Maxillary immediate implant loading: A comprehensive review

Sagar J. Abichandani, Ramesh Nadiger

Department of Prosthodontics, SDM College of Dental Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Address for correspondence:
Dr. Sagar J. Abichandani,
Department of Prosthodontics, SDM
College of Dental Sciences and Hospital,
Sattur, Dharwad, Karnataka, India.
E-mail: sagar.abichandani@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The incredible achievement of osseointegration and certainty of implant treatment modality in the mandible has provoked investigations, to look in the maxillary segment to check and understand if similar success rates can be achieved. This assessment of literature regarding this will give us knowledge about the various treatment modalities and investigations in an attempt understand the predictability and longevity of immediate implant loading in the maxilla carried out by various researchers. The basic nomenclature are considered and discussed, along with advantages and disadvantages of immediate loading, its relationship to osseointegration, its influence on primary stability and micro-motion. Excess weightage has been given to prosthodontically driven implant modality keeping the end-result in mind. Successful implementation of implant restorations can be done with the help of a few proposed guidelines.

Key words

Immediate implants, immediate provisionalization, immediate restorations, implant loading

INTRODUCTION

The art and science of modern dentistry has been revolutionised by implants, giving a new lease of life to the restorative aspects in day-to-day practise. For fully and partially edentulous arches it has transformed into a reliable and predictable treatment modality. [1-6] According to Branemark, before any restoration can be planned there should be a period of atleast four to six months. [7] Conventional loading [8] is a predictable and an accepted treatment modality that has been used as a benchmark to compare other implant loading protocols. [9] However, efforts have been made by various clinicians to discover possibilities to shorten the treatment time of implant supported restorations or by the placement into the extraction sockets immediately post-extraction. [2,3,10-13]

NOMENCLATURE

Cochran *et al.*^[8] carried out an comprehensive review of the literature on implants and published their proposals giving the following terms:

Access this article online					
Quick Response Code:	W-b-:4				
	Website: www.ejgd.org				
	DOI: 10.4103/2278-9626.105350				

- 1. *Immediate restoration* (immediate provisionalisation) restoration is delivered within 48hrs of implant placement but not in occlusion
- 2. *Immediate loading* implant supported restoration is placed within 48 hours of implant placement and is in occlusion
- 3. Early loading implant is restored with a fully functional restoration(in occlusion) at the second procedure between 48 hrs and three months from the time of implant placement
- 4. *Conventional loading* the restoration is attached to the implant in the second procedure 3 to 6 months after the implant surgery
- Delayed loading an implant supported restoration is placed over the implant after a time period greater than six months.

ADVANTAGES OF IMMEDIATE LOADING

- Reduction in overall treatment time and alveolar ridge resorption^[2,14]
- Offers an acceptable restoration esthetically^[4,10,15-17]
- Increased patient acceptance^[2,4,14,15,17]
- Quicker return of function^[4,14,15,17]
- Removable prosthesis is avoided that may interfere with healing or simultaneous bone grafting and/ or may require additional maintenance during the healing period^[2,4,17]
- Potentially superior soft tissue profile when accompanying immediate dental implant placement^[12,14,18,19]
- Reduced surgical trauma and ease of surgery. [14,20,21]

IMMEDIATE LOADING - SITE SPECIFICITY

Excellent longevity has been shown by immediate loading, which is a reliable treatment option of edentulous mandible. Chiapasco^[3] described the overall survivability of immediate loaded overdentures as 98% and of fixed partial dentures as 95%. These studies included implants placed both interforaminally and more posteriorly in the mandible. This notable success in maxillary setting sought the application of similar treatment in the maxilla. Tarnow et al.[6] demonstrated that the possibility of immediate loading in the maxilla, was when they reported 100% survival of immediately loaded implants restored with a full-arch fixed prosthesis. However, a more limited degree of success in the maxilla vs the mandible because of the poorer bone density.[2,20] Lekholm and Zarb[22] described maxillary bone as more trabecular and softer in nature (also known as type 3 or type 4) while mandibular bone is more cancellous and denser (type 1 or type 2) which results in lower primary stability, greater micromotion, and a greater likelihood of fibrous healing and failure of implants to osseointegrate in the maxilla when implants are immediately loaded [Table 1].[17,23-31]

PRIMARY STABILITY

Primary stability has been classified as an important factor that determines implant success in immediately loaded implants. [32-34] Cameron et al. first proposed in 1974 that the goal of primary stability is limitation of excessive micro-movement, which was later confirmed by

Table 1: Qualitative and quantitative factors that guide treatment planning of immediately loaded implants

Bone quality and quantity should be appropriate

The rate of bone formation in a given region of the jaw should be considered.

If required, extractions should be atraumatic

Initial implant stability (torque at the time of placement) at the time of surgery is crucial

Implant positioning should be prosthodontically driven

All forms of parafunctional habits should be avoided

Cautions should be taken in patients with specific and recent (within two years) systemic conditions (radiotherapy), excessive chronic smokers or alcohol users, and those with uncontrolled systemic conditions (e.g.: poorly controlled diabetes)

Implants should not be placed into extraction sockets if they are currently infected

Balanced occlusion against natural teeth or prosthesis should be ensured A minimum of 32 N-cm of torque should be used at the time of implant placement (although protocols suggest torque as low as 25 N-cm)

The implant system should be conducive to high primary stability/initial torque

Splinting of implants (and cross arch stabilization) should be performed when possible

Prosthodontic rehabilitation should be balanced and passively fitting, and, if possible a non-functional occlusal scheme should be implemented Rough surface rather than smooth surfaced implants should be used

Szmukler-Moncler.^[36] The implant-bone relationship and prosthodontic design can influence micro-movement. This crucial in the maxilla, where the quality is typically less favourable. Fibrous healing instead of osseo-integration results due to excess of micro-movement.^[4,23-25,37,38] Insertion torque -cited as an indicator of primary stability^[2,39,40] and as a nonlinear, indirect indicator of micro-movement of an implant in bone.^[24]

BONE QUALITY

The quality and quantity of bone are the two more factors that affect primary stability. Maxillary immediate implant placement can be quite challenging because of factors like lesser bone density, a thin cortical plate and proximity to the maxillary sinus. [2,20] Successful osseointegration of immediately loaded maxillary implants can be determined by bone preservation by atraumatic extraction. [41] Quality and quantity of bone at the surgical site which aids in treatment planning can be evaluated with the assistance of radiographic investigations (such as cone beam computer tomography (CT) scans). [38] Others recommended using Hounsfield units as a means of assessing the bone density of sites which implants will be placed. [42,43]

Micro-movement levels that are between 50 and 150 µm are known to cause no detriment to osseointergration^[2,4,14,24] even though early reports indicated that osseointegration could succeed with micromovements upto 500µm.[44] Recent recommendations consistent with these limits, indicate that torque values at the time of placement should be greater than 32 N-cm.[38,45] But what is seen is that even though they permit primary stability these ranges of torque values are non-detrimental to soft maxillary bone. At the histological level, collagen fiber formation forms in a transverse manner with secondary osteon formation rather than parallel orientation with large marrow spaces. Resisting the mechanical stresses of the function following healing is favoured by this histoanatomic difference. [43,46] Other recommendations have stated that a minimum of 3-5mm of vertical bone-to-implant contact should be attained to provide adequate primary stability to facilitate favourable osseointegration which is extremely critical for immediate implantation in a fresh extraction socket.

The timing of implant placement can be affected by the quantity of bone volume that is available to receive implant. Within the first 3-12 months of tooth extraction up to 50% loss of bone width^[13,47-49] and 1.3-4.0mm loss of bone weight may occur. The rate of bone resorption can notably be affected by factors like whether site is of a single tooth or of multiple teeth.^[13,48-50] When bone levels are examined, to preserve crestal bone^[10,12,20,37] it is seen that immediate placement of implants has been used and has been shown to produce similar or better results than delayed implant placement.

Two key observations associated with immediate implant placement in fresh extraction sockets followed by immediate loading (preferably non-functional) being^[20,50-55]: (1) the esthetic outcome seems to be equal if not superior, to the conventional approach; and (2) similar survival rates with conventional loading can be achieved at single implant sites when rough surfaced implants, achieving high torque values, are placed by experienced clinicians.

IMMEDIATE IMPLANTATION

Bone necrosis can be caused due to drilling temperatures being greater than 47°C for longer than 1 minute. Hence, as the recipient site is already partially prepared^[47] it is desirable to have immediate implant placement. Canullo *et al*,^[15] reported that in cases of immediate placement (1.7 mm) than with delayed placement (3.0 mm) extension of bone remodelling was less extensive. Despite this limit in the healing zone, it has been shown that

Table 2: Guidelines recommended if immediate implant placement and/or loading is to be considered

Excellent primary stability/initial torque of placement

Rigid splinting preferred over lone-standing adjacent implants^[57,58]

Adequate keratinized tissue[59-65]

Use of a surgical guide[66]

Use of a cone beam computed tomography scan technology

Prosthodontically driven implant placement

Absence of residual infection at the placement site by removal of all contaminated tissue [67-71]

Authors, y	Patients, n	Implants, n	Implant	Implant	Torque,	Implant	Evaluation
			Length, mm	diameter, mm	N-cm	survival, %	period, mo
Horiuchi et al. 2000	5	44	≥10	_	≥40	96.5	-
Olsson et al. 2001	10	61	_	_	-	95.4	12
Jaffin et al.[75]	34	236	≥8	_	-	93	_
Nikellis et al. 2004	40	102	_	_	-	100	12.0-24.0
Galluci et al. 2004	8(Md+/Mx)†	68	_	_	-	97-4	8.0-20.0
Balshi <i>et al.</i> 2005	55	552 (submerged implants included)	-	-	-	99	-
Tealdo et al. ^[76]	21	111	≥10	4	≥40	92.8	12
Pieri et al.[77]	22	103	≥10	>3.3	≥30	97.1	12
Misch and degid 2003	2	18	_	_	_	100	_
Degid and Piatelli 2003	14	133	8.0-15.0	3.2-5.5	<35	98.5	2.0-60.0
Degid et al. 2006	8	69	≥10	≥3.4	>25	100	12
Bergkvist <i>et al</i> . ^[74]	28	168	_	_	_	98.2	_
Ostman et al.[11,78]	20	123	≥8	>3.3	_	99.2	12
Nordin et al. ^[20]	19	119	≥10	≥3.3,110 had ≥4.1	≥35	98.3	24
Palattella <i>et al</i> . 2008	17	18	≥10	4.8	35	100	24
Hassanet et al. 2008	20	20	14.0-16.0	3.25-4.0	-	100	12
Boranat Lopez et al. 2009	12 (7 Mx)†	36 (27 Mx)†	>13	4.2	-	97.2	12.0-18.0
Cannulo et al. 2009	22	22	13	3.8 or 5.5	32.0-45.0	100	25
Collaert and De Bruyn[17]	25	195	8.0-15.0	3.5-4.0	_	100	3
Machtei <i>et al.</i> 2008	20	33 Mx, 16 Md †	11.0-15.0	3.25-4.0	35-60	83	12
Degidi <i>et al.</i> 2006	44	388	_	_	_	98	60
Bergkvist <i>et al</i> . ^[74]	28	168	10 Or 12	3.3-4.8	_	98.2	8 and 32
Degidi <i>et al.</i> 2008	20	153	_	_	_	100	12
Peiri <i>et al</i> . 2009	23 (9 Mx, 15 Md)†	144 (66 Mx, 78 Md)†	≥10	3.3 or 4.0	>30	98.6	12
Testori et al.[79]	19	116	>8	≥3.75	≥32	97.4	37.8
Degidi et al.[41,71]	40	48	_	3	≥25	100	48
Ibanez et al.[26]	41 (23 Md, 26 Mx)†	343 (217 Mx, 126 Md)†	-	3.75-5.0	-	99.42	12.0-74.0
Schwartz-Arad et al.,[10]	87	210	>13	≥3.75	_	97.6	
Ostman et al.,[11,78]	37 (20 Md, 20 Mx)†	_	-	_	>30	100	
Degidi et al.,[71]	780	780 (393 Mx, 387 Md)†	13.0-18.0	3.0-6.5	_	99.5	
Mijiritsky et al.,[19]	16	24	13.0-16.0	3.3-5.5	≥32	95.8	

^{† –} Indicates average

Table 4: Survival rates of immediately loaded rough surfaced implants in the maxilla										
Authors, y	Patients, n	Implants, n	Implant Length, mm	Implant diameter, mm	Torque, N-cm	Implant survival, %	Evaluation period, mo			
Tealdo et al.,[76]	21	111	≥10	4	≥40	92.8	12			
Pieri <i>et al.,</i> [77,81]	22	103	≥10	>3.3	≥30	97.1	12			
Degidi et al.,[71]	8	69	≥10	≥3.4	>25	100	12			
Nordin et al.,[20]	19	119	≥10	≥3.3 (110 had ≥ 4.1)	≥35	98.3	24			
Palattella et al.,2008	17	18	≥10	4.8	35	100	24			
Hassan et al.,[47]	20	20	14-16	3.25-4.0	_	100	12			
Boronat-Lopez et al.,2009	12 (7 Mx)†	36 (27 Mx)†	>13	4.2	_	97.2	12-18			
Canullo et al.,[15]	22	22	13	3.8 or 5.5	32-45	100	25			
Collaert and De Bruyn, [17]	25	195	8.0-15.0	3.5-4.0	_	100	3			
Machtei et al.,2008	20	33 Mx, 16 Md†	11.0-15.0	3.25-4.0	35-60	83	12			
Degidi et al.,[9]	44	388	_	-	_	98	60			
Bergkvist et al.,[74]	28	168	10.0-12.0	3.3-4.8	_	98.2	8 and 32			
Degidi et al.,[71]	20	153	_	-	_	100	12			
Pieri et al.,[77]	23 (9 Mx, 5 Md)†	144 (66 Mx,78 Md)†	≥10	3.3 or 4.0	>30	98.6	12			
Degidi et al.,[41]	40	48	_	3.0	≥25	100	48			
Schwartz-Arad et al.,[10]	87	210	>13	≥3.75	_	97.6	6-52			
Ostman et al.,[78]	37 (20 Mx, 20 Md)†	-	_	-	>30	100	3-6			
Degidi et al.,[41]	780	780 (393 Mx, 387 Md)†	13.0-18.0	3.0-6.5	_	99	1-107			
Mijiritsky et al.,[19]	16	24	13.0-16.0	3.3-5.5	≥32	95.8	24-72			

^{†-}Indicates average

bone can fill osseous defects around implants if they are three-walled in nature^[13] and <1.5-2.0 mm wide.^[12,13,37] When used in conjunction with immediately placed implants autogenous bone grafts have been seen to be more osteogenic.^[47] However, disadvantages may include risk of failure due to residual periosteal infection,^[56] unpredictable site morphology,^[12] a potentially limited amount of soft tissue [Table 2].^[12]

Prosthodontically dictated implant dentistry

This term promotes a reduction in implant micromovement through appropriately positioned and loaded restorations. Lateral forces greater than 30 N.cm have been shown to produce micromotions greater than 100 µm as axial implant loading is a desirable treatment goal. Nonaxial loading can also contribute to loosening of abutment screws which is a major cause of prosthodontic failure. [20,38,72-75] Nordin et al, [20] described factors that could be detrimental to a healing implant, those being a high precision and passively fitting prosthesis reduced stresses and strains. Some researchers have implemented splinting and cross-arch stabilization on implants that are not loaded along their long axis. Tealdo et al, [76] placed distal implants in an angulated manner, in an effort to avoid maxillary sinus. This technique has shown bone loss around the distal implants that is similar to that seen with more conventionally placed implants. Other researchers have demonstrated 100% survivability using a similar concept called V-II-V, whereby six implants are placed into the maxilla at 30-45 degree angulations to the occlusal plane in the posterior maxilla to avoid the maxillary sinus [Table 3].[80]

CONCLUSION

Recent reports [Table 4] suggest that survival of implants has increased following careful surgical protocols and its optimum implementation along with optimum restorative protocols with respect to designing and maintenance, periodic check-up and recall in addition to maintenance of a good oral hygiene. It is possible to simulate the long term success rates of mandible even in the maxilla following the necessary guidelines.

REFERENCES

- Brånemark PI, Hansson BO, Adell R, Breine U, Lindström J, Hallén O, et al. Osseointegrated implants in the treatment of edentulous jaw: Experience from a 10 year period. Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg Suppl 1977;16:1-132.
- Wang HL, Boyapati L."PASS" principles for predictable bone regeneration. Implant Dent 2006;15:8-17.
- Chiapasco M. Early and intermediate restoration and loading of implants in completely edentulous patients. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2004;19:76-91.
- Misch CE, Wang HL, Misch CM, Sharawy M, Lemons L, Judy KW. Rationale for the application of immediate load in implant dentistry: Part I. Implant Dent 2004;13:207-17.
- Misch CE, Wang HL, Misch CM, Sharawy M, Lemons L, Judy KW. Rationale for the application of immediate load in implant dentistry: Part I. Implant Dent 2004;13:310-21.
- Tarnow DP, Emtiaz S, Classi A. Immediate loading of threaded implants at stage 1 surgery in edentulous arches: Ten consecutive case reports with 1 5 year data. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1997;12:319-24.
- Branemark PI. Osseointegration and its experimental background. J Prosthet Dent 1983;50:399-410.
- 8. Cochran DL, Morton D, Weber HP. Consensus statements and

- recommended clinical procedures regarding loading protocols for endosseous dental implants. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2004;19(Suppl):109-13.
- Degidi M, Piatelli A, Gehrke P, Felice P, Carinci F. Five year outcome of 111 immediate non functional single restorations. J Oral Implantol 2006;32:277-85.
- Schwartz Arad D, Levin L. Symphysis revisited: Clinical and histologic evaluation of newly formed bone and harvesting potential previously used symphyseal donor sites for onlay bone grafting. J Periodontol 2009;80:865-69.
- Ostman PO, Hellman M, Wendelhag I, Sennerby L. Resonance frequency analysis measurements of implants at placement surgery. Int J Prosthodont 2006;19:77-83.
- Hammerle CH, Chen ST, Wilson TG Jr. Consensus statements and recommended clinical procedures regarding the placement of implants in extraction sockets. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2004;19(Suppl):26-8.
- Chen ST, Wilson TG Jr, Hammerle CH. Immediate or early placement of implants following tooth extraction: Review of biologic basis, clinical procedures and outcomes. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2004;19(Suppl):12-25.
- Avila G, Galindo P, Rios H, Wang HL. Immediate implant loading: Current status from available literature. Implant Dent 2007; 16:235-45.
- Canullo L, Goglia G, Iurlaro G, Ianello G. Short term bone level observations associated with platform switching in immediate placed and restored single maxillary implants a preliminary report. Int J Prosthodont 2009;22:277-82.
- Dierens M, Collaert B, Deschepper E, Broawaeys H, Klinge B, De Bruyn H. Patient centered outcome of immediately loaded implants in the rehabilitation of fully edentulous jaws. Clin Oral Implants Res 2009;20:1070-7.
- Collaert B, de Bruyn H. Immediate functional loading of TiOblast dental implants in full arch edentulous maxillae: 3 year prospective study. Clin Oral Implant Res 2008;19:1254-60.
- Morton D, Jaffin R, Weber HP. Immediate restoration and loading of dental implants: Clinical considerations and protocols. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2004;19(Suppl):103-08.
- Mijiritsky E, Mardinger O, Mazor Z, Chaushu G. Immediate provisionalization of single tooth implants in fresh extraction sites at the maxillary esthetic zone: Upto 6 years follow up. Implant Dent 2009;18:326-33.
- Nordin T, Graf J, Frykholm A, Hellden L. Early functional loading of sandblasted and acid etched Staumann implants following immediate placement in maxillary extraction sockets: Clinical and radiographic results. Clin Oral Implants Res 2007;18:441-51.
- 21. Degidi M, Piatelli A. Comparative analysis study of 702 implants subjected to immediate functional loading and immediate non functional loading to traditional healing periods with a follow up of upto 24 months. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2005;20:99-107.
- Lekholm U, Zarb GA. Patient selection and preparation. Tissue Integrated Prosthesi: Osseointegration in clinical dentistry. Chicago, III: Quintessence Publishing Co; 1985. p. 199-209.
- Degidi M, Piatelli A. Immediate functional and non functional loading of dental implants: 2 60 month follow up study of 646 implants. J Periodontol 2003;74:225-41.
- Trisi P, Perfetti G, Baldoni E, Berardi D, Colagiovanni M, Scogna G. Implant micromotion is related to peak insertion torque and bone density. Clin Oral Implant Res 2009:20:467-71.
- Lioubavina Hack N, Lang NP, Karring T. Significance of primary stability for osseointegration of dental implants. Clin Oral Implant Res 2006;17:244-50.
- Ibanez JC, Tahhan MJ, Zamar JA, Menendez AB, Juaneda AM, Zamar NJ, et al. Immediate occlusal loading of double acid etched

- surface titanium implants in 41 consecutive full arch cases in the mandible and maxilla: 6 to 74 month results. J Periodontol 2005;76:1972-81.
- Irinakis T, Wiebe C. Clinical evaluation of the NobelActive implant system: Case series of 107 consecutively placed implants and a review of implant features. J Oral Implantol 2009;35:283-8.
- Cooper L, De Kok IJ, Reside GJ, Pungpapong P, Rojas Vizcaya F. Immediate fixed restoration of the edentulous maxilla after implant placement. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2005;63(9 Suppl 2):97-110.
- Guida L, Lezzi G, Annuziata M, Salierno A, Iuorio G, Costigliola G, et al. Immediate placement and loading of dental implants A human histologic case report. J Periodontol 2008;79:575-81.
- Romanos GE, Toh CG, Siar CH, Wicht H, Yacoob H, Nentwig GH.
 Bone implant interface around titanium implants under different loading conditions: A histomorphometric analysis in the Macaca fascicularis monkey. J Periodontol 2003;74:1483-90.
- Rocci A, Martignoni M, Burgos PM, Gottlow J, Sennerby L. Histology of retrieved immediately and early loaded oxidized implants: Light microscopic observations after 5 to 9 months of loading in posterior maxilla. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res 2003;5(Suppl 1):88-98.
- Schnitman PA. Education in implant dentistry. J Am Dent Assoc 1990;121:3330-2.
- Roberts WE. Bone Dynamics of osseointegration, ankylosis and tooth movement. J Indiana Dent Assoc 1999 Fall;78:24-32.
- Anitua E, Orive G, Aguirre JJ, Andia I. Clinical outcome of immediately loaded dental implants bioactivated with plasma rich in growth factors: 5 year retrospective study. J Periodontol 2008;79:1168-76.
- Cameron HU, Pilliar MB, MacNab I. Effect of movement on the bonding of porous metal to bone. J Biomed Mater Res 1973;7:301-11.
- Szmukler Moncler S, Salama H, Reingewirtz Y, Dubruille JH. Timing of loading and effect of micromotion on bone implant interface: Review of experimental literature. J Biomed Mater Res 1998;43:192-203.
- Bhola M, Neely AL, Kolhatkar S. Immediate implant placement: Clinical decisions, advantages, disadvantages. J Prosthodont 2008;17:576-81.
- 38. Nkenke E, Fenner M. Indications for immediate loading of implants and implant success. Clin Oral Implant Res 2006;17(Suppl):19-34.
- Ganeles J, Wismeijer D. Early and immediately restored and loaded dental implants for single tooth and partial arch applications. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2004;19(Suppl):92-102.
- Irinakis T, Wiebe C. Initial torque stability of a new bone condensing implants: Cohort study of 140 consecutively placed implants. J Oral Implantol 2009;35:277-82.
- 41. Degidi M, Piatelli A, Shibli JA, Perrotti V, Iezzi G. Bone formation around immediately loaded and submerged implants with a modified sandblasted and acid etched surface after 4 and 8 weeks: Human histologic and histomorphometric analysis. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2009;24:896-901.
- Martinez H, Davarpanah M, Missika P, Celleti R, Lazzara R. Optimal implant stabilization in low density bone. Clin Oral Implants Res 2001;12:423-32.
- 43. Wyatt CC, Pharoah MJ. Imaging techniques and image interpretation for dental implant treatment. Int J Prosthodont 1998;11:442-52.
- Overgaad S, Bromose U, Lind M, Bunger C, Søballe K. Influence of crystallinity of the hydroxyappetite coating on fixation of implants: Mechanical and histomorphometric results. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1999;81:725-31.
- Ottoni JM, Oliveira ZF, Mansini R, Cabral AM. Correlation between placement torque and survival of single tooth implants. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2005;20:769-76.
- Neugebauer J, Traini T, Tham U, Piatelli A, Zöller JE. Peri implant bone organization under immediate loading state: Circularly polarized light analysis: A minipig study. J Periodontol 2006;77:152-60.

- Hassan KS, Kassim A, Al Ogaly AU. A comparative evaluation of immediate dental implant with autogenous versus synthetic guided bone regeneration. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2008;106:e8-15.
- 48. Lekovic V, Camargo PM, Klokkevold PR, Weinlaender M, Kenney EB, Dimitrijevic B, et al. Preservation of alvelolar bone in extraction sockets using bioabsorbable membranes. J Periodontol 1998;69:1044-9.
- Schropp L, Wenzel A, Kostopoulos L, Karring T. Bone healing and soft tissue contour changes following single tooth extraction: Clinical and radiographic 12 month prospective study. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2003;23:313-23.
- Irinakis T. Rationale for socket preservation after extraction of single rooted tooth when planning for future implant placement. J Can Den Assoc 2006;72:917-22.
- Kan JY, Rungcharassaeng K, Lozada J. Immediate placement and provisionalization of maxillary anterior single implants: 1 year prospective study. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2003;18:31-9.
- Bengazi F, Wennstom JL, Leklolm U. Recession of the soft tissue margin at oral implants: 2 year longitudinal prospective study. Clin Oral Implants Res 1996;7:303-10.
- Small PN, Tarnow DP. Gingival recession around implants: A 1 year longitudinal prospective study. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2000:15:527-32.
- 54. Hui E, Chow J, Li D, Lui J, Wat P, Law H. Immediate provisional for single tooth implant replacement with Branemark system: Preliminary report. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res 2001;3:79-86.
- 55. Glauser R, Lundgren AK, Gottlow J, Sennerby L, Portmann M, Ruhstaller P, et al. Immediate occlusal loading of Branemark TiUnite implants placed redominantly in the soft bone: 1 year results of prospective clinical study. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res Abichandani and Nadiger: Maxillary immediate implant loading 2003;5(Suppl 1):47-56.
- Wang HL, Ormianer Z, Palti ML, Perel ML, Trisi P, Sammartino G. Consensus conference on immediate loading: Single tooth and partial edentulous areas. Implant Dent 2006;15:324-33.
- Chee W, Jivraj S. Efficiency of immediately loaded mandibular full arch implant restorations. Clin Implants Dent Relat Res 2003;5:52-6.
- Ganeles J, Rosenberg MM, Holt RL, Reichman LH. Immediate loading of implants with fixed restorations in a completely edentulous mandible: Report of 27 patients from a private practice. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2001;16:418-26.
- Rapley JW, Mills MP, Wylam J. Soft tissue management during implant maintenance. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 1992;12:373-81.
- Simons AM, Darany DG, Giordano JR. The use of free gingival grafts in the treatment of peri implant soft tissue complications: Clinical report. Implant Dent 1993 Spring;2:27-30.
- Han TJ, Klokkevold PR, Takei HH. Strip gingival autograft used to correct mucogingival problems around implants. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 1995;15:404-11.
- Maksoud MA. Manipulation of peri implant tissue for better maintenance: A periodontal perspective. J Oral Implantol 2003;29:120-3.
- 63. Cairo F, Pagliaro U, Nieri M. Soft tissue management at implant site. J Clin Periodontol 2008;35 (8 Suppl):163-7.
- 64. Kim YK, Kim SG, Oh HK, Choi YG, Cho YS, Oh YH, et al. Evaluation of peri implant tissue in non submerged dental implants: A multicenter retrospective study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2009;108:189-95.

- Bouri A Jr, Bissada N, Al Zahrani MS, Faddoul F, Nouneh I. Width
 of keratinized gingiva and the health status of the supporting
 tissues around dental implants. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2008;
 23:323-6.
- 66. Fuster Torres MA, Albalat Estela S, Alcaniz Raya M, Penarrocha Diago M. CAD/CAM dental systems in implant dentistry: Update. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2009;14:E141-5.
- Crespi R, Cappare P, Gherlone E. Fresh socket implants in periapical infected sites in humans. J Periodontol 2010;81:378-83.
- 68. Siegenthaler DW, Jung RE, Roos M, Hammerle CH. Replacement of teeth exhibiting periapical pathology by immediate implants: A prospective, controlled clinical trial. Clin Oral Implants Res 2007;18:727-37.
- 69. Casap N, Zeltser C, Wexler A, Tarazai E, Zeltser R. Immediate placement of dental implants into debrided infected dentoalveolar sockets. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007;65:384-92.
- Blanchaert RH. Implants in medically challenged patients. Dent Clin North Am 1998;42:35-45.
- Degidi M, Nardi D, Piatelli A. Immediate loading of edentulous maxilla with a final restoration supported by an intra oral welded titanium bar: A case series of 20 consecutive cases. J Periodontol 2008:79:2207-13.
- Lee JH, Frias V, Lee KW, Wright RF. Effect of implant size and shape on implant success rates: A literature review. J Prosthet Dent 2005;94:377-81.
- Gervais MJ, Wilson PR. A rationale for retrievibility of fixed, implant supported prosthesis: A complication based analysis. Int J Prosthodont 2007;20:13-24.
- Bergkvist G, Sahlholm S, Karlsson U, Nilner K, Lindh C. Immediate loaded implants supporting fixed prosthesis in the edentulous maxilla: A clinical report. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2005;20:399-405.
- Jaffin RA, Kumar A, Berman CL. Immediate loading of dental implants in completely edentulous maxilla: A clinical report. Int J Maxillofac Implants 2004;19:721-30.
- Tealdo T, Bevilacqua M, Pera F, Menini M, Ravera G, Drago C, et al.
 Immediate function with fixed implant supported maxillary denture:
 12 months pilot study. J Prosthet Dent 2008;99:351-60.
- Pieri F, Aldini NN, Fini M, Corinaldesi G. Immediate occlusal loading of immediately placed implants supporting fixed restorations in completely edentulous arches: A 1 year prospective pilot study. J Periodontol 2009;80:411-21.
- Ostman PO, Hellman M, Sennerby L. Immediate occlusal loading of implants in the partially edentate mandible: A prospective 1 year radiographic and 4 year clinical study. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2008:23:315-22.
- 79. Testori T, Del Fabbro M, Feldman S, Vincenzi G, Sullivan D, Rossi R Jr, et al. A multicenter Prospective evaluation of 2 months loaded Osseotite implants placed in posterior jaws: A 3 year follow up study. Clin Oral Implants Res 2002;13:154-61.
- Agliardi EL, Francetti L, Romeo D, Taschieri S, Del Fabbro M. Immediate loading in a fully edentulous maxilla without bone grafting: The V II V technique. Minerva Stomatol 2008;57:251-9.
- Pieri F, Aldini NN, Fini M, Marchetti C. Immediate occlusal loading of immediately placed implants supporting fixed restorations in completely edentulous arches: A 1 year prospective pilot study. J Periodontol 2009;80:411-21.

How to cite this article: Abichandani SJ, Nadiger R. Maxillary immediate implant loading: A comprehensive review. Eur J Gen Dent 2012;1:125-30.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.