escherichia coli* and *Serratia* spp in 2013 and 2015 and 2014 and 2016 respectively. Non-*Enterobacteriaceae* were observed to be 43%–58% of the total bacteria isolated during the study period. Among Gram-positive isolates, *Staphylococcus* species were the leading pathogen (11%–15%), followed by *Enterococcus* spp. (4%–7%) [Table 2].

Tables 3 and 4 display the rates of antibiotic resistance of Gram-negative and Gram-positive isolates. Very high levels of antibiotic resistance were seen across all genera of family *Enterobacteriaceae* which was found to be statistically significant for all the antimicrobials tested. Similar trends were observed among the non-*Enterobacteriaceae* [Table 3]. Discordant resistant profiles between disc diffusion and Vitek II were obtained with colistin (results not reported here). Statistically significant antibiotic resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid ( $P < 0.000$ ), ampicillin ( $P = 0.021$ ), clindamycin ( $P = 0.035$ ), co-trimoxazole ( $P = 0.005$ ), gentamicin ( $P < 0.000$ ), levofloxacin ( $P = 0.006$ ), oxacillin ( $P < 0.000$ ), penicillin ( $P = 0.001$ ), and rifampicin ( $P = 0.001$ ) was observed among Gram-positive bacteria [Table 4].

### Discussion

Among all types of nosocomial infections, BSIs prove to be potentially the most grave and expensive. Patients admitted to ICUs have an even higher risk of nosocomial

**Table 1: Characteristics of the clinical study population**

	Year			
	2013	2014	2015	2016
Total, n (%)	459	621	406	497
Age, median (IQR)	30 (24-43)	30 (25-54)	35 (25-50)	31 (23-45)
Female sex, n (%)	80 (17)	105 (17)	77 (19)	84 (17)
Surgical ICUs, n (%)	188 (41)	215 (35)	263 (65)	343 (69)
Neurosurgical ICUs, n (%)	248 (54)	392 (63)	134 (33)	128 (26)
Orthopedic ICUs, n (%)	12 (3)	0	2 (0.5)	3 (0.6)
Emergency department, n (%)	4 (0.9)	8 (1.3)	4 (1)	15 (3)
Follow-up OPDs, n (%)	7 (1.5)	6 (1)	3 (0.7)	8 (2)

IQR = Interquartile range, ICUs = Intensive Care Units, OPDs = Outpatient departments

**Table 2: Etiology of bacterial bloodstream infections**

Pathogen	2013 (n=484), n (%)	2014 (n=621), n (%)	2015 (n=411), n (%)	2016 (n=501), n (%)
Gram-negative isolates				
<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i>				
<i>Enterobacter</i> species	11 (2)	13 (2)	0	5 (1)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	24 (5)	25 (4)	28 (7)	26 (5)
<i>Klebsiella</i> species	51 (11)	50 (8)	101 (25)	106 (21)
<i>Proteus</i> species	4 (0.8)	9 (1)	5 (1)	5 (1)
<i>Providencia</i> species	10 (2)	13 (2)	0	3 (0.6)
<i>Salmonella</i> species	6 (1)	6 (1)	0	13 (2.5)
<i>Serratia</i> species	13 (3)	35 (6)	0	35 (7)
Non- <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i>				
<i>Acinetobacter</i> species	97 (20)	132 (21)	122 (30)	135 (27)
<i>Aeromonas</i> species	1 (0.2)	0	0	0
<i>Burkholderia</i> species	19 (4)	162 (26)	9 (2)	25 (5)
<i>Stenotrophomonas</i> species	34 (7)	34 (6)	28 (7)	13 (2.5)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	87 (18)	30 (5)	40 (8)	38 (8)
Other Gram-negative bacteria <sup>#</sup>	19 (4)	19 (3)	0	5 (1)
Gram-positive isolates				
<i>Enterococcus</i> species	34 (7)	26 (4)	28 (7)	37 (7)
<i>Staphylococcus</i> species	74 (15)	67 (11)	50 (12)	54 (11)
<i>Streptococcus</i> species	0	0	0	1 (0.2)

<sup>#</sup>*Morganella* species, *Pantoea* species, *Achromobacter* species, *Chryseobacterium* species, *Elizabethkingia* species, *Ralstonia pickettii*, *Sphingomonas paucimobilis*

BSIs than those admitted to other types of units. Although the causative agents are affected by a number of factors; predominantly the focus of infection, comorbidities such as chronic diseased conditions, immunodeficiency, other than geographic, socioeconomic and environmental factors, important insights can be gained from the analyses of the microbiological profile of BSIs as most cases reflect severe illness and the bacteria detected are usually the causative agents of the disease. The unprecedented antimicrobial resistance to antimicrobials like colistin has breached one of the last lines of defense against such infections with multidrug-resistant bugs.<sup>[9]</sup>

Table 3: Resistance among Gram-negative bacteria from bloodstream infections

Family	Genus	Year	Antibiotics tested (number of resistant strains [%])											
			Amikacin	Cefepime	Cefoperazone	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidime	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Imipenem	Netilmicin	Piperacillin-tazobactam	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole
Enterobacteriaceae	<i>Enterobacter</i>	2013	0	3 (27)	3 (27)	8 (73)	9 (82)	7 (63)	4 (36)	4 (36)	7 (64)	8 (73)	3 (27)	11 (100)
		2014	2 (15)	7 (54)	2 (15)	12 (92)	7 (54)	7 (54)	3 (23)	0	2 (15)	0	7 (54)	6 (46)
	2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2016	2 (40)	1 (20)	3 (60)	NA	4 (80)	1 (20)	4 (75)	1 (20)	2 (40)	2 (40)	4 (80)	0	NA
	2013	9 (38)	23 (96)	15 (63)	18 (75)	24 (100)	15 (63)	23 (96)	20 (83)	16 (67)	22 (92)	22 (92)	5 (21)	24 (100)
	2014	10 (40)	24 (96)	14 (56)	15 (60)	24 (96)	8 (32)	25 (100)	8 (32)	10 (40)	15 (60)	15 (60)	1 (4)	19 (76)
	2015	11 (39)	27 (96)	18 (64)	NA	28 (100)	7 (25)	28 (100)	2 (7)	11 (39)	23 (82)	23 (82)	0	NA
	2016	14 (54)	23 (85)	15 (58)	NA	25 (96)	10 (38)	25 (96)	11 (42)	12 (46)	15 (58)	15 (58)	1 (4)	0
	2013	44 (86)	49 (96)	44 (86)	50 (98)	49 (96)	34 (67)	36 (71)	26 (51)	22 (43)	36 (71)	36 (71)	18 (35)	51 (100)
	2014	29 (58)	40 (80)	32 (64)	37 (74)	39 (78)	14 (28)	34 (68)	30 (60)	28 (56)	33 (66)	33 (66)	7 (14)	33 (66)
	2015	64 (63)	99 (98)	70 (69)	NA	92 (91)	64 (63)	96 (95)	43 (43)	60 (59)	78 (77)	78 (77)	13 (13)	NA
	2016	101 (95)	99 (94)	98 (92)	NA	103 (97)	64 (60)	103 (97)	68 (64)	105 (99)	83 (78)	83 (78)	13 (12)	86 (81)
	2013	3 (75)	4 (100)	3 (75)	4 (100)	4 (100)	0	4 (100)	4 (100)	1 (25)	4 (100)	4 (100)	0	NA
	2014	9 (100)	9 (100)	2 (22)	3 (33)	9 (100)	9 (100)	9 (100)	3 (33)	9 (100)	2 (22)	2 (22)	9 (100)	9 (100)
	2015	1 (20)	1 (20)	0	NA	0	4 (80)	1 (20)	0	1 (20)	4 (80)	4 (80)	0	NA
	2016	5 (100)	2 (40)	1 (20)	NA	5 (100)	2 (40)	5 (100)	2 (40)	5 (100)	1 (20)	1 (20)	3 (60)	NA
2013	7 (70)	6 (60)	7 (70)	9 (90)	8 (80)	5 (50)	8 (80)	5 (50)	4 (40)	9 (90)	9 (90)	5 (50)	10 (100)	
2014	12 (92)	12 (92)	11 (85)	7 (54)	12 (92)	9 (69)	12 (92)	12 (92)	12 (92)	1 (8)	1 (8)	1 (8)	5 (38)	
2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2016	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	
2013	0	0	0	0	4 (67)	0	4 (67)	2 (33)	0	0	3 (50)	0	6 (100)	
2014	0	0	0	1 (17)	0	0	1 (17)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (17)	
2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2016	2 (15)	1 (8)	2 (15)	NA	0	1 (8)	2 (15)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2013	3 (23)	3 (23)	8 (62)	12 (92)	7 (54)	7 (46)	6 (45)	7 (54)	6 (46)	8 (62)	8 (62)	2 (15)	NA	
2014	26 (74)	0	5 (14)	10 (29)	28 (80)	9 (26)	12 (34)	12 (34)	25 (71)	1 (3)	1 (3)	8 (23)	0	
2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2016	28 (80)	2 (77)	14 (40)	NA	30 (86)	14 (40)	22 (63)	1 (3)	30 (86)	12 (34)	12 (34)	9 (26)	0	
P value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.0001	0.000	0.043	0.0032	<0.0001	0.0024	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0004	<0.0001	
df	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Non-Enterobacteriaceae	<i>Acinetobacter</i>	2013	77 (79)	93 (96)	64 (66)	0	93 (96)	59 (61)	57 (59)	37 (38)	54 (56)	46 (47)	29 (30)	97 (100)
		2014	112 (85)	11 (86)	112 (85)	132 (100)	121 (92)	15 (11)	124 (94)	107 (81)	104 (79)	109 (83)	4 (3)	NA
	2015	108 (89)	120 (98)	107 (88)	NA	119 (98)	120 (98)	121 (99)	117 (96)	65 (53)	120 (98)	120 (98)	23 (19)	121 (99)
	2016	124 (92)	126 (93)	123 (91)	NA	129 (96)	134 (99)	130 (96)	124 (92)	103 (76)	125 (93)	125 (93)	23 (17)	135 (100)
	2013	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)
	2014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2016	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2013	NA	14 (74)	NA	4 (21)	14 (74)	10 (53)	9 (47)	6 (32)	6 (32)	4 (21)	4 (21)	9 (47)	19 (100)	
2014	NA	12 (7)	NA	145 (90)	4 (2)	NA	NA	53 (33)	NA	NA	NA	7 (4)	NA	

Contd...



Table 3: Contd...

Family	Genus	Year	Antibiotics tested (number of resistant strains [%])											
			Amikacin	Cefepime	Cefoperazone Subbactam	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidime	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Imipenem	Netilmicin	Piperacillin -tazobactam	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole
Non -Enterobacteriaceae	<i>Pseudomonas</i>	2015	NA	5 (56)	NA	NA	4 (44)	NA	NA	9 (100)	NA	6 (67)	NA	
		2016	NA	14 (56)	NA	7 (28)	NA	NA	20 (80)	NA	19 (76)	NA	NA	
		2013	23 (26)	42 (48)	17 (20)	76 (87)	56 (64)	61 (70)	59 (68)	40 (46)	47 (54)	31 (36)	87 (100)	
		2014	9 (30)	10 (33)	10 (33)	29 (97)	11 (37)	17 (57)	10 (33)	9 (30)	9 (30)	21 (70)	NA	
		2015	21 (53)	25 (63)	24 (60)	NA	27 (68)	29 (73)	26 (65)	18 (45)	39 (98)	8 (20)	40 (100)	
		2016	23 (61)	21 (55)	15 (39)	NA	22 (58)	30 (79)	27 (71)	16 (42)	29 (76)	19 (50)	38 (100)	
<i>Stenotrophomonas</i>	2013	NA	34 (100)	NA	34 (100)	NA	22 (65)	NA	20 (59)	22 (65)	23 (68)	NA	34 (100)	
		NA	33 (97)	NA	34 (100)	NA	NA	32 (94)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		NA	19 (68)	NA	NA	NA	NA	28 (100)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		NA	13 (100)	NA	NA	NA	NA	13 (100)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		2013	14 (74)	16 (84)	9 (47)	14 (74)	16 (84)	16 (84)	13 (68)	10 (53)	11 (58)	8 (42)	19 (100)	
		2014	6 (32)	19 (100)	16 (84)	19 (100)	19 (100)	18 (95)	3 (16)	6 (32)	6 (32)	0	19 (100)	
Gram-negative bacteria#	2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		4 (80)	5 (100)	4 (80)	NA	5 (100)	4 (80)	5 (100)	5 (100)	5 (100)	5 (100)	5 (100)	5 (100)	
		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.422	
P value														
df														

#Morganella species, Pantoea species, Achromobacter species, Chryseobacterium species, Elizabethkingia species, Raistonia pickettii, Sphingomonas paucimobilis. df = Degree of freedom, NA = Not available

Table 4: Resistance among Gram-positive bacteria from bloodstream infections

Genus	Year (n)	Antibiotics tested (number of resistant strains [%])																			
		Amikacin	Amoxicillin	Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	Ampicillin	Ampicillin-sulbactam	Cefoxitin	Ciprofloxacin	Clindamycin	Co-trimoxazole	Erythromycin	Gentamycin	Levofloxacin	Linezolid	Netilmicin	Nitrofurantoin	Oxacillin	Penicillin	Ritampicin	Telchoplanin	Tetracycline
<i>Enterococcus</i>	2013	NA	34 (100)	33 (970)	25 (74)	22 (65)	NA	NA	NA	NA	29 (85)	11 (32)	28 (82)	3 (9)	NA	19 (56)	NA	31 (91)	25 (74)	4 (12)	18 (53)
	2014	NA	26 (100)	26 (100)	26 (100)	24 (92)	NA	25 (96)	NA	NA	18 (69)	20 (77)	25 (96)	0	NA	NA	NA	26 (100)	26 (100)	7 (27)	15 (58)
	2015	NA	NA	24 (86)	25 (89)	24 (86)	NA	26 (93)	NA	NA	28 (100)	NA	26 (93)	2 (7)	NA	NA	NA	25 (89)	16 (57)	1 (40)	13 (46)
	2016	NA	NA	30 (81)	37 (100)	31 (84)	NA	32 (86)	NA	NA	36 (97)	0	33 (89)	0	NA	NA	NA	30 (81)	26 (700)	3 (8)	24 (65)
	2013	37 (50)	NA	60 (81)	73 (99)	52 (70)	65 (42)	67 (91)	46 (62)	48 (65)	59 (80)	63 (85)	58 (78)	0	6 (8)	0	57 (77)	74 (100)	29 (39)	6 (8)	30 (41)
	2014	NA	NA	60 (90)	66 (99)	45 (67)	57 (39)	55 (82)	52 (78)	30 (45)	62 (93)	53 (790)	55 (82)	1 (1)	2 (3)	NA	62 (93)	67 (100)	40 (60)	1 (1)	27 (40)
<i>Staphylococcus</i>	2015	NA	NA	30 (61)	49 (100)	24 (49)	35 (29)	41 (84)	27 (55)	17 (35)	34 (69)	23 (47)	33 (67)	1 (2)	2 (4)	NA	29 (59)	49 (100)	17 (35)	0	10 (20)
	2016	NA	NA	36 (67)	52 (96)	33 (61)	42 (34)	52 (96)	40 (74)	32 (59)	47 (87)	34 (63)	53 (98)	0	7 (13)	NA	36 (67)	50 (93)	26 (48)	0	7 (13)
	2013	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2016	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	NA	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0
P value		NA	<0.000	0.021	0.414	0.096	0.625	0.035	0.005	0.170	<0.000	0.006	0.241	0.144	NA	<0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.059	0.085
df		NA	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	NA	3	3	3	3	3

df = Degree of freedom, NA = Not available

We observed a predominance of young and middle-aged adult males among patients with BSI (81%–83%). This phenomenon is similar to various studies reporting septic shock as one of the top causes of death.<sup>[4]</sup> We observed a higher proportion of males than females. The highest percentage of patients with BSI in our study were from surgical and neurosurgical ICUs.

In a previous study from the same center conducted in 2011–2012 a high prevalence of BSIs was reported. We reported a total of 316 organisms isolated from the 296 episodes of BSIs.<sup>[11]</sup> The numbers have only increased since then. *Acinetobacter* species followed by *Klebsiella* species are still the most common cause of BSI.

Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria showed very high resistance to most the antibiotics tested, and the statistical analysis clearly suggest that the drug resistance is undeniably significant and poses threat more-so to high-risk patients having blood culture positive.

Our study, however, has some important limitations. First, our trauma center is a tertiary referral hospital and specializes in trauma cases. Therefore, the pattern of etiology, resistance, and spectrum of clinical disease is different from that seen in other hospitals in India. Second, we have reported only the total number of organisms and not the BSI episodes. Study of BSI episodes classified into community- and hospital-acquired BSIs would have led to a more comprehensive analysis. Finally, the importance and role of certain bacteria, such as *Aeromonas* species or *Stenotrophomonas* species, which have been associated with both real and pseudobacteremia infection was not ascertained because of a lack of repeat sampling and the retrospective nature of our study.

Considering the load of BSI and the toll it is taking, especially on our young and middle-aged adult population, we are in dire need of rapid identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of the causative agents of bloodstream infections. Such point-of-care testing systems would promptly provide essential information to clinicians for selecting an appropriate antimicrobial therapy for patients with potentially fatal bloodstream infections. We have seen a positive impact of an intensive surveillance on the central line-associated bloodstream infections in a study conducted at our center emphasizing the need of regular and stringent surveillance of BSI.<sup>[12]</sup> Thus, a deeper understanding of the prevalence, etiology of BSIs in India, its resistance patterns and their impact on patients' outcomes is important to guide clinical management and appropriate antibiotic use.

## Conclusion

We require a detailed analysis of the prevalence and etiology of BSIs and its resistance profile. It will lead to appropriate antibiotic use, clinical management, and formulation of antibiotic policies and preventive measures.

## Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. Abubakar II, Tillmann T, Banerjee A. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific all-cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of death, 1990-2013: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2015;385:117-71.
2. Goto M, Al-Hasan MN. Overall burden of bloodstream infection and nosocomial bloodstream infection in North America and Europe. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2013;19:501-9.
3. Laupland KB, Davies HD, Church DL, Louie TJ, Dool JS, Zygun DA, et al. Bloodstream infection-associated sepsis and septic shock in critically ill adults: A population-based study. *Infection* 2004;32:59-64.
4. Dat VQ, Vu HN, Nguyen The H, Nguyen HT, Hoang LB, Vu Tien Viet D, et al. Bacterial bloodstream infections in a tertiary infectious diseases hospital in Northern Vietnam: Aetiology, drug resistance, and treatment outcome. *BMC Infect Dis* 2017;17:493.
5. Southeast Asia Infectious Disease Clinical Research Network. Causes and outcomes of sepsis in Southeast Asia: A multinational multicentre cross-sectional study. *Lancet Glob Health* 2017;5:e157-67.
6. Gohel K, Jojera A, Soni S, Gang S, Sabnis R, Desai M. Bacteriological profile and drug resistance patterns of blood culture isolates in a tertiary care nephrourology teaching institute. *Biomed Res Int* 2014;2014:153747.
7. Deasy J. Antibiotic resistance: The ongoing challenge for effective drug therapy. *JAAPA* 2009;22:18-22.
8. Khurana S, Mathur P, Kapil A, Valsan C, Behera B. Molecular epidemiology of beta-lactamase producing nosocomial gram-negative pathogens from North and South Indian hospitals. *J Med Microbiol* 2017;66:999-1004.
9. Liu YY, Wang Y, Walsh TR, Yi LX, Zhang R, Spencer J, et al. Emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mechanism MCR-1 in animals and human beings in China: A microbiological and molecular biological study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016;16:161-8.
10. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Third Informational Supplement. CLSI Document M100-S27. Wayne: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2017.
11. Mathur P, Varghese P, Tak V, Gunjijal J, Lalwani S, Kumar S, et al. Epidemiology of blood stream infections at a level-1 trauma care center of india. *J Lab Physicians* 2014;6:22-7.
12. Tak V, Mathur P, Kumar S, Gupta B, Gupta A, Sinha S, et al. Impact of an intensive surveillance on central line associated blood stream infections at an Indian trauma center. *J Patient Saf Infect Control*. 2014;2:38-41.