



# Influence of Bariatric Surgery on Oral Microbiota: A Systematic Review

Hafiz Adawi<sup>1</sup> Aparna Aggarwal<sup>2</sup> Saurabh Jain<sup>1</sup> Maha A. Othman<sup>3</sup> Ahlam A. A. Othman<sup>4</sup> Rawan A. Zakri<sup>5</sup> Somayah A. M. Namazi<sup>6</sup> Sara A.Y. Sori<sup>6</sup> Lamees H. A. Abuzawah<sup>6</sup> Zainab M. Madkhali<sup>6</sup>

Eur | Dent 2023;17:602-614.

Address for correspondence Saurabh Jain, BDS, MDS, Department of Prosthetic Dental Sciences, College of Dentistry, Jazan University, Jazan 45142, Saudi Arabia (e-mail: drsaurabhjain79@gmail.com).

# **Abstract**

# **Keywords**

- bariatric surgery
- gingival crevicular fluid
- microbiota
- saliva
- periodontal disease
- mouth

The study aims to systematically review the available literature to evaluate the changes in oral microbiota in patients after bariatric surgery (BS) and correlates these alterations in microorganisms with common oral manifestations. Relevant Electronic databases were systematically searched for indexed English literature. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed for framework designing, application, and reporting of the current systematic review. The focused PICO question was: "Is there any change in oral microbiota (O) of patients (P) who underwent BS (I) when compared with non-BS groups (C)?' Seven articles were selected for qualitative synthesis. On application of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) quality assessment tool, six studies were found to be of fair quality and one was of good quality. All the seven included studies evaluated the effect of BS on oral microbiota in humans. The outcomes of this review suggest that considerable changes take place in oral microbiota after BS which can be correlated with common oral manifestations. These changes are mainly due to the indirect effect of BS and may vary with the individuals. Due to variations in the included studies, it is difficult to proclaim any persistent pattern of oral microbiota found after BS.

#### Introduction

Obesity is defined as an abnormal or excessive fat accumulation that presents a risk to health. As per the World Health Organization (WHO), there is an increase in obese people (body mass index  $[BMI] > 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) in both developed and developing countries. When compared with the year 2000, there is a 1.5 times increase in obesity among adults (18 years and older) and more than two times increase in children (5–19 years) in 2016.<sup>2</sup> Thirty-nine million children under the age of 5 years were overweight or obese in 2020.<sup>3</sup> Bariatric surgery (BS) is one of the effective treatment modalities to manage morbidly obese patients and their

article published online September 8, 2022

DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0042-1753471. ISSN 1305-7456.

© 2022. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Prosthetic Dental Sciences, College of Dentistry, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Private Practice, Vitaldent Dental Clinic, Faridabad, Haryana, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Experimental Oral Pathology, Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Sana'a University, Sana'a, Yemen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Hayati Care Clinic, Sabya, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>College of Dentistry, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria						
Literature in the English language	Literature in a language other than English						
Human clinical studies	Animal and cadaver studies						
Studies only comparing changes in oral microbiota before and after BS, irrespective of sex and age	Letter to the editor, opinion based commentaries, dissertations, review papers, abstract presentations, and incomplete trials						
Studies comparing oral microbiota of patients who underwent BS with non BS group, irrespective of sex and age	Studies reporting oral microbiota post BS, without comparing it with oral microbiota before BS or in non BS groups						
	Studies only comparing changes in salivary flow, chemical composition of saliva and oral health after BS						
	Studies reporting changes in GI microbiota only						

Abbreviations: BS, bariatric surgery; GI, gastrointestinal.

related comorbidities in the long term.<sup>4</sup> Different types of weight reduction surgeries are documented, but the most commonly performed surgeries are Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) and sleeve gastrectomy (SG).<sup>5</sup> Rapid loss of excessive weight due to BS improves the quality of life and decreases the mortality rate in these morbidly obese patients by reducing the related comorbidities like type-2 diabetes mellitus (DM), diabetes complications, hyperlipidemia, steatohepatitis, hypertension, cardiovascular disorders, respiratory disorders, varicose veins, and others.<sup>6,7</sup>

Various systemic manifestations associated with post-BS procedures include gastric ulcerations, gastroesophageal reflux, vomiting, diarrhea, nutritional deficiencies, and others.<sup>8,9</sup> These systemic changes, directly or indirectly, result in oral manifestations like dental caries, 10 dental erosion, 11 dental wear, 12 periodontitis, 12,13 mucosal alterchanges, 12,15 sialometric changes, 13,16 and taste alterations. 17,18

Gastrointestinal (GI) microbiota has been shown to affect the gut-brain axis by their involvement in inflammatory and metabolic responses. 19,20 Studies have reported that there is a change in GI microbiota in patients undergoing BS.<sup>21,22</sup> This change in microbiota, along with anatomic rearrangement and alteration in GI hormone levels, leads to surgery-mediated weight loss.<sup>23,24</sup> The oral cavity, being an integral part of the alimentary tract, is also reported to have altered microbiota in patients undergoing BS. 13,15,16,25-28 These oral microbial changes can alter the oral environment which along with other factors (changes in salivary flow 12,15 and salivary composition<sup>13,16</sup>) can increase the risk of oral diseases.<sup>29</sup>

As per our knowledge, to date, there is no systematic review that assesses the change in oral microbiota after BS. The findings are potentially vital as these may guide dentists in preventing damage to the oral cavity and can help medical specialists in relating them with other systematic changes commonly seen in patients after BS. The study aims to systematically review the available literature to evaluate the changes in oral microbiota in patients after BS and to correlate these alterations in microorganisms with common oral manifestations. The hypothesis framed is that there is no change in oral microbiota in patients after BS.

#### Methods

Guidelines given by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) were used in framework designing, application, and reporting of the current systematic review.<sup>30</sup> The protocol was registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic reviews and was assigned the following identification code: PROS-PERO CRD42021267677.

#### **Selection Criteria**

Inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in ►Table 1.

## **Exposure and Outcome**

The exposure of interest for the current study was any form of BS, irrespective of the method (type of surgery) or time (duration after the surgery). The outcome was the change in oral microbiota after BS. The focused PICO/PECO (participant, intervention/exposure, comparison, and outcome) question was: "Is there any change in oral microbiota (O) of patients (P) who underwent BS (I) when compared with non-BS groups (C)?"

#### Search Strategy, Study Selection, and Data Extraction

Electronic databases (PubMed/Medline, PubMed Central, Web of Science, and Cochrane library) were systematically searched by two independent reviewers (S.J. and A.A.) for articles published from 1987 to January 30, 2022. Different groups of Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms and supplementary non-MeSH terms were used. Details of search strings and Boolean operators are mentioned in ►Table 2. Duplicate articles were removed, and there was no discrepancy in the two lists of articles. H.A. and S.J. analyzed the titles and abstracts of all the articles based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. If relevant information could not be obtained, the full text of the article was reviewed. A Manual search was conducted by searching Google, clinicaltrials.gov, and references of shortlisted articles to identify relevant articles. The selected articles were cross-checked by A.A. Full texts of shortlisted articles were reviewed by S.J. and A.A., and based on the predetermined exclusion and

 Table 2
 Electronic databases and research strategies

Database	Combination of terms used for search	Number of titles
PubMed/ Medline	("bariatrics"[MeSH Terms] OR "bariatrica" [Title/Abstract] OR "Bariatric Surgery" [MeSH Terms] OR "gastroplasty" [MeSH Terms] OR "Jejunoileal Bypass" [MeSH Terms] OR "Gastric Bypass" [MeSH Terms] OR "Sleeve gastrectomy" [Title/Abstract] OR "Weight Loss Surgery" [Title/Abstract] OR "duodenaljejunal bypass" [Title/Abstract] OR "gastrojejunostomy" [Title/Abstract] OR "DJB" [Title/Abstract] OR "RYGB" [Title/Abstract]) AND ("saliva" [MeSH Terms] OR "saliva" [Title/Abstract] OR "Oral" [Title/Abstract] OR "mouth" [MeSH Terms] OR "periodontium" [MeSH Terms] OR "periodontal ligament" [MeSH Terms] OR "gingiva" [MeSH Terms] OR "Gingival Crevicular Fluid" [MeSH Terms] OR "GCF" [Title/Abstract]) AND ("microbiota" [MeSH Terms] OR "Microbiome" [Title/Abstract] OR "Microflora" [Title/Abstract] OR "Microbioda" [Title/Abstract] OR "microbioda" [Title/Abstract] OR "mycobiome" [MeSH Terms] OR "bacteria" [MeSH Terms] OR "fungi" [MeSH Terms])	44
PubMed Central	(((("microbiota"[MeSH] OR "Microbiome"[tiab] OR "Microflora"[tiab] OR "Microbiola"[tiab] OR "microbiology"[MeSH] OR "microbio <sup>a</sup> "[tiab] OR "mycobiome"[MeSH] OR "bacteria"[MeSH] OR "fungi"[MeSH]))) AND (("saliva"[MeSH] OR "saliva <sup>a</sup> "[tiab] OR "Oral"[tiab] OR "mouth"[MeSH] OR "periodontium"[MeSH] OR "periodontal ligament"[MeSH] OR "gingiva"[MeSH] OR "Gingival Crevicular Fluid"[MeSH] OR "GCF"[tiab]))) AND ("bariatrics"[MeSH] OR "bariatric <sup>a</sup> "[tiab] OR "Bariatric Surgery"[MeSH] OR "gastroplasty"[MeSH] OR "Jejunoileal Bypass"[MeSH] OR "Gastric Bypass"[MeSH] OR "Sleeve gastrectomy"[tiab] OR "Weight Loss Surgery"[tiab] OR "duodenal-jejunal bypass"[tiab] OR "gastrojejunostomy"[tiab] OR "DJB"[tiab] OR "RYGB"[tiab])	1,923
Web of Science	#1 (TS = (bariatrics OR bariatric² OR "Bariatric Surgery" OR gastroplasty OR "Jejunoileal Bypass" OR "Gastric Bypass" OR "Sleeve gastrectomy" OR "Weight Loss Surgery" OR "duodenal-jejunal bypass" OR "gastrojejunostomy" OR DJB OR RYGB) ) AND LANGUAGE: (English) Indexes = SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI, CCR-EXPANDED, IC Timespan = All years #2 (TS = (saliva OR saliva² OR Oral OR mouth OR periodontium OR "periodontal ligament" OR gingiva OR "Gingival Crevicular Fluid" OR GCF) ) AND LANGUAGE: (English), Timespan = All years #3 (TS = (microbiota OR Microbiome OR Microflora OR Microbial OR microbiology OR microbio² OR mycobiome OR bacteria OR fungi) ) AND LANGUAGE: (English), Timespan = All years #3 AND #2 AND #1 Indexes = SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI, CCR-EXPANDED, IC Timespan = All years	52
Cochrane Library	#1MeSH descriptor: [Bariatrics] explode all trees #2bariatric* #3MeSH descriptor: [Gastroplasty] explode all trees #4MeSH descriptor: [Gastroplasty] explode all trees #5MeSH descriptor: [Jejunoileal Bypass] explode all trees #6MeSH descriptor: [Gastric Bypass] explode all trees #7'Sleeve gastrectomy* #8"Weight Loss Surgery* #9'duodenal-jejunal bypass* #10'gastrojejunostomy* #11DJB #12RYGB #13MeSH descriptor: [Saliva] explode all trees #14saliva* #15Oral #16MeSH descriptor: [Mouth] explode all trees #17MeSH descriptor: [Periodontium] explode all trees #18MeSH descriptor: [Gingiva] explode all trees #19MeSH descriptor: [Gingiva] explode all trees #20MeSH descriptor: [Gingiva] explode all trees #21GCF #22MeSH descriptor: [Microbiota] explode all trees #23Microbiome #24Microflora #25Microbioal #26MeSH descriptor: [Microbiology] explode all trees	11

Table 2 (Continued)

Database	Combination of terms used for search	Number of titles
	#28MeSH descriptor: [Mycobiome] explode all trees #29MeSH descriptor: [Bacteria] explode all trees #30MeSH descriptor: [Fungi] explode all trees #31#1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 #32#13 OR #14 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR #18 OR #19 OR #20 OR #21 #33#22 OR #23 OR #24 OR #25 OR #26 OR #27 OR #28 OR #29 OR #30 #34#31 AND #32 AND #33	

inclusion criteria, appropriate studies were selected. Any disagreements or differences in opinions were discussed with another reviewer (H.A.), and a consensus was reached.

Relevant data, extracted from the final articles, were tabulated in a self-designed table (>Table 3). The data extracted were as follows: first author's name, year of publication, the country where the study was conducted, study type (in vitro or in vivo), objects, the objective of the study, sample size (number of patients), gender, mean age, mean BMI of participants (before and after surgery), presence of comorbidities, oral diagnosis/findings, type of BS, microbiota investigation technique, location of specimen collection, time of specimen collection, change in levels of the microbiome, reported oral changes after BS, correlation of altered species with oral and general manifestations, and authors suggestions/conclusions.

## **Quality Assessment of Included Studies**

The quality of included articles was assessed using the quality assessment tools of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) for quality assessment of the Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies and Controlled Intervention Studies.<sup>31</sup>

The criteria for assessment are as follows: Q1., "Was the research question or objective in this paper clearly stated?"; Q2., "Was the study population clearly specified and defined?"; Q3., "Was the participation rate of eligible persons at least 50%?"; Q4., "Were all the patients selected or recruited from the same or similar populations (including the same time period)? Were inclusion and exclusion criteria for being in the study prespecified and applied uniformly to all participants?"; Q5., "Was a sample size justification, power description, or variance and effect estimates provided?"; Q6., "For the analyses in this paper, were the exposure(s) of interest measured prior to the outcome(s) being measured?"; Q7., "Was the timeframe sufficient so that one could reasonably expect to see an association between exposure and outcome if it existed?"; "Q8: For exposures that can vary in amount or level, did the study examine different levels of the exposure as related to the outcome (e.g., categories of exposure, or exposure measured as continuous variable)?"; Q9., "Were the exposure measures (independent variables) clearly defined, valid, reliable, and implemented consistently across all study participants?"; Q10., "Was the exposure(s) assessed more than once over time?"; Q11., "Were the outcome measures (dependent variables) clearly defined,

valid, reliable, and implemented consistently across all study participants?"; Q12., "Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants?"; Q13., "Was loss to follow-up after baseline 20% or less?"; and Q14., "Were key potential confounding variables measured and adjusted statistically for their impact on the relationship between exposure(s) and outcome(s)?"

#### Results

#### **Identification and Screening**

The initial electronic database search leads to 2,030 titles (>Table 2). A total of 47 titles were found to be duplicated and were removed. Titles and abstracts of 1,983 articles were screened to exclude irrelevant articles (based on inclusion and exclusion criteria). Articles with conflicts were discussed to resolve the disagreements. Kappa score (Cohen's kappa coefficient; k = 0.922) indicates a near-perfect agreement between the two reviewers. The full text of the leftover titles was assessed to choose the suitable studies, and, finally, nine articles were shortlisted. A manual search of references for these articles was performed, but no more relevant articles were found. Out of nine selected articles, one was the postoperative microbiota data<sup>32</sup> collected from patients where the preoperative microbiota data were published separately,<sup>28</sup> whereas another study was excluded because it discussed oral microbiota after BS without comparing these changes with preoperative microbiota.<sup>33</sup> Thus finally, seven studies (reported in eight articles) were incorporated into this review. **►Fig. 1** illustrates the search outcomes.

#### **Quality Assessment of Included Studies**

A total of seven studies were included in this review. The quality of one study was rated as good 13 and six studies were rated as fair 15,16,25-28,32 with a risk of bias due to the absence of blinding. Results of the NIH quality assessment scale are displayed in **►Table 4**.

#### **Characteristics of Included Studies**

All the included studies (n=7) evaluated the effect of BS on oral microbiota in humans. Included studies were published during the last 6 to 7 years (2015–2021; ►Table 3). Three out of seven studies were conducted in Brazil, 13,15,25 and one each was conducted in Poland, 28,32 the Czech Republic, 26 Hungary,<sup>27</sup> and the United States.<sup>16</sup> Sample size researched and varied in these studies from  $n = 27^{15}$  to n = 154.25 The

 Table 3
 Main characteristics of the studies included

					T	
Time of specimen collection	• Before BS • 6 Months after BS 12 Months after BS	• Before BS 6 Months after BS	Groups 1 and 2:  • Before BS • 6 months after BS Groupt 3:  • Along with groups 1 and 2 (before BS)	• Before BS • 1 day after BS 3 months after BS	• Non BS group BS group: • BG group: • BG GROW BS (average) after BS	• Non BS group At least 24 months after BS (39.37 ± 15.80)
Location of specimen collection	GCF	Stimulated saliva	Oral swabs	Unstimulated whole mouth saliva	CCF	Unstimulated whole saliva and scrapings from the tongue dorsum
Microbiota investigation technique	qPCR specifically targeting 4 specific periodontal pathogens (P. gingivalis, Treponema denticola, Tramerella forsythia, and P. itermedia).	selective media to specifically culture and quantitate 2 dental and quantitate 2 dental caries associated bacterial groups (mutans Streptococci and Loctobocius sp.) and the fungal yeast species Condido ablicons	NextGen (Illumina) Sequencing (targeting V3 and V4 regions of 16sRNA gene)	NextGen (Illumina) Sequencing targeting V3 and V4 regions of 165RNA gene	Identification by matrix- assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) and MALDI Blotyper	qPCR specifically brigeting 5 specific periodontal pathogens (P. gingivalis, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Parvimonas micra, T. dernicola, T. farsythia, C. denticola, T. farsythia, C.
Type of BS	RYGB	RYGB	laparroscopic SG	Four: SG (N = 3), RYGB (N = 5), Omega loop gastric bypass (N = 7), laparoscopic gastric plication (N = 20)	Not mentioned	RYGB
Oral diagnosis/findings	Periodontitis	Dental prosthesis wears (n = 10), dental caries (n = 11)	-1	1	No periodontitis	Periodontitis (n = 75)
Systemic comorbidities	1	High BP ( $n = 20$ ), DM ( $n = 14$ )	Type-2 DM, diabetes complications, hyperlipidemia, syperlipidemia, hypertension, cardiovascular disorders, respiratory disorders, varicose veins	1	No comorbidities	1
Mean BMI of participants (kg/m² )	Before BS: 49.69 ± 9.97 6 months after BS: 36.16±.05 12 months after BS: 32.26±5.78	Before BS: 51.72 (±4.52) 6 months after BS: 38.02 (±5.46)	Median maximal BMI Group 1: Before BS: 49.2 (43.4–55.5) After BS: 41.1 (393–49.2) Group 2: Before BS: 50.3 (45.5–51.5) After BS: 48.4 (45–49.7) Group 3: Non-BS: 51.62 (47.5–54.37)	Before BS: 44.99 ±7.73 3 months after BS: 38.95 ± 7.04 12 months after BS: 35.9 ± 5.6	Non-BS normal control: 23.3 (SD = 2.16) Non BS obese controls: 44.5 (SD = 10.79) BS group: Before BS: 46 (SD = 7.03) After BS: 31.5 (SD = 8.3)	BS group; (26.89 ± 4.48 and 26.53 ± 4.23) Non-BS group; (41.65 ± 4.7) and 39.89 ± 7.08)
Sample size (n), gender, and age	n = 50 (42 F. 8 M) Mean age: 38.90 ± 10.13 years	n = 27 (26 F. 1 M) Average age: 45 ± 8 years	n = 46 Group 1: B5 (EWL > 50%) n = 19 n = 19 40.44 ± 8.62 years Group 2: B5 (EWL < 50%) n = 11 n = 11 n = 11 n = 11 Group 3: non-B5 Group 3: non-B5 n = 16 7; 9 (M); mean age: 46.72 4.446 years Group 3: non-B5 7, 9 (M); mean age: 42.47 ± 8.59 years	n = 35 (17 F. 18 M) Average age: 48 ± 9 years	n=57 Non-BS normal control: 13 F.9 M) Mean age: 33.9 years (18 – 33) S13 Mon BS Obese controls: n = 18 (13 F.5 M) Mean age: 44.1 years (19 – 38) BS group: n = 17 (7 F. 10 M) Mean age: 39.4 years (21 – 54 years)	n=154 (121 f, 33 M) Mean age: 37.58 ± 11.36 years BS group: n=75 Non-BS group: n=75
Objective of the study (related to oral microbiome)	To evaluate the influence of BS on periodontal disease and on the quantity of periodonto pathogenic bacteria in morbid obese patients	To evaluate the salivary conditions of morbidly obese patients before and after BS	To analyze using the microbiota of patients with mobil dobesity undergoing BS	To describe the salivary microbiome changes during body weight loss on an individual-specific level, and to elucidate the effect of 85 on the salivary microbiome	To investigate the effect of weight loss on the crevicular microbiota following BS	To compare the frequency of oral periodonto-periodonto-pathogan and helicobacter pylori in the mouths of obese individuals with or
Study type and objects	In vivo (humans)	In vivo (humans)	In vivo (humans)	In vivo (humans)	(humans)	In vivo (humans)
Place of the study	Brazil	Brazil	Poland	Czech Republic	Hungary	Brazil
Study (year)	Sales-Peres et al (2015) <sup>13</sup>	Hashizume et al (2015) <sup>15</sup>	Stefura et al (2021, 2020) 28.32	Džunková et al (2020) <sup>26</sup>	(2020) <sup>27</sup>	Pataro et al (2016) <sup>25</sup>

Table 3 (Continued)

			without periodontitis, subjected to BS						rectus) and 1 stomach pathogen (H. pylori)		
Shillitoe et al (2012) <sup>16</sup>	The United States	(humans)	To examine differences in oral microbes in obese patients with and without type-2 DM, and to determine whether it is feasible to measure changes after BS	n = 29 (22 F,7M) Wean age: 41 years (range: 23–55)	Before BS: 48 (37–97) 2 week after BS: approximately 43 12 week after BS: approximately 38	Type 2 DM (n = 13)	No periodontitis	RYGB	qPCR specifically targeting groups (firmicute spp., groups (firmicute spp., groups (firmicute spp., groups (firmicute spp., and Bifdobacteria spp.) and Hickes specific species (Racteroides properties of Racteroides groups), with only p. gringivalis, with only P. gringivalis, specifically associated with the oral cavity because this study also assessed stool specimens	Stimulated saliva	- Before BS 2 Weeks after BS
Study (year)	Change in lev	Change in levels of microbiome	те			Reported oral changes after BS	fter BS	Correlation of altered sp manifestations	Correlation of altered species with oral and general manifestations	Authors suggestions/ conclusions	conclusions
Sales-Peres et al	A. Frequency	A. Frequency of bacteria in GCF of individuals	CF of individuals			Increase in the severity of periodontal disease (increase in packet denth increased loss of clinical	of periodontal disease	• P. gingivalis, T. forsythia, T. denticola and	P. gingivalis, T. forsythia, T. denticola and P. intermedia:  Autocorrelation with periodontal disease	Increase in the quantity of periodonto- nathonalic harteria after RS	ntity of periodonto- fter RS
(2013)		P. gir	P. gingivalis T. forsythia	T. denticola Prevotella	Prevotella intermedia	(increase in pocket depth, increased loss) attachment, increase in bleeding index)	lincreased loss of cillingal leeding index)	<ul> <li>P. gingivalis: +ve correlation with cardiovascular</li> </ul>	otonital disease ation with cardiovascular	<ul> <li>Increase in the severity of periodontal</li> </ul>	rity of periodontal
	Before BS	S 71%	%08	72% 85%				disease		disease after bs • Increase in <i>P. gingivalis</i> after BS, which ca increase the risk of cardiovascular disease.	disease after bs • Increase in <i>P. gingivalis</i> after BS, which can increase the risk of cardiovascular disease
	After 6 mc BS	After 6 months of 80% BS	85%	75% 86%							
	After 12 months of BS	months 75%	%06	81% 81%							
	B. With regar 1. Changes 1. Changes b. Statist C. Statist 2. Changes 3. Statisti b. Statisti c. Statisti 3. Changes 3. Ch	B. With regard to relative quantity of bacteria 1. Changes after 6 months of surgery; a. Satistically significant increase: P. ging; b. Statistically significant or non-significant. c. Statistically significant decrease: P. ging. b. Statistically significant decrease: P. ging. b. Statistically significant decrease: P. C. Statistically significant or nonsignificant. c. Statistically significant or nonsignificant. 3. Changes after 12 months of surgery (in c. a. increase: P. gingwolls. T. forsythio a. Increase: P. gingwolls. T. forsythio b. Decrease: P. intermedia, T. denticolo	With regard to relative quantity of bacteria 1. Changes after 6 months of surgery. 2. Statistically significant increase: P gingivalis and T. forsythia 2. Statistically significant increase: T, denticola and P. intermedia 2. Changes after 1. months of surgery (in comparison to 6 months) 3. Changes after 1. months of surgery (in comparison to 6 months) 4. Statistically significant decrease: P. gingivalis 5. Statistically significant decrease: P. forsythia. T. denticola & P. intermedia 5. Changes after 12 months of surgery (in comparison to Pre BS) a. Increase. P. gingivalis. T. forsythia b. Decrease: P. intermedia. T. denticola	forsythia and R intermedia : none to 6 months) : denticola & R intermedia none to Pre BS)							
Hashizume et al (2015) <sup>15</sup>	Changes afte a. Statist b. Statist c. Statisti	Changes after 6 months of surgery: a. Statistically significant increas b. Statistically nonsignificant in c. Statistically nonsignificant de	rges after 6 months of surgery:  a. Statistically significant increase: Mutans streptococci b. Statistically nonsignificant increase: C. albicans c. Statistically nonsignificant decrease: Lactobacillus spp.	occi gp.		ı		• 5. mutans: +ve correlation with dental canies • C. abicans: +ve correlation with oral candidial or no correlation with caries and +ve correlatio systemic diseases like DM, Sjögren's syndrome, immunosuppression	S. mutans: +ve correlation with dental caries     C. albicans: +ve correlation with oral candidiasis, [3ve or no correlation with caries and +ve correlation with systemic diseases like DM, Sjögren's syndrome, and in immunosuppression	Increase in salivary levels of mutans streptococci     More focus on oral health of BS patients both before and after BS, to prevent or to minimize oral and systemic manifestation related to changes in oral microbiota	Increase in salivary levels of mutans streptococci     A more focus on oral health of BS patients, both before and after BS, to prevent or to minimize oral and systemic manifestations, related to changes in oral microbiota
	Most abundar In group 1 aft	Most abundant microbiota: In group 1 after BS: prote obac	cteria, burkholderiaceae, be	Most abundant microbiota: In group 1 after Bs: proteobacteria, burkholderiaceae, betaproteobacteria, <i>Lautropia,</i> burkholderiales, Capnocytophaga,	kholderiales, Capnocytophaga,	1		<ul> <li>Phyla proteobacteria: +</li> <li>Phyla bacteroidetes: +v</li> </ul>	Phyla proteobacteria: +ve correlation with gastritis     Phyla bacteroidetes: +ve correlation with periodontal	Percentage of expe BS determines the na	<ul> <li>Percentage of expected weight loss after</li> <li>BS determines the nature of oral microbiota</li> </ul>
											(Postaitao)

(Continued)

Table 3 (Continued)

Stefura et al (2021, 2020) 28.32	Saccharofermentans, neisseriales, neisseriaceae, facklamia, Acidaminococcaceae, Acidaminococcus, Morococcus In group 2 after BS: micrococcaceae, micrococcales, Rothia, actinobacteria, bacillales, Gemella, Sicabacter In group 3: Trabusiella, Coildextribacter		disease. • Phylum actinobacteria: +ve correlation with dental caries	after BS and these are independent of demographic and perioperative characteristics of the patients	Ē
Džunková et al (2020) <sup>26</sup>	1. Changes after 1 day of BS: intraindividual level revealed heterogeneity of changes in salivary microbiome composition ancrease by more than 100% in more than 50% of patients; immicutes; velidualid atypic a b. Increase by your tentan 100% in more than 50% of patients; immicutes; conductabile adiacons:  c. Decrease by 5-100% in more than 50% of patients: note a conductabile adiacons: c. Changes after 3 months of BS: The patient-specific changes after 3 months of BS: The patient-specific changes den not show uniform direction of microbiome changes a Increase by more than 100% in more than 50% of patients: Veilonella atypica, Megaspheara micronuciformis, and Prevotella salivae b Increase by more than 100% in more than 50% of patients: Granulicatella elegans. Parphyromonos posteri, Genella sp., Prevotella noncevins c. Decrease by Toto Win more than 50% of patients: Granulicatella elegans. Parphyromonos posteri, Genella sp., Prevotella noncevins c. Decrease by 5-100% in more than 50% of patients: Granulicatella elegans. Parphyromonos posteri, Cenella sp., Prevotella noncevins c. Decrease by 5-100% in more than 50% of patients: Granulicatella elegans at end of 3 months and 12 months	composition micutes; Gemella sp., and Prevotella salivae Gemella sp., Prevotella 2 months	Veillonella drypica: early colonizers in oral biofilm formation along with streptcaccus     Megospheera micronuclformis: —ve correlation with caries     Perotella salivae: +ve correlation with periodontal disease	nizers in oral biofilm cocus cocus cocus correlation cocus correlation cocus c	us vidual ilivary vre ion in
Balogh et al (2020) <sup>27</sup>	BS group when compared before and after BS  A. Number of positive samples:  1. increase in number of positive samples:  a. Significant increase. Condido  b. Nonsignificant increase. Prevotella, Staphylococcus, Haemophilus, Eikenella, fusobacterium, Veillonella  2. Decrease in number of positive samples:  a. Ji Significant decrease. Neisseria  b. In) Nonsignificant decrease. Neisseria  b. In Orange in number of positive samples: Reptacoccus  B. Mean germ count:  1. Increase in mean germ count:  a Significant decrease: Prevotella, Neisseria, Haemophilus, Siaphylococcus, Eikenella  2. Decrease in mean germ count:  a Significant decrease: Actinomyces, Fusobacterium, Granulicatella, Veilonella  3. No change in mean germ count: Sorbia  a Significant decrease: Actinomyces, Fusobacterium, Granulicatella, Veilonella  3. No change in mean germ count of Streptococcus after surgery  a Significant decrease: Actinomyces, Fusobacterium, Granulicatella  3. No change in mean germ count of Streptococcus after surgery  b Alonablacans Condidos species (C adultiments). C kefy, and C, lusticniae) emerged after surgery, both in terms of the proportion of patients and a significant germ count surge	No periodontitis (no signs of inflammation, no attachment loss greater than 3 mm)	- C. albicans: +ve correlation with oral candidiasis, -ve or no correlation with caries and +ve correlation with systemic diseases like DM. Sjögren's syndrome, and in immunosuppression  - Prevotellar: +ve correlation with periodontitis, acute necrotiation ginglyitis  - Nonalbicans Condida species (C. dubiniensis, C. kefyr, and C. Lustaniee): +ve correlation with immunosuppression  - Meisseria: -ve correlation with periodontitis	Nonsignificant increase in germ count after BS     Unlikely to develop periodontitis after BS if patents have healthy periodontium, good oral hygiene maintenance, and no predisposity afters to perperetatively     Vital to examine oral cavity and treat any periodontal disease before BS	unt good sat any
Pataro et al (2016) <sup>25</sup>	1. In nonperiodontitis patients. Frequency of bacteria in BS group when compared to non BS group a necesse in frequency by more than 100%. <i>Bingivalis</i> . <i>T. denticola</i> . red complex (simultaneous presence of <i>P. gingivalis</i> . <i>T. denticola</i> and <i>T. forsythia</i> .  b Increase in frequency between 5–100%: <i>T. forsythia</i> . <i>C. rectus</i> c. Decrease in frequency between 5–100%: <i>H. pylori</i> . <i>A. catinomycetemcomitans</i> . <i>P. micra</i> d Decrease in frequency between 5–100%: <i>H. pylori</i> . <i>A. catinomycetemcomitans</i> . <i>P. micra</i> 2. In Periodontitis patients. Frequency of beached in BS group when compared to non BS group a Increase in frequency between 5–100%: <i>H. pylori</i> . <i>A. catinomycetemcomitans</i> . <i>T. forsythia</i> c. Decrease in frequency by more than 100%. <i>P. gingivalis</i> . <i>T. forsythia</i> c. Decrease in frequency by more than 100%. <i>M. pylori</i> . <i>P. micra</i> . <i>C. rectus</i> d. Decrease in frequency by who the than 100%. <i>M. pylori</i> . <i>P. micra</i> . <i>C. rectus</i>	Lorease in bleeding on probing	- Red complex (simultaneous presence of P. gingivalis, T. denticola and T. forsythia): +ve correlation with periodontal disease - Actinomycetemcomitans: +ve correlation with periodontal disease - H. Jydori: +ve correlation with periodontal disease - P. mirca +ve correlation with periodontal disease - C. rectus: +ve correlation with periodontal disease	Higher bacterial frequencies observed in the oral cavity after BS     BS has inverse microbial effect on oral and stomach environments	ved in ral and
Shillitoe et al (2012) <sup>16</sup>	2 Weeks after BS: nondiabetics: 2.4-fold increase in the levels of <i>Bifidobacteria</i> species Type-2 DM: 10-fold increase in the levels of <i>Bifidobacteria</i> species		Bifiobacteria: —ve correlation with periodontal disease	<ul> <li>Levels of oral Bifidobacteria can reflect that of GIT microbiota</li> <li>Analysis of oral microbiota can help in providing data with systemic implications</li> </ul>	ct that o in ions

Abbreviations: +ve, positive; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; BS, bariatric surgery; DM, diabetes mellitus; F, female; GBS, gastric bypass surgery; GCF, gingival crevicular fluid; M, male; NRR, nothing relevant reported; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; RYGB, Roux-en-Y gastric bypass; SG, sleeve gastrectomy; -ve, negative

Fig. 1 Flowchart of article inclusion strategy based on PRISMA quidelines. PRISMA, the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses.

Table 4 Quality analysis outcomes of the included studies (NIH quality assessment tool)

Study	Que	stion	numbe	r										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1. Shillitoe et al (2012) <sup>16</sup>	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	NA <sup>a</sup>	Υ	NA	Υ	N	Υ	NR
2. Hashizume et al (2015) <sup>15</sup>	Υ	Υ	NR	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	NA <sup>a</sup>	Υ	NA	Υ	Υ	Υ	NR
3. Sales-Peres et al (2015) <sup>13</sup>	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	NA <sup>a</sup>	Υ	NA	Υ	N	Υ	NR
4. Pataro et al (2016) <sup>25</sup>	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	NA <sup>a</sup>	Υ	NA	Υ	N	Υ	NR
5. Džunková et al (2020) <sup>26</sup>	Υ	Υ	NR	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	NA <sup>a</sup>	Υ	NA	Υ	N	Υ	NR
6. Balogh et al (2020) <sup>27</sup>	Υ	Υ	NR	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	NA <sup>a</sup>	Υ	NA	Υ	N	Υ	NR
7. Stefura et al (2020, 2021) <sup>28,33</sup>	Υ	Υ	NR	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	NA <sup>a</sup>	Υ	NA	Υ	N	Υ	NR

Abbreviations: N, no; NA, not applicable; NIH, National Institutes of Health; NR, not reported; Y, yes. <sup>a</sup>Will not count negatively towards the quality rating.

cumulative number of female participants was higher, and they contributed to 72.9% (290) of the cumulative sample size (398), whereas male participants contributed only 27.1% (108). The mean age of participants ranged from 33.9<sup>27</sup> to 48<sup>26</sup> years, with variation in each study. Four out of seven studies reported the presence of comorbidities in the selected participants (DM, hypertension, and others)<sup>15,16,26,28,33</sup>; in one study, none of the participants had comorbidities, <sup>26</sup> whereas two studies did not disclose these details. 13,25 With regard to relevant oral findings, two studies reported the presence of periodontitis in sample groups, <sup>13,25</sup> two studies mentioned that there was no periodontitis 16,27; in one study, participants were wearing removable dental prosthesis and had dental caries, 15 whereas two studies did not disclose any of these details. 26,28,32

There was a difference in the type of BS used in the selected studies. RYGB<sup>13,15,16,25</sup> was performed in four out of seven studies, in one study, SG was the choice of the surgical technique, <sup>28</sup> four different types of BS procedures were performed in one study on the selected population, <sup>26</sup> whereas one study did not give details about the type of weight loss surgery.<sup>27</sup> The mean BMI of participants in included studies varied from 51.72<sup>15</sup> to 44.99 kg/m<sup>225</sup> in the pre-BS group/baseline group to 48.4<sup>28,32</sup> to 26.53 kg/m<sup>225</sup> in post-BS group.

Four out of seven studies compared the change in oral microbiota in the same selected participants before and after BS, <sup>13,15,16,26</sup> whereas three studies <sup>25,27,28,32</sup> compared this change in BS patients with those who have not undergone BS. For qualitative and quantitative analysis of the microbiota, gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) was the source of specimen in two studies, <sup>13,27</sup> stimulated saliva in two, <sup>15,16</sup> unstimulated saliva in one, <sup>26</sup> and oral swabs only were collected in one study. <sup>28,32</sup> One study collected specimens from both unstimulated saliva and the dorsum of the tongue. <sup>25</sup>

Out of the total of seven studies, three used the quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) technique for relative DNA quantification of specific microbial targets, <sup>13,16,25</sup> one expressed microbiological counts as colony-forming units per milliliter (CFU/mL saliva) on selective culture media, <sup>15</sup> one used matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) and MALDI Biotyper for identification, <sup>27</sup> whereas two studies used 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis technique. <sup>26,28,32</sup> There are differences in the follow-up between the included studies. Follow-up varied from 1 day <sup>26</sup> to more than 24 months. <sup>25</sup>

### **Results of the Individual Studies**

All seven studies investigated the changes in oral microbiota after BS. These changes were reported as early as 1 day after BS<sup>26</sup> and continued up to 2 years of follow-up.<sup>25</sup> The type of BS was not differentially associated with bacterial diversity or specific changes in the oral microbiota; however, each study reported a marked increase or decrease in certain species after BS. The reported trend of changes in oral microbiota was highly heterogeneous between individuals within each study. Trends in changes in oral microbiota between studies were heterogenous, primarily because only two of the studies<sup>26,28,32</sup> used similar approaches in identifying, and quantitating the oral microbiota. Details of changes in microbiota are described in **Table 3**.

Changes in salivary microbiota: two studies reported a significant increase in firmicutes (mutans streptococci<sup>15</sup> and Veillonella atypica<sup>26</sup>), one each reported an increase in sac fungi (Candida albicans<sup>15</sup>), bacteroidetes (Porphyromonas gingivalis and Tannerella forsythia<sup>25</sup>), spirochaetes (Treponema denticola<sup>25</sup>), and Bifidobacteria<sup>16</sup> species. Three studies reported a significant decrease in firmicutes (Lactobacillus spp, <sup>15</sup> Granulicatella elegans, <sup>26</sup> and Parvimonas micra, <sup>25</sup> one each reported decrease in bacteroidetes species (Porphyromonas pasteri and Prevotella nanceiensis) <sup>26</sup> and proteobacteria (Helicobacter pylori<sup>25</sup>).

Changes in GCF microbiota: Sales-Peres et al<sup>13</sup> reported a significant increase in bacteroidetes species (*P. gingivalis* and *T. forsythia*) and a significant decrease in spirochaetes (*T. denticola*) and bacteroidetes species (*Prevotella intermedia*). At the same time, Balogh et al<sup>27</sup> reported a marked increase in firmicutes (*Streptococcus*) and sac fungi (albicans and nonalbicans *Candida*) and a significant decrease in firmicutes (*Granulicatella*), actinobacteria (*Actinomyces*), and fusobacteria species (*Fusobacterium*). Changes in oral scrapings microbiota: increase in bacteroidetes, <sup>25,28,32</sup> proteobacteria, <sup>28,32</sup> actinobacteria, <sup>28,32</sup> and spriocheates was reported in scrapings collected from the oral cavity.

#### **Discussion**

In the current review of literature analyses, the available studies were analyzed to evaluate the changes in oral microbiota in patients after BS and attempted to correlate these alterations in the number and quality of microorganisms, with oral manifestations. To the best of our knowledge, to date, there is no systematic review that assesses the change in oral microbiota after BS. The findings based on the seven selected studies improve our knowledge about the changes in oral microbiota post-BS which may aid in the effective management of changes observed post-BS. The findings support that oral microbiota is altered after BS but this variation varies with the individuals. Thus the hypothesis framed can be rejected.

Oral microbiota consists of various microbial species which colonizes in different areas of the oral cavity. The characteristics of each area determine the configuration of microbiota.<sup>34</sup> There is a critical balance between these microorganisms and the host. In the presence of systemic diseases and /or if oral hygiene is not adequately maintained, this equilibrium gets disturbed, and the quality and quantity of microbiota get altered which may manifest as oral diseases like periodontitis, caries, gingivitis, oral mucosal changes, and others. The bacterial taxa reported to be associated with caries by culture and molecular studies include Streptococcus, Lactobacillus, Actinomyces, phylotypes of Bifidobacterium, Propionibacterium, and Atopobium. 34-38 In contrast, taxa reported to be associated with periodontal disease include P. gingivalis, T. forsythia, T. denticola, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Fusobacterium nucleatum, Filifactor alocis, and P. intermedia. 34,38-40

Obesity is a complex state which involves excessive fat accumulation that can have a negative effect on the overall health of an individual. Vgontzas et al<sup>41</sup> reported that proinflammatory cytokines, which are secreted by fat tissues, are directly proportional to BMI and visceral obesity. This systemic inflammation alters the oral microbiota in obese individuals, which are found to have higher levels of phylum bacteroidetes (*T. forsythia* and *P. gingivalis*), 42,43 phylum spirochaetes (T. denticola), 43 phylum firmicutes (Granulicatella adiacens and Streptococcus oligofermentans), phylum actinobacteria (actinomyces), phylum proteobacteria (Aggregatibacter) as compared with nonobese individuals. 44 In addition to this, comorbidities associated with obesity like type-2 DM, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and others, also alter the oral microbiota. 16,45 BS is an effective treatment modality to manage morbidly obese patients and their related comorbidities in the long term.<sup>4</sup> Studies have reported a change in oral microbiota 13,15,16,25-28,32 in patients who have undergone BS procedures. These alterations can be associated with the site of the oral cavity. One of the prerequisites regarding microbiota analysis and comparison between groups is the absence of any relevant disease before intervention, so that observed alteration can be attributed to intervention.<sup>46</sup> In the current review, three studies reported the presence of oral disease preoperatively<sup>13,15,25</sup> and two studies did not disclose any of these details, 26,28,32 Five out of seven studies included antibiotic administration in exclusion criteria. One study did not include it.<sup>26</sup> In another study, <sup>16</sup> the exclusion criteria was those patients who have received antibiotics within the

previous 6 months, but during methodology, the authors mentioned administering a single dose of antibiotics to patients. Studies reported that the use of antibiotics can alter the composition of oral microflora 47,48 which can return back to normal after 14 days of antibiotic administration.<sup>49</sup>

When changes in salivary microbiota after BS were considered, Hashizume et al<sup>15</sup> reported an increase in Streptococcus mutans and Candida albicans and a decrease in Lactobacillus spp. This Increase in C. albicans in their study can be related to the inclusion of patients with comorbidities wearing removable dentures. Pataro et al<sup>25</sup> reported higher oral and lower stomach bacteria frequency in the BS group. They reported a nonsignificant decrease in H. pylori and an increase in the frequency of red complex species (P. gingivalis, T. forsythia, and T. denticola) in the bariatric group with a much higher number in patients having periodontitis before BS. Their results were in accordance with Jaiswal et al,<sup>50</sup> who reported no improvement in pocket depth and clinical attachment level after 6 months of BS. Džunková et al<sup>26</sup> reported a significant increase in V. atypica and a significant decrease in P. pasteri. They concluded that GI microbiota is affected directly by BS, whereas salivary microbiota is altered indirectly. Shillitoe et al<sup>16</sup> reported a 10-fold increase in Bifidobacteria species. They reported simultaneous changes in oral and lower GI microbiota which could be due to the correction of the systemic mucosal immune defect after BS and the direct influence of oral microbiota which is continuously swallowed.<sup>51</sup>

Concerning changes in GCF microbiota, Sales-Peres et al<sup>13</sup> reported a significant increase in P. gingivalis and T. forsythia and a significant decrease in T. denticola and P. intermedia. They reported worsened periodontal conditions 6 months after BS and slight improvement after 12 months of followup. Despite reduction in the body's inflammatory response, increased periodontal destruction was related to being due to indirect damage mediated by the immunoinflammatory response. They proposed that these changes could be due to frequent eating, osteoporosis, 52 and nutritional deficiencies which are common after BS. Balogh et al<sup>27</sup> reported a marked increase in germ count of streptococcus, albicans, and nonalbicans Candida and a significant decrease in Granulicatella, Actinomyces, and Fusobacterium. An increase in the proportion of patients affected by Prevotella sp. was also reported. The non-albicans species (C. dubliniensis, C. kefyr, and C. lusitaniae) found were similar to those isolated from the oral cavity of immunosuppressed patients. They concluded that despite changes in oral microbiota after BS, patients are unlikely to develop periodontitis if they have uninflamed periodontal conditions and good oral hygiene maintenance preoperatively.

Concerning changes in oral scrapings microbiota, Stefura et al<sup>28,32</sup> reported more proteobacteria species preoperatively, in the patients who have positive weight loss outcome (% expected weight loss [EWL] > 50%), when compared with the patients who have negative weight loss outcome (% EWL < 50%), in which actinobacteria species is higher preoperatively. They reported an increase in bacteroidetes, proteobacteria, and actinobacteria species postoperatively. Type of BS and patient's age were important factors in determining the amount of weight loss.

All the included studies had indicated a change in quality and quality of oral microbiota after BS but had dissimilar results when type and number of species were considered. Most of the studies had a common consensus that these changes in oral microbiota are not directly related to BS but could be due to indirect reasons. These reasons could be increased frequency of meals (sucrose), 13,15,26 underreporting of food intake by patient, 33 change in food consistency, 15 change in nutritional composition of food,<sup>33</sup> nutritional deficiencies, 13 altered oral pH due to frequent episodes of gastrooesophageal reflux, <sup>26</sup> use of proton pump inhibitors, <sup>53</sup> change in gut-brain axis regulation,<sup>26</sup> alterations in taste perception, 26,54 presence of systemic diseases/comorbidities/immunological factors, 15 presence of dentures in mouth, 15 oral health status before BS, 27 dental hygiene maintenance,<sup>27</sup> and individual-specific resident bacteria.<sup>26</sup>

These changes in oral microbiota can be correlated with oral and general manifestations to some extent. Altered species which have been reported to have a positive correlation with periodontitis include P. gingivalis, T. forsythia, T. denticola, P. intermedia, phyla bacteroidetes, Prevotella salivae, A. actinomycetemcomitans, P. micra, and C. rectus <sup>25,55-61</sup>. Whereas Neisseria and Bifidobacteria have a negative correlation with periodontitis. 62,63 S. Mutans, phylum actinobacteria, and V. atypica have a positive correlation with dental caries. <sup>64</sup> Whereas, Megasphaera micronuciformis and C. albicans, to some extent, have a negative correlation with caries. 64,65 C. albicans and nonalbicans Candida species have a positive correlation with immunosuppression, <sup>66–68</sup> H. *pylori* and phyla proteobacteria<sup>67</sup> have a positive correlation with gastritis, and P. gingivalis has been positively related to cardiovascular diseases. 66,67

Knowledge of changes in oral microbiota and their relation to GI microbiota is very important. The oral cavity can act as an extra gastric pool for many microorganisms. These oral microorganisms can influence GI microbiota and other vital organs of the body directly or indirectly, causing various systemic complications.<sup>69–74</sup> Studies have reported three pathways for oral-gut allocation of microbiota<sup>75,76</sup> as follows: (1) direct invasion of the intestinal tract through the esophagus by oral microbiota; (2) through the blood cycling route, pathogenic oral microorganisms, which cause periodontitis, can enter the systemic circulation through the periodontal blood and may act on the whole body, and (3) low-grade inflammatory state caused by the metabolites of oral microbiota that enter the bloodstream and the systemic circulation. Also, it is easier/convenient to obtain oral specimens as compared with faecal specimens in long-term follow-up cases to evaluate the changes in the microbiota.

A dentist can play a vital role in monitoring the oral cavity of patients before and during follow-up visits after BS. It is evitable that at all stages, good oral health should be maintained for these patients to improve their chewing efficiency to keep pathogenic species under control and to reduce systemic complications due to bacteremia. Further longterm studies focusing on monitoring oral microfloral changes and identifying optimal oral microfloral composition after BS may help in better management of these patients.

The outcomes from the current study are also dependent on the different duration of follow-up and different approaches used by the selected articles. The follow-up period varied from 1 day<sup>26</sup> to 2 years,<sup>25</sup> and the location of oral specimen collection was also varied. There was a large variation in sample size and most of the studies had a higher number of female patients.<sup>15,16,25–28,32</sup> Thus generalization of outcomes was difficult. Also, there was no consistency in the study groups. Due to these limitations, meta-analysis was not feasible. The detailed study selection approach followed is the key point of this review. All studies related to BS and changes in oral microbiota were analyzed, thus making sure that no relevant study is missed.

#### **Conclusion**

The outcomes of this systematic review indicate that considerable changes take place in oral microbiota after BS which can be correlated with common oral manifestations. These changes are mainly due to the indirect effect of BS and may vary with the individuals. Due to variations in the included studies, it is difficult to proclaim any persistent pattern of oral microbiota found after BS. Further long-term investigations are required to get a better picture of the altered microbiota.

Conflict of Interest None declared.

#### References

- 1 World Health Organization. Obesity. Accessed July 15, 2021 at: https://www.who.int/health-topics/obesity#tab=tab\_1
- 2 NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC) Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128-9 million children, adolescents, and adults. Lancet 2017;390(10113):2627–2642
- 3 World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. Accessed on February 22, 2022 at: https://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight
- 4 Nocca D, Loureiro M, Skalli EM, et al. Five-year results of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy for the treatment of severe obesity. Surg Endosc 2017;31(08):3251–3257
- 5 Angrisani L, Santonicola A, Iovino P, et al. IFSO worldwide survey 2016: primary, endoluminal, and revisional procedures. Obes Surg 2018;28(12):3783–3794
- 6 Courcoulas AP, King WC, Belle SH, et al. Seven-year weight trajectories and health outcomes in the longitudinal assessment of bariatric surgery (LABS) study. JAMA Surg 2018;153(05): 427–434
- 7 Jakobsen GS, Småstuen MC, Sandbu R, et al. Association of bariatric surgery vs medical obesity treatment with long-term medical complications and obesity-related comorbidities. JAMA 2018;319(03):291–301
- 8 Mango VI, Frishman WH. Physiologic, psychologic, and metabolic consequences of bariatric surgery. Cardiol Rev 2006;14(05): 232–237

- 9 Song A, Fernstrom MH. Nutritional and psychological considerations after bariatric surgery. Aesthet Surg J 2008;28(02): 195–199
- 10 Heling I, Sgan-Cohen HD, Itzhaki M, Beglaibter N, Avrutis O, Gimmon Z. Dental complications following gastric restrictive bariatric surgery. Obes Surg 2006;16(09):1131–1134
- 11 Alves MdoS, da Silva FA, Araújo SG, de Carvalho AC, Santos AM, de Carvalho AL. Tooth wear in patients submitted to bariatric surgery. Braz Dent J 2012;23(02):160–166
- 12 Marsicano JA, Grec PG, Belarmino LB, Ceneviva R, Peres SH. Interfaces between bariatric surgery and oral health: a longitudinal survey. Acta Cir Bras 2011;26(Suppl 2):79–83
- 13 Sales-Peres SH, de Moura-Grec PG, Yamashita JM, et al. Periodontal status and pathogenic bacteria after gastric bypass: a cohort study. J Clin Periodontol 2015;42(06):530–536
- 14 de Moura-Grec PG, Yamashita JM, Marsicano JA, et al. Impact of bariatric surgery on oral health conditions: 6-months cohort study. Int Dent J 2014;64(03):144–149
- 15 Hashizume LN, Bastos LF, Cardozo DD, et al. Impact of bariatric surgery on the saliva of patients with morbid obesity. Obes Surg 2015;25(08):1550–1555
- 16 Shillitoe E, Weinstock R, Kim T, et al. The oral microflora in obesity and type-2 diabetes. J Oral Microbiol 2012;4. Doi: 10.3402/jom. v4i0.19013
- 17 Smith KR, Papantoni A, Veldhuizen MG, et al. Taste-related reward is associated with weight loss following bariatric surgery. J Clin Invest 2020;130(08):4370–4381
- 18 Pepino MY, Bradley D, Eagon JC, Sullivan S, Abumrad NA, Klein S. Changes in taste perception and eating behavior after bariatric surgery-induced weight loss in women. [published correction appears in Obesity (Silver Spring). 2014 Oct;22(10):2276] Obesity (Silver Spring) 2014;22(05):E13–E20
- 19 De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Goncalves D, et al. Microbiota-generated metabolites promote metabolic benefits via gutbrain neural circuits. Cell 2014;156(1-2):84–96
- 20 Grenham S, Clarke G, Cryan JF, Dinan TG. Brain-gut-microbe communication in health and disease. Front Physiol 2011; 2:94
- 21 Gutiérrez-Repiso C, Moreno-Indias I, de Hollanda A, Martín-Núñez GM, Vidal J, Tinahones FJ. Gut microbiota specific signatures are related to the successful rate of bariatric surgery. Am J Transl Res 2019;11(02):942–952
- 22 Fouladi F, Brooks AE, Fodor AA, et al. The role of the gut microbiota in sustained weight loss following Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery. Obes Surg 2019;29(04):1259–1267
- 23 Elliott JA, Reynolds JV, le Roux CW, Docherty NG. Physiology, pathophysiology and therapeutic implications of enteroendocrine control of food intake. Expert Rev Endocrinol Metab 2016;11(06):475–499
- 24 Sweeney TE, Morton JM. Metabolic surgery: action via hormonal milieu changes, changes in bile acids or gut microbiota? A summary of the literature. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2014;28(04):727–740
- 25 Pataro AL, Cortelli SC, Abreu MH, et al. Frequency of periodontal pathogens and *Helicobacter pylori* in the mouths and stomachs of obese individuals submitted to bariatric surgery: a cross-sectional study. J Appl Oral Sci 2016;24(03):229–238
- 26 Džunková M, Lipták R, Vlková B, et al. Salivary microbiome composition changes after bariatric surgery. Sci Rep 2020;10 (01):20086
- 27 Balogh B, Somodi S, Tanyi M, Miszti C, Márton I, Kelentey B. Follow-up study of microflora changes in crevicular gingival fluid in obese subjects after bariatric surgery. Obes Surg 2020;30(12): 5157–5161
- 28 Stefura T, Zapała B, Gosiewski T, Krzysztofik M, Skomarovska O, Major P. Relationship between bariatric surgery outcomes and the preoperative gastrointestinal microbiota: a cohort study. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2021;17(05):889–899

- 29 Mathus-Vliegen EM, Nikkel D, Brand HS. Oral aspects of obesity. Int Dent J 2007;57(04):249-256
- 30 Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P). [published correction appears in BMJ. 2016 Jul 21;354:i4086]. BMJ 2015;349:g7647
- 31 NIH National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute. Study quality assessment tools. Accessed on July 14, 2021 at: https://www. nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/guidelines/indevelop/cardiovascularrisk-reduction/tools
- 32 Stefura T, Zapała B, Stój A, et al. Does postoperative oral and intestinal microbiota correlate with the weight-loss following bariatric surgery?-A cohort study J Clin Med 2020;9(12):3863
- 33 Taghat N, Mossberg K, Lingström P, et al. Oral health profile of postbariatric surgery individuals: a case series. Clin Exp Dent Res 2021;7(05):811-818
- 34 Siqueira JF Jr, Rôças IN. The oral microbiota in health and disease: an overview of molecular findings. Methods Mol Biol 2017;
- 35 Bowden GHW. The microbial ecology of dental caries. Microb Ecol Health Dis 2000;3:138-148
- 36 Preza D, Olsen I, Aas JA, Willumsen T, Grinde B, Paster BJ. Bacterial profiles of root caries in elderly patients. J Clin Microbiol 2008;46 (06):2015-2021
- 37 Lima KC, Coelho LT, Pinheiro IV, Rôças IN, Siqueira JF Jr. Microbiota of dentinal caries as assessed by reverse-capture checkerboard analysis. Caries Res 2011;45(01):21–30
- 38 Sakamoto M, Huang Y, Umeda M, Ishikawa I, Benno Y. Detection of novel oral phylotypes associated with periodontitis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2002;217(01):65-69
- 39 Kumar PS, Griffen AL, Barton JA, Paster BJ, Moeschberger ML, Leys EJ. New bacterial species associated with chronic periodontitis. J Dent Res 2003;82(05):338-344
- 40 Kumar PS, Griffen AL, Moeschberger ML, Leys EJ. Identification of candidate periodontal pathogens and beneficial species by quantitative 16S clonal analysis. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43(08): 3944-3955
- 41 Vgontzas AN, Papanicolaou DA, Bixler EO, Kales A, Tyson K, Chrousos GP. Elevation of plasma cytokines in disorders of excessive daytime sleepiness: role of sleep disturbance and obesity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1997;82(05):1313-1316
- 42 Haffajee AD, Socransky SS. Relation of body mass index, periodontitis and Tannerella forsythia. J Clin Periodontol 2009;36(02):
- 43 Matsushita K, Hamaguchi M, Hashimoto M, et al. The novel association between red complex of oral microbe and body mass index in healthy Japanese: a population based cross-sectional study. J Clin Biochem Nutr 2015;57(02):135-139
- 44 Yang Y, Cai Q, Zheng W, et al. Oral microbiome and obesity in a large study of low-income and African-American populations. J Oral Microbiol 2019;11(01):1650597
- 45 Anbalagan R, Srikanth P, Mani M, Barani R, Seshadri KG, Janarthanan R. Next generation sequencing of oral microbiota in Type 2 diabetes mellitus prior to and after neem stick usage and correlation with serum monocyte chemoattractant-1. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2017;130:204-210
- 46 NIH Human Microbiome Portfolio Analysis Team. A review of 10 years of human microbiome research activities at the US National Institutes of Health, Fiscal Years 2007. Microbiome 2019;7(01):31
- 47 Walker C, Gordon J. The effect of clindamycin on the microbiota associated with refractory periodontitis. J Periodontol 1990;61
- 48 Sullivan A, Edlund C, Nord CE. Effect of antimicrobial agents on the ecological balance of human microflora. Lancet Infect Dis 2001;1 (02):101-114
- 49 Cavallaro V, Catania V, Bonaccorso R, et al. Effect of a broadspectrum cephalosporin on the oral and intestinal microflora in

- patients undergoing colorectal surgery. J Chemother 1992;4(02):
- 50 Jaiswal GR, Jain VK, Dhodapkar SV, et al. Impact of bariatric surgery and diet modification on periodontal status: a six month cohort study. J Clin Diagn Res 2015;9(09): ZC43-ZC45
- 51 Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. Periodontal microbial ecology. Periodontol 2000 2005;38:135-187
- de Moura-Grec PG, Marsicano JA, Rodrigues LM, de Carvalho Sales-Peres SH. Alveolar bone loss and periodontal status in a bariatric patient: a brief review and case report. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012;24(01):84-89
- 53 Nguyen PT, Baldeck JD, Olsson J, Marquis RE. Antimicrobial actions of benzimidazoles against oral streptococci. Oral Microbiol Immunol 2005;20(02):93-100
- Cattaneo C, Gargari G, Koirala R, et al. New insights into the relationship between taste perception and oral microbiota composition. Sci Rep 2019;9(01):3549
- 55 Tanaka S, Yoshida M, Murakami Y, et al. The relationship of Prevotella intermedia, Prevotella nigrescens and Prevotella melaninogenica in the supragingival plaque of children, caries and oral malodor. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2008;32(03):195-200
- Al-Hebshi NN, Shuga-Aldin HM, Al-Sharabi AK, Ghandour I. Subgingival periodontal pathogens associated with chronic periodontitis in Yemenis. BMC Oral Health 2014;14:13
- 57 Macuch PJ, Tanner AC. Campylobacter species in health, gingivitis, and periodontitis. J Dent Res 2000;79(02):785-792
- Fragkioudakis I, Tseleki G, Doufexi AE, Sakellari D. Current concepts on the pathogenesis of peri-implantitis: a narrative review. Eur | Dent 2021;15(02):379-387
- 59 Brasil-Oliveira R, Cruz ÁA, Sarmento VA, Souza-Machado A, Lins-Kusterer L. Corticosteroid use and periodontal disease: a systematic review. Eur J Dent 2020;14(03):496-501
- 60 Budhy TI, Arundina I, Surboyo MDC, Halimah AN. The effects of rice husk liquid smoke in Porphyromonas gingivalis-induced periodontitis. Eur J Dent 2021;15(04):653-659
- Castro MML, Ferreira RO, Fagundes NCF, Almeida APCPSC, Maia LC, Lima RR. Association between psychological stress and periodontitis: a systematic review. Eur J Dent 2020;14(01):171-179
- 62 Invernici MM, Salvador SL, Silva PHF, et al. Effects of Bifidobacterium probiotic on the treatment of chronic periodontitis: a randomized clinical trial. J Clin Periodontol 2018;45(10): 1198-1210
- 63 Vieira Colombo AP, Magalhães CB, Hartenbach FA, Martins do Souto R, Maciel da Silva-Boghossian C. Periodontal-disease-associated biofilm: A reservoir for pathogens of medical importance. Microb Pathog 2016;94:27-34
- 64 Belstrøm D, Fiehn NE, Nielsen CH, et al. Altered bacterial profiles in saliva from adults with caries lesions: a case-cohort study. Caries Res 2014;48(05):368-375
- 65 Willems HM, Kos K, Jabra-Rizk MA, Krom BP. Candida albicans in oral biofilms could prevent caries. Pathog Dis 2016;74(05):
- 66 Aslani N, Janbabaei G, Abastabar M, et al. Identification of uncommon oral yeasts from cancer patients by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. BMC Infect Dis 2018;18(01):24
- 67 Lanau N, Mareque-Bueno J, Zabalza M. Does periodontal treatment help in arterial hypertension control? A systematic review of literature. Eur J Dent 2021;15(01):168-173. Doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1718244
- 68 Akpan A, Morgan R. Oral candidiasis. Postgrad Med J 2002;78 (922):455-459
- 69 Kang JK, Kim E, Kim KH, Oh SH. Association of Helicobacter pylori with gastritis and peptic ulcer diseases. Yonsei Med J 1991;32
- 70 Haraszthy VI, Zambon JJ, Trevisan M, Zeid M, Genco RJ. Identification of periodontal pathogens in atheromatous plaques. J Periodontol 2000;71(10):1554-1560

- 71 Olsen I, Yamazaki K. Can oral bacteria affect the microbiome of the gut? J Oral Microbiol 2019;11(01):1586422
- 72 Segata N, Haake SK, Mannon P, et al. Composition of the adult digestive tract bacterial microbiome based on seven mouth surfaces, tonsils, throat and stool samples. Genome Biol 2012; 13(06):R42
- 73 Olsen I. From the Acta Prize Lecture 2014: the periodontalsystemic connection seen from a microbiological standpoint. Acta Odontol Scand 2015;73(08):563–568
- 74 Nakajima M, Arimatsu K, Kato T, et al. Oral administration of *P. gingivalis* Induces dysbiosis of gut microbiota and impaired barrier function leading to dissemination of enterobacteria to the liver. PLoS One 2015;10(07):e0134234. Doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0134234
- 75 Lu MY, Xuan SY, Wang Z. Oral microbiota: a new view of body health. Food Sci Hum Wellness 2019;8:8–15
- 76 Qin N, Yang F, Li A, et al. Alterations of the human gut microbiome in liver cirrhosis. Nature 2014;513(7516):59–64