





Revisiting the Classification of Moderate and Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Based on the Admission Glasgow Coma Scale Score

Ganesh Swaminathan¹ Ananth P. Abraham¹ Thenmozhi Mani² Mathew Joseph¹

- ¹Department of Neurological Sciences, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India
- ²Department of Biostatistics, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India

Address for correspondence Mathew Joseph, MCh, Department of Neurological sciences, Christian Medical College, Vellore 632004, Tamil Nadu, India

(e-mail: mjoseph@cmcvellore.ac.in; mathewcmc@gmail.com).

Indian | Neurotrauma 2024;21:43-47.

Abstract

Objective We study the clinical relevance of classifying traumatic brain injury (TBI) into moderate and mild categories based on the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score at admission with respect to the treatment intensity and 6-month mortality and morbidity rates.

Methods Analysis of patients from a prospectively maintained database admitted at a level I trauma center from 2013 to 2019 with an admission GCS score between 9 and 15 and a minimum follow-up of 6 months post-TBI was done to study the treatment intensity and 6-month morbidity and mortality rates for each GCS score from 9 to 15. Results In all, 2,060 patients met the study criteria, of which 1,684 were males (81.7%). Road traffic accident was the most common cause of TBI (83.7%). There was a significant linear increase in the proportion of patients who had good outcomes with increasing GCS scores from 9 to 15 ($p \le 0.001$). When the variables in each GCS score were compared with a GCS score of 15, there was an increase in the odds ratio of mortality and poor outcome with decreasing GCS scores ($p \le 0.001$). Patients with a lower admission GCS score required more intense treatment in the form of surgery and ventilation ($p \le 0.00001$). There was a higher incidence of pupillary asymmetry in patients with lower GCS scores ($p \le 0.00001$).

Conclusions The classification of TBI patients into moderate and mild based on the GCS score at admission is not of any practical value, and TBI patients may be more usefully classified based on the admission GCS score into severe and not severe groups.

Keywords

- ► TBI classification
- GCS score
- outcomes
- mild and moderate TBI
- ► GOS-E

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide and remains a public health challenge in resource-constrained low- to middle-income countries. More than 1 million individuals sustain TBI in India annually and many of them remain disabled.² The Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score introduced in 1974 is widely used for assessment

of a patient's level of consciousness following TBI.³ The score has been shown to have excellent interobserver reliability, which improves with training.⁴ Postresuscitation GCS score has a strong influence on the outcome of patients with TBI and studies have shown a linear relationship between the GCS score at admission and the risk of mortality.^{5,6}

The postresuscitation GCS score is used to classify TBI into mild (GCS score of 13–15), moderate (GCS score of 9–12), and

article published online April 25, 2023

DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0043-1768170. ISSN 0973-0508.

© 2023. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

severe (GCS score \leq 8). The traditional classification included patients with a GCS score of 13 in the moderate category, but the advanced trauma life support (ATLS) reclassified GCS score of 13 as mild head injury based on the findings from the Canadian Computed Tomography (CT) Head Rule Study and other studies. $^{7-10}$

Severe head injury (GCS score \leq 8) has been shown to be associated with poor outcomes, but data on the difference in outcomes between mild and moderate TBI are scarce. A study by Norwood et al showed that a prehospital GCS score < 14 accurately predicts the need for full trauma team activation and patient hospitalization after motor vehicle collisions. Studies have also shown that patients with a GCS score of 13 harbor intracranial lesions at a frequency similar to patients with moderate head injury defined as GCS score of 9 to 12. 12,13

In this study, we analyzed whether dividing head injuries into moderate and mild categories based on the admission GCS score is of any clinical relevance, based on treatment required and 6-month morbidity and mortality rates in patients with an admission GCS score of 9 to 15.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted on a prospectively maintained database of TBI patients admitted at a level I trauma care center between 2013 and 2019. Patients whose GCS score at admission was between 9 and 15 and had a minimum follow-up of 6 months post-TBI were included in the study. All the patients were treated at our center largely in line with the Brain Trauma Foundation (BTF) guidelines. They were followed up in a dedicated outpatient clinic at 1, 3, and 6 months after discharge during which a detailed assessment was done. Patient outcomes were recorded using the Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS-E). The incidence of anisocoria, need for surgery and ventilation, mortality, and GOS-E at 6 months was assessed for each GCS score from 9 to 15.

The mortality and outcome for each GCS score was compared against the mortality and outcome for patients with a GCS score of 15 for statistical analysis. The need for surgery and ventilation for each GCS score was similarly compared against the requirements for the patients with a GCS score of 15. Surgeries included in the analysis were craniotomy for hematoma evacuation and decompressive craniectomy with or without hematoma evacuation. Surgeries for depressed fractures, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak, or other indications were not included as they are unlikely to be a reflection of the severity of the injury. Patients in whom one or both pupils could not be assessed due to local causes were excluded only from the analysis on anisocoria.

Statistical Analysis

Data were entered into a spreadsheet and statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (Version 21.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Categorical variables were reported as frequency and percentage. Mean ± standard deviation (SD) and median (interquartile range [IQR]) were used for continuous

variables, as applicable. Association of the categorical variables was reported using the chi-squared test. The odds ratio was calculated using unadjusted regression analysis. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Among 2,204 patients with TBI and a GCS score of 9 to 15 admitted at our center during the study period, 2,060 had adequate follow-up and were included in the study. Of these, 293 patients were <18 years of age and 1,684 were males. The most common cause of head injury was road traffic accidents (83.7%). The clinical and demographic characteristics are described in **Table 1**.

There was an almost linear increase in the proportion of patients who had a good outcome (GOS-E of 5–8) with an increasing admission GCS score, ranging from 82.5% for a GCS score of 9 to 95.8% for a GCS score of 15. The number of patients with a GOS-E of 8 also showed a similar increase from 66.8 to 88.7% (**-Table 2**).

As mentioned earlier in the earlier section, all measures of significance were generated by comparing the variable for each GCS score with that for patients with a GCS score of 15. There was a linear increase in mortality with decreasing GCS scores, with an odds ratio for mortality of 5.0 (confidence interval [CI]: 2.75–9.08) for an admission GCS score of 9 when compared to a GCS score of 15 (**Table 3**). Similarly the odds ratio of a poor outcome was 4.76 (CI: 2.82–8.01) for an admission GCS score of 9 when compared with a GCS score of 15 (**Table 4**).

Patients with a lower admission GCS score were more likely to require surgical intervention (26.5% for a GCS score of 9 but only 12.2% for a GCS score of 15). A patient with a GCS

Table 1 Clinical and demographic profile of patients

Variable	able Number of patients (%			
Gender				
Male Female	1,684 (81.7) 376 (18.3)			
Age (y)				
≤18 19-64 ≥65	293 (14.2) 1,625 (78.8) 142 (7)			
Etiology				
RTA Fall Assault Others	1,724 (83.6) 220 (10.7) 47 (2.3) 69 (3.4)			
GCS score				
9 10 11 12 13 14	298 (14.5) 265 (12.9) 282 (13.7) 123 (5.9) 281 (13.6) 294 (14.3) 517 (25.1)			

Abbreviations: GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; RTA, road traffic accident.

Table 2 Patient outcomes at 6 months for each GCS score

GCS	GOS-E, n (%)			
score	1	1-4	5–8	8
9 (298)	41 (13.8)	52 (17.5)	246 (82.5)	199 (66.8)
10 (265)	28 (10.6)	42 (15.9)	223 (84.1)	185 (69.8)
11 (282)	28 (9.9)	40 (14.2)	242 (85.8)	214 (75.9)
12 (123)	14 (11.3)	18 (14.6)	105 (85.4)	90 (73.2)
13 (281)	24 (8.5)	36 (12.8)	245 (81.2)	220 (78.3)
14 (294)	25 (8.5)	31 (10.5)	263 (89.5)	234 (79.6)
15 (517)	16 (3.1)	22 (4.2)	495 (95.8)	459 (88.7)

Abbreviations: GCS-E, Extended Glasgow Coma Scale.

score of 9 was also significantly more likely to require ventilatory support (p < 0.0001) when compared to a patient with a GCS score of 15 (**Table 5**).

Seventy-one patients had a local injury to one or both eyes and therefore only 1,989 patients were included in the analysis for anisocoria. A little more than 20% of patients with a GCS score of 9 had clinically detected pupillary asymmetry, while this was less than 5% in patients with a GCS score of 15 (►Table 6).

Discussion

When the severity of head injury was initially categorized into severe, moderate, and mild, a GCS score of 13 was considered a moderate TBI.15 This was changed later by the ATLS group with a GCS score of 13 being classified as a mild TBI. Defining an injury as mild implies that most patients in this category will not require significant interventions. Although the majority of patients with TBI who present to the hospital will fall under this "mild" category, there are no clear guidelines for managing these patients in the emergency department. Some authors consider a GCS score 15 alone as mild head injury, with evidence suggesting that injuries in patients with GCS score of 13 and 14 tend to be more severe. 16 In a meta-analysis of 24 studies that included 24,249 patients with a GCS score of 15, Geijerstam et al found that the mortality rate was 0.1% and complication rate was about 0.9%.17 Norwood et al reported that a prehospital GCS score of ≤14 most reliably predicted the need for patient hospitalization after motor vehicle collisions. 11 Culotta et al reported that patients with an admission GCS scores of 13 were significantly more likely to have abnormal CT scans and were more likely to require neurosurgical intervention within the first 24 hours than were those with GCS scores of 14 or 15. Those with GCS

Table 3 Mortality in patients with GCS score ranging from 9 to 15, compared with mortality in patients with a GCS score of 15 for statistical significance

GCS score	Mortality (GOS-E1), n (%)	Univariate	Odds ratio (OR)		
		p value	OR	95% CI	p value
9	41/298 (13.8)	<0.00001	5.00	2.75-9.08	< 0.001
10	28/265 (10.6)	0.00001	3.70	1.96–6.97	< 0.001
11	28/282 (9.9)	0.00005	3.45	1.83-6.50	< 0.001
12	14/123 (11.3)	0.00009	4.02	1.91-8.49	< 0.001
13	24/281 (8.5)	0.00075	2.92	1.53-5.60	< 0.001
14	25/294 (8.5)	0.00072	2.91	1.53-5.55	0.001
15	16/517 (3.1)	-	1.00		

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; GCS-E, Extended Glasgow Coma Scale.

Table 4 Poor outcome at 6 months of follow-up for each GCS score from 9 to 15, comparing each GCS score with the outcome for a GCS score of 15 for statistical significance

GCS score	Death/poor outcome	Univariate	Odds ratio (OR)		
	GOS-E1-4, n (%)	p value	OR	95% CI	p value
9	52/298(17.5)	<0.00001	4.76	2.82-8.01	< 0.001
10	42/265(15.9)	<0.00001	4.24	2.47-7.27	< 0.001
11	40/282(14.2)	<0.00001	3.72	2.16-6.40	< 0.001
12	18/123(14.6)	0.00001	3.86	2.00-7.45	< 0.001
13	36/281(12.8)	<0.00001	3.31	1.90-5.74	< 0.001
14	31/294(10.5)	0.00049	2.65	1.51-4.67	0.001
15	22/517 (4.2)	-	1.00	-	-

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; GCS-E, Extended Glasgow Coma Scale.

GCS Score Ventilation Surgery Yes Univariate Yes Univariate n (%) p value p value n (%) 140/298 (47) < 0.00001 79/298 (26.5) < 0.00001 10 87/265 (32) < 0.00001 61/265 (23) < 0.00008 11 79/282 (28) < 0.00001 65/282 (23) < 0.00006 12 29/123 (23.6) < 0.00001 23/123 (18.7) < 0.056 13 63/281 (22.5) < 0.00001 58/281 (20.6) < 0.001 14 50/294 (17) < 0.00002 50/294 (17) < 0.00002 15 38/517 (7.3) 63/517 (12.2)

Table 5 Proportion of patients requiring surgery and ventilation for each GCS score of 9 to 15. Each is compared against a GCS score of 15 for statistical significance

Table 6 Proportion of patients with anisocoria in each GCS score ranging from 9 to 15. Each group is compared against the GCS score of 15 for statistical significance

GCS score	Pupillary anisocoria n (%)	Univariate p value
9	64/293 (21.8)	<0.00001
10	35/255 (13.7)	<0.00001
11	37/276 (13.5)	<0.00002
12	11/119 (9.3)	< 0.06
13	28/265 (10.6)	< 0.002
14	21/282 (7.5)	0.12
15	24/499 (4.8)	_

score of 14 were more likely to have an abnormal CT and require an intervention than those with GCS score of 15. They concluded that patients with GCS scores of 13 and 14 should be segregated from those with a GCS score of 15. The stein and Ross reported that nearly 40% of patients with a GCS score of 13 have a CT abnormality and 10% require surgical intervention; hence, they suggested these patients should be classified as moderate rather than mild in severity and risk. Mena et al also found that a GCS score of 13 classified as moderate TBI predicted mortality better than when classified as mild TBI in a regression model. The score of 13 classified as mild TBI in a regression model.

Most of these studies have been performed in an attempt to decide whether a patient with a GCS score of 13 should be classified as mild or moderate. None of them, however, have clearly delineated the differences in the approach to patients depending on whether they are classified as mild or moderate, and the only conclusion is that patients with a GCS score of 15 generally do not require as much attention as the other patients. Our data show that the risk of mortality and poor outcome increase with decreasing GCS score, as does the likelihood of having asymmetric pupils and requiring surgery or ventilation. The probability of a good outcome and return to work improves with increasing GCS score. We also have data that we have not included for reasons of clarity showing that the patients with a lower

GCS score have progressively higher Rotterdam CT scores and need for tracheostomy.

In all these data, there is no step between two GCS scores that could indicate a point at which the patients can be categorized into moderate or mild. Instead we have a continuum where the variables and outcome change in practically a linear fashion as the GCS score increases or decreases, making this division into moderate and mild TBI an artificial construct with no definite influence on treatment required or the outcome.

Limitations

This study deals only with patients who were admitted to our hospital and not all patients with TBI who were referred to neurosurgery in the emergency department. The decision to admit a patient is made on our criteria that reflect the available resources, and this decision-making process may not be the same in other institutions. This could be an explanation for the comparatively higher mortality and need for aggressive treatment in our patients with a GCS score of 15, since the majority of the milder injuries were not admitted. However, we believe that even if the proportions differ, the overall trend will be the same in all hospitals.

Conclusion

The admission GCS score linearly correlates with mortality, outcome, and intensity of treatment required for TBI patients with a GCS score of ≥ 9 . The classification of TBI patients into moderate and mild based on the admission GCS score does not provide guidance of any practical value, and TBI patients may be more usefully classified based on the admission GCS score into severe and not severe groups.

Note

This article was presented at NEUROTRAUMA 2022.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design were done by M.J., A.P.A., and G.S. Drafting of the article was done by G.S. and M.J. Statistical analysis was performed by T.M. M.J. is the guarantor.

Funding None.

Conflict of Interest None declared.

References

- 1 Dewan MC, Rattani A, Gupta S, et al. Estimating the global incidence of traumatic brain injury. J Neurosurg 2018;130(04):1-18
- 2 Gururaj G. Epidemiology of traumatic brain injuries: Indian scenario. Neurol Res 2002;24(01):24-28
- 3 Teasdale G, Jennett B. Assessment of coma and impaired consciousness. A practical scale. Lancet 1974;2(7872):81-84
- 4 Rowley G, Fielding K. Reliability and accuracy of the Glasgow Coma Scale with experienced and inexperienced users. Lancet 1991;337(8740):535-538
- 5 Bullock R. Management and prognosis of severe traumatic brain injury. Part 1 Guideline for the management of severe traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma 2000;17:451-553
- 6 Perel P, Arango M, Clayton T, et al; MRC CRASH Trial Collaborators. Predicting outcome after traumatic brain injury: practical prognostic models based on large cohort of international patients. BMJ 2008;336(7641):425-429
- 7 Mena JH, Sanchez AI, Rubiano AM, et al. Effect of the modified Glasgow Coma Scale score criteria for mild traumatic brain injury on mortality prediction: comparing classic and modified Glasgow Coma Scale score model scores of 13. J Trauma 2011;71(05): 1185-1192, discussion 1193
- 8 Kortbeek JB, Al Turki SA, Ali J, et al. Advanced trauma life support, 8th edition, the evidence for change. J Trauma 2008;64(06):1638–1650

- 9 Smits M, Dippel DW, de Haan GG, et al. External validation of the Canadian CT Head Rule and the New Orleans Criteria for CT scanning in patients with minor head injury. JAMA 2005;294 (12):1519-1525
- 10 Stiell IG, Clement CM, Rowe BH, et al. Comparison of the Canadian CT Head Rule and the New Orleans Criteria in patients with minor head injury. JAMA 2005;294(12):1511-1518
- 11 Norwood SH, McAuley CE, Berne JD, Vallina VL, Creath RG, McLarty J. A prehospital Glasgow coma scale score < or = 14 accurately predicts the need for full trauma team activation and patient hospitalization after motor vehicle collisions. J Trauma 2002;53(03):503-507
- Fearnside M, McDougall P. Moderate head injury: a system of neurotrauma care. Aust N Z J Surg 1998;68(01):58-64
- 13 Stein SC, Ross SE. Moderate head injury: a guide to initial management. J Neurosurg 1992;77(04):562-564
- 14 Wilson JT, Pettigrew LE, Teasdale GM. Structured interviews for the Glasgow Outcome Scale and the extended Glasgow Outcome Scale: guidelines for their use. J Neurotrauma 1998;15(08): 573-585
- 15 Miller JD. Minor, moderate and severe head injury. Neurosurg Rev 1986;9(1-2):135-139
- 16 Culotta VP, Sementilli ME, Gerold K, Watts CC. Clinicopathological heterogeneity in the classification of mild head injury. Neurosurgery 1996;38(02):245-250
- 17 af Geijerstam JL, Britton M. Mild head injury: mortality and complication rate-meta-analysis of findings in a systematic literature review. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2003;145(10):843-850, discussion 850
- 18 Stein SC, Ross SE. The value of computed tomographic scans in patients with low-risk head injuries. Neurosurgery 1990;26(04): 638-640