



Review Article 251

Imaging Recommendations for Diagnosis, Staging, and Management of Peritoneal Malignancies

Amit Kumar Choudhari¹ Anuradha Chandramohan³ Nitin Shetty¹ Suyash Kulkarni¹ Shailesh Shrikhande^{2,4} Avanish Saklani⁴ Rohin Mittal⁵ Kedar Deodhar^{2,6} Subhash Yadav^{2,6} Reena Engineer^{2,7} Vikas Ostwal^{2,8} Prachi Patil^{2,9}

Ind | Med Paediatr Oncol 2023;44:251-256.

Address for correspondence Amit Kumar J. Choudhari, DNB (Radiodiagnosis), Department of Radiodiagnosis, Tata Memorial Center, E. Borges Road, Parel, Mumbai 400012, India (e-mail: amitkumarchoudhari@tmc.nationalcancergrid.org).

Abstract

Keywords

- ► CRS
- quidelines
- peritoneal surface malignancies
- recommendations

Peritoneum is a serosal membrane lining the solid viscera and the hollow viscus of the abdomen and is made of a single layer of mesothelial cells. The most common primaries that spread to the peritoneum include gastrointestinal, ovarian, colorectal, and peritoneal metastases can be seen at some point during the disease course in 15 to 43%, 60 to 70% and 15 to 20% of patients, respectively. Other malignancies involving the peritoneum such as primary peritoneal carcinoma, peritoneal mesothelioma, peritoneal lymphomatosis, pseudomyxoma peritonei from low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm, are far less common. The review strives to provide a framework for diagnosis and management of the disease.

Introduction

Peritoneum is a serosal membrane lining the solid viscera and the hollow viscus of the abdomen and is made of a single layer of mesothelial cells. Due to low sensitivity of imaging modalities to detected small peritoneal metastasis, the incidence of peritoneal malignancies remains unclear. Peritoneal carcinomatosis is the most common peritoneal surface malignancy and its incidence among the Czech population was 99 per 1,000,000. Primary peritoneal surface malignancies are very uncommon with an incidence of 4.36 per 1,000,000. The most common primaries that spread to the peritoneum include gastrointestinal, ovarian, colorectal, and peritoneal metastases

can be seen at some point during the disease course in 15-43%, 60-70%, and 15-20% of patients, respectively.² Other malignancies involving the peritoneum such as primary peritoneal carcinoma, peritoneal mesothelioma, peritoneal lymphomatosis, pseudomyxoma peritonei from low grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm are far less common.

Advanced stage of the primary tumor predisposes to peritoneal carcinomatosis. Apart from T- and N-stage, positive peritoneal fluid cytology and histological subtype of the primary are risk factors for peritoneal metastases. The most common symptoms of peritoneal surface malignancies include abdominal pain, abdominal distension from ascites or bowel obstruction. Non-specific symptoms include nausea,

DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0043-1761165. ISSN 0971-5851.

© 2023. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

¹Department of Radiodiagnosis, Tata Memorial Center, Mumbai,

²Homi Bhabha National Institute, Mumbai, India

³Dept. of Radiodiagnosis, Christian Medical College, Vellore, India

⁴Gastrointestinal and Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Service, Tata Memorial Center, Mumbai, India

⁵Dept. of Surgery, Christian Medical College, Vellore, India

⁶Dept. of Pathology, Tata Memorial Center, Mumbai, India

 $^{^{7}}$ Dept. of Radiation Oncology, Tata Memorial Center, Mumbai, India

⁸Dept. of Medical Oncology, Tata Memorial Center, Mumbai, India

⁹Dept. of Digestive Diseases & Clinical Nutrition, Tata Memorial Center, Mumbai, India

vomiting, fatigue, weight loss, and constipation. Tumor markers are used for diagnosis, prognosis, and for assessing treatment response. Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and CA-19-9 are used in gastrointestinal malignancies. CA-125 is used for ovarian cancer and mesothelioma. CA-125 and CA72-4 are used for gastric cancer.

Risk Factors and Etiopathogenesis²

Advanced stage of the primary tumor, regional lymph node involvement, histological subtype and positive peritoneal cytology, are the usual risk factors for the development of peritoneal metastases from underlying primary neoplasm.

Loss of E-cadherin, a cell-cell adhesion molecule, is responsible for the detachment of cancer cells from the primary tumor. Rapid cellular proliferation, defective lymphatic drainage, fibrosis, and contraction of the interstitial matrix, and increased osmotic pressure generated by anerobic glycolysis and leakage of plasma proteins, result in the shading of cells, due to elevated interstitial fluid pressure. Cutting of tumor or sectioning of the vascular, lymphatic, or biliary drainage is also responsible for peritoneal seeding.

The peritoneal deposits tend to gravitate in the cul-de-sac and the right paracolic gutters. Other locations include the subdiaphragmatic area and the mesentery, owing to diaphragmatic excursions.

Clinical/Diagnostic Workup

Clinically, the patient can present with signs and symptoms of primary malignancy.

Clinical features of peritoneal involvement are abdominal distention and pain. Patient can also have non-specific presentations such as fatigue, nausea, anorexia, weight loss, and constipation.

Serum tumor markers can be used for diagnosis, prognosis, and response assessment of peritoneal malignancy. The common tumors markers are CA 19-9 for pancreatobiliary system, CEA for GI tract, and CA 125 for ovarian primaries and mesothelioma. Cell block preparation, immunohistochemistry with appropriate markers indicate the possible primary, if not evident at presentation.

Surgical exploration using laparoscopy is the most sensitive modality for evaluation of the extent of the disease and assessment of its potential surgical resectability. Diagnostic laparoscopy is essential for the assessment of peritoneal cancer index (PCI).

Imaging Referral Guidelines:

- Ultrasound findings might raise the suspicion of an underlying peritoneal malignancy and act as a trigger for cross-sectional imaging. However, ultrasound is not recommended as a sole imaging modality for staging peritoneal surface malignancy.
- Ultrasound is useful for image-guided biopsy of peritoneal or omental disease.

- CECT of the thorax, abdomen, and pelvis is the recommended imaging modality for initial assessment of patients with peritoneal malignancy.
- Administration of both intravenous and positive oral contrast are recommended as a part of an optimal CT protocol.
- MRI is not recommended for all patients with peritoneal surface malignancy. Contrast-enhanced MRI with diffusion-weighted imaging may have a role in select patients with low-volume peritoneal disease on CECT and incomplete diagnostic laparoscopy due to adhesions.³
- PET-CT is not recommended for initial assessment of peritoneal malignancy.

Imaging Guidelines

a) Screening and diagnosis

Peritoneal surface malignancy is often suspected based on incidentally detected ultrasound findings such as ascites, omental, or peritoneal thickening. However, confirmation of diagnosis is with histopathology either using ultrasound-guided biopsy or by diagnostic laparoscopy and biopsy.

b) Staging

CECT of the thorax, abdomen, and pelvis is the imaging modality of choice for staging peritoneal surface malignancy. Studies done on new-generation CT scanners with thin section imaging and multiplanar reconstructions have improved sensitivity of 88 to 93% for detecting peritoneal disease. However, sensitivity to detect disease smaller than 1 cm and for detecting small bowel and mesenteric disease remain poor. Staging system used for primary peritoneal surface malignancy is the same as ovarian cancer and fallopian tube malignancies. Peritoneal cancer index (PCI) provides a comprehensive estimate of tumor volume within the peritoneal cavity. Imaging underestimates PCI. Despite these limitations, CECT is an effective modality for obtaining a general overview of the disease extent and exclude those who may not benefit from cytoreductive surgery and HIPEC.⁴

Radiology reports must mention the following⁵:

P – radiological estimation of PCI (rPCI), local extent of the primary malignancy in patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis.

A – presence or absence of ascites and abdominal wall disease

U – presence of disease in unfavorable sites, which make complete cytoreduction unlikely or difficult.

S – small bowel and mesenteric disease

E – presence of extraperitoneal metastases or lymph nodes.

Peritoneal Cancer Index

Peritoneal cancer index (PCI) is a measure of the peritoneal disease burden and distribution, introduced by Jacquet and Sugarbacker.⁶ The abdomen is divided into 13 regions (**Table 1**)⁷ and a score is assigned depending on the disease burden in each region, resulting in minimal score of 0 to

Table 1 Peritoneal Cancer Index

	Region	Score	Scoring	Size
0	Central		LS0	No visible tumor
1	Right upper			
2	Epigastrium			
3	Left upper		LS1	< 0.5 cm
4	Left flank			
5	Left lower			
6	Pelvis		LS2	0.5 cm-5.0 cm
7	Right lower			
8	Right flank			
9	Upper jejunum		LS3	> 5cm or caking
10	Lower jejunum			
11	Upper ileum			
12	Lower ileum			
Total				

maximum score of 39. PCI is best assessed at laparoscopy and/or laparotomy. Preoperative assessment of PCI by MDCT can arm the surgeon with surgical roadmap and act as an arbitrator for decision regarding cytoreductive surgery⁸

c) Re-staging and follow-up

- · CECT is again the preferred modality for re-staging peritoneal surface malignancy following neo-adjuvant chemotherapy. Images are reassessed for similar findings as described above.
- In this setting, there is a role for PET-CT in select patients with peritoneal malignancy who are being considered for cytoreductive surgery to exclude extraperitoneal metastases.

d) Follow-up

Follow-up evaluation is with a combination of clinical examination, tumor markers, and CECT of the thorax, abdomen, and pelvis at 6 monthly intervals for 2 years, followed by annual evaluation for at least 5 years.

Principles of Management

Common treatment modalities for peritoneal surface malignancies (PSM) are systemic chemotherapy, cytoreductive surgery, and intraperitoneal chemotherapy, with curative or palliative intent.

Systemic chemotherapy can be used either as neoadjuvant or adjuvant measure in either curative or palliative setting. Anti-angiogenic agents are being explored for their roles in the management of PSM to target neo-angiogenesis associated with tumor growth and peritoneal dissemination. Targeted therapy and immunotherapy also hold promise in the management of PSM. Endocrine therapy can be an option in the management of PSM, from hormone-dependent primaries.

Cytoreductive surgery (CRS) is a vital curative treatment for PSM with the objective of resecting all possible peritoneal

deposits. It can be combined with HIPEC to increase efficacy of therapy in patients with CC > 0.

Disease specific managements are summarized in **►Table 2**.²

Palliative Measures

Palliative measures and best supportive care are required in patients not amenable to curative treatment and patients developing complications such as malignant bowel obstruction (MBO), ascites, and malnutrition.

Patients with MBO present with pain, nausea, vomiting, and aspiration. Recommended measures for alleviating the symptoms include decompression using an NG tube, gastric antisecretory therapies such as histamine-2 receptor blockers and proton pump inhibitors. Steroids, due to their anti-inflammatory can reduce pain by decreasing bowel wall and mesenteric edema. Octreotide, a somatostatin analog, and gastric antisecretory and antimotility agent, in combination with steroids has been shown to reduce gastrointestinal secretions and vomiting, as well as morbidity associated with MBO. In the event of failure of medical management, decompressive gastrostomy tube placement is recommended.

Surgery may be required for patients with acute perforation. Resection-anastomosis can be an option in patients unresponsive to conservative management of MBO. Complete cytoreductive surgery with or without intraperitoneal chemotherapy can also be considered. However, surgery in the setting of disseminated disease is associated with high morbidity and mortality.

Malnutrition is associated with longer hospital stays, postoperative complications, and a reduction in overall survival. Patients failing to achieve adequate oral or enteral caloric intake are recommended parenteral nutrition (PN) support.

Ascites is commonly caused by ovarian, esophageal, gastric, colorectal, hepatobiliary, and primary peritoneal

 Table 2
 Principles of management

Pathologies	Neoadjuvant treatments	Surgery	HIPEC	Targeted therapies	Intraperitoneal treatments	Systemic chemotherapy
Pseudomyxoma	Pseudomyxoma peritonei and PM from appendiceal cancers	ceal cancers				
Curative	No	Upfront CC-0 or CC-1 CRS	recommended	No	Option of EPIC	Adjuvant if poor prognostic factors
Palliative	-	Upfront CG-2 or 3 CRS	recommended	Antiangiogenic* Bromelain and Acetylcysteine (BroMac) in early clinical development	PIPAC under evaluation	Palliative according to right-sided colorectal cancer*
Malignant perito	Malignant peritoneal mesothelioma					
Curative	Intraperitoneal chemotherapy, NIPS and PIPAC under evaluation	Upfront CG-0 CG-1 CRS	recommended	No standard recommendation*	Option of EPIC	Adjuvant if poor prognostic factors to be considered*
Palliative		No	No	Immunotherapy, PARP-inhibition and other targeted agents under evaluation*	Option of long- term intraperitoneal chemotherapy or PIPAC	Palliative Platinum- /Pemetrexed-based regimens
PM from Ovarian Cancer	n Cancer					
1st line	Systemic chemotherapy if CC-0 CRS not possible	Upfront or interval CC-0 CRS	Option in interval surgery	Antiangiogenic or PARP-inhibition	ON.	Adjuvant Platinum- /Taxane-based
Platin sensitive Recurrence	Systemic chemotherapy if CC-0 CRS not possible	Upfront or delayed CG0 CRS	Under evaluation into RCT	Antiangiogenic or PARP-inhibition	PIPAC under evaluation	Palliative Platinum- based
Platin resistant recurrence	Systemic chemotherapy if CC-0 CRS not possible	Option if CG-0 CRS	Option if CC-0 CRS	Antiangiogenic	PIPAC under evaluation	Palliative Topotecan or Anthracyclines
PM from colorectal cancer	ctal cancer					
Curative	Option of systemic chemotherapy Intraperitoneal chemotherapy, NIPS and PIPAC under evaluation	CG-0 CRS	Option into specialized centers	No standard recommendation*	PIPAC under evaluation	Adjuvant if poor prognostic factors*
Palliative	-	No	No	Antiangiogenic and anti-EGFR according to mutational status and sidedness of primary tumor lmmunotherapy in MSI patients	PIPAC under evaluation	Palliative oxaliplatin/fluoro pyrimidine- based or irinotecan/fluoro pyrimidine or trifluridine- tipiracil (3rd-line)

Table 2 (Continued)

Pathologies	Neoadjuvant treatments	Surgery	НІРЕС	Targeted therapies	Intraperitoneal treatments	Systemic chemotherapy
PM from gastric cancer	cancer					
Curative	Option of systemic chemotherapy Intraperitoneal chemotherapy and NIPS, PIPAC under evaluation	CC-0 CRS in patients with low PCI	Option in combination with CG0 CRS	No standard recommendation*	PIPAC under evaluation	Adjuvant
Palliative	PIPAC under evaluation	No	Under evaluation	Trastuzumab in Her 2 positive patients Immunotherapy in MSI and under further evaluation in MSS	PIPAC under evaluation	Palliative Platinum/ Fluoropyrimidine based 1st-line, Taxane (2nd-line) or irinotecan or trifluridine- tipiracil (3rd-line)
PM from others malignancies	malignancies					
Curative	To select adequate candidate	Only in case of CG0 CRS	Option in combination with CG-0 CRS			Optional
Palliative		No	Option in malignant ascites		Optional	Registered and established disease specific regimens

Abbreviations: CC, Completeness of cytoreduction surgery; CC0, no residual nodule; CC1, <2.5 mm; CC2, <25 mm; and CC3, >25 mm; CR3, cytoreductive surgery; EPIC, early postoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; NIPS, n intraperitoneal and systemic chemotherapy; PARP, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase; PCI, Peritoneal Cancer Index; PIPAC, pressurized intraperitoneal aerosol chemotherapy; PM, peritoneal metastasis. *No definitive evidence from controlled trials available. carcinomatosis. Lung, pancreatic, endometrial, breast primaries are less likely to be associated with ascites. Malignancy related ascites should be confirmed with diagnostic paracentesis and cytology, esophagogastroduodenoscopy, colonoscopy, contrast-enhanced CT/magnetic resonance imaging of the abdomen and pelvis, and pelvic ultrasound (in female patients). These investigations can also help in establishing the diagnosis of primary malignancy. In the event of negative results, diagnostic laparoscopy and biopsy with PCI scoring is recommended, in addition to ruling out other causes of ascites such as cirrhosis or infectious diseases such as peritoneal tuberculosis. Supportive paracentesis can be effective for relieving ascites related symptoms.

Summary of Recommendations: 100 Words

- *i*) Contrast-enhanced CT of the abdomen and pelvis is the imaging modality of choice for peritoneal disease.
- **ii)** Review of thin sections (1–2 mm) in both axial and coronal planes is recommended for assessing the extent of peritoneal disease.
- iii) Use of positive oral contrast is recommended to improve the detection of disease in the small bowel mesentery and serosa.
- iv) PET-CT is recommended to exclude extraperitoneal disease before considering major surgical management such as cytoreductive surgery and HIPEC.
- v) Radiology reports must include a succinct description of the volume of peritoneal disease in terms of PCI and address specific sites of disease that might hinder completeness of cytoreduction.

Synoptic Reporting CT, MRI, and PET-CECT

Synoptic reporting of peritoneal surface malignancy must address the following

Is there an obvious primary? Gastrointestinal/ovarian/-colorectal/appendix/pancreaticobiliary/urachal Fluid: ascites (yes/no), mild/moderate/severe Peritoneal or omental thickening: (yes/no) Is ultrasound-guided biopsy possible? (yes/no) Bowel, mesentery:

- Bowel wall thickening/dilatation/obstruction (yes/no)
- Mesenteric fold thickening (yes/no)
- Mesenteric tethering (yes/no)
- Root of mesenteric disease (yes/no)

Upper abdomen: subphrenic spaces, lesser omentum, gastro-splenic ligament, porta, portocaval space (yes/no) Liver and biliary system: Focal lesions in the liver (-yes/no); biliary dilatation (yes/no)

Spleen: focal lesions in the spleen, splenic hilar disease KUB:

Hydronephrosis (yes/no)

Pelvis:

- Plane between pelvic masses and recto-sigmoid colon (lost/maintained)
- Iliac vessel encasement (yes/no)
- Ureteric encasement (yes/no)

- Presacral space or pelvic side wall infiltration (yes/no) Abdominal wall: surgical scars/port site metastases Nodes:

Metastases: liver, lungs, bones, spleen, adrenals, etc.

Impression:

- P Radiological PCI
- A Ascites/abdominal wall disease
- U Unfavorable sites of disease
- S Small bowel and mesenteric disease
- E Extraperitoneal disease

Authors' Contributions

The manuscript has been read and approved by all the authors, that the requirements for authorship have been met, and that each author believes that the manuscript represents honest work. All authors have contributed equally towards concept, design, definition of intellectual content, literature search, clinical studies, experimental studies, data acquisition, manuscript preparation, manuscript editing and manuscript review.

Funding None.

Conflict of Interest None declared.

References

- 1 Klos D, Riško J, Loveček M, et al. Trends in peritoneal surface malignancies: evidence from a Czech nationwide population-based study. World J Surg Oncol 2019;17(01):182. Doi: 10.1186/S12957-019-1731-4
- 2 Cortés-Guiral D, Hübner M, Alyami M, et al. Primary and metastatic peritoneal surface malignancies. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2021; 7(01):91https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-021-00326-6
- 3 Chandramohan A, Shah N, Thrower A, et al. Communicating imaging findings in peritoneal mesothelioma: the impact of 'PAUSE' on surgical decision-making. Insights Imaging 2021;12 (01):174. Doi: 10.1186/S13244-021-01118-Y
- 4 Chandramohan A, Thrower A. A practical guide to peritoneal malignancy. In: Cecil T, Bunni J, Mehta A, eds. The PMI Manual. CRC Press; 2019
- 5 Chandramohan A, Thrower A, Smith SA, Shah N, Moran B. "PAUSE": a method for communicating radiological extent of peritoneal malignancy. Clin Radiol 2017;72(11):972–980. Doi: 10.1016/J.CRAD.2017.07.005
- 6 Jacquet P, Sugarbaker PH. Clinical research methodologies in diagnosis and staging of patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis. Cancer Treat Res 1996;82:359–374. Doi: 10.1007/978-1-4613-1247-5_23
- 7 Aherne EA, Fenlon HM, Shields CJ, Mulsow JJ, Cronin CG. What the radiologist should know about treatment of peritoneal malignancy. Am J Roentgenol 2017;208(03):531–543https://doi.org/ 10.2214/AJR.16.16646
- 8 Panagiotopoulou PB, Courcoutsakis N, Tentes A, Prassopoulos P. CT imaging of peritoneal carcinomatosis with surgical correlation: a pictorial review. Insights Imaging 2021;12(01):168. Doi: 10.1186/S13244-021-01110-6/FIGURES/16