

Original Article

EVALUATION OF ANTIMICROBIAL AND CYTOTOXIC ACTIVITY OF ELECTRON BEAM IRRADIATED ENDODONTIC SEALER

A Geethashri¹, KJ Palaksha², B Mohana Kumar², KR Sridhar³, Ganesh Sanjeev⁴, A Veena Shetty⁵

¹Senior Research Fellow, Nitte University Centre for Science Education & Research, ²Associate Professor, Nitte University Centre for Stem Cell Research & Regenerative Medicine, ⁵Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, K.S. Hegde Medical Academy, Nitte University, ³Professor & HOD, Department of Biosciences, ⁴Senior Physicist, Mangalore University, Mangalore, India.

Correspondence :

Veena A Shetty

Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, K.S. Hegde Medical Academy, Nitte University, Mangalore - 575 018, India.

Email: vndshetty@yahoo.co.in

Abstract :

Background : The persistent pathogenic microorganisms in root canal system even after chemo-mechanical preparations cause endodontic infection and failure of the treatment. Thus the filling material, in addition to its good sealing ability, should offer long term antimicrobial effect and be non-toxic to cells and dentin. Zinc Oxide Eugenol (ZOE) is the most commonly used root canal sealer in endodontics. Electron beam (e-beam) is an ionizing radiation and known to cause physiochemical and biological changes. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of e-beam irradiation on bioactive properties of ZOE.

Methodology : The homogenous mixture of ZOE was prepared as per manufacturer's instructions and discs of 6 mm were prepared by loading the paste into sterile moulds. After complete drying discs were aseptically removed and subjected to e-beam irradiation at doses of 250 Gy, 500 Gy, 750 Gy and 1000 Gy at Microtron Centre, Mangalore University. Antimicrobial and antibiofilm properties of both control(non-irradiated) and irradiated sealer against *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus mutans* and *Candida albicans* were determined by well diffusion method and antibiofilm by O'Toole method, respectively. The cytotoxicity was determined by using MTT assay on human gingival fibroblasts.

Results : The antimicrobial effect of ZOE was observed only against *S. aureus* and *C. albicans*. The ZOE sealer irradiated at 1000 Gy showed a significantly ($P < 0.001$) increased antimicrobial effect against *S. aureus* and *C. albicans* compared to control ZOE. However, the substantially increased antibiofilm activity against *C. albicans* was noticed in the ZOE irradiated at 250 Gy. There was no significant ($P > 0.05$) difference in cytotoxicity between control and irradiated ZOE.

Conclusion : The e-beam irradiated endodontic sealer ZOE at 1000 Gy and 250 Gy significantly enhanced the antimicrobial and antibiofilm activity respectively without changing its biocompatibility.

Keywords : Electron beam irradiation, Endodontic sealers, Zinc Oxide Eugenol, Oral pathogens, Antimicrobial activity, Cytotoxicity.

Introduction:

The outcome of endodontic treatment depends on the complete elimination of microorganisms present in the root canal by instrumentation, irrigation and the use of effective sealant¹. An ideal root canal sealer should prevent

bacterial recolonisation and recontamination of the canal system completely and be non antigenic, non-tumorigenic and non-toxic².

Several materials have been recommended as root sealers however, none of them has so far been proved to be an ideal sealer³. Despite differences of opinions on the spectrum of antimicrobial activity, Zinc Oxide Eugenol (ZOE) is one of the most commonly used endodontic sealers in root canal treatment⁴⁻⁶.

E-beam radiation is a form of ionizing energy has been introduced as a means of sterilizing single-use, disposable health care products. Ionizing radiation induces structural changes in the native pharmaceutical compound resulting in altered physico-chemical, microbiological and toxicological properties⁷. It has also been reported to be an

Access this article online

Quick Response Code



effective tool to decompose the organic substances and reduce the toxicity⁸.

Enterococcus faecalis, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus mutans* and *Candida albicans* are among the few endodontic pathogens causing the failure of the root canal treatment and reinfections^{9, 10}. In this study, antimicrobial and cytotoxic activity of the most commonly used sealer ZOE was evaluated before and after exposing to e-beam irradiation against persistent microbes and human gingival fibroblasts, respectively.

Materials and Methods:

The commercially available ZOE (Septodont, Mumbai) was purchased from the market. The emulsion of zinc oxide and eugenol prepared as per manufacturers' instructions. The discs of ZOE (6×4 mm) after complete drying, were aseptically removed from the mould and divided into control and experimental (irradiated) groups. The experimental group discs were irradiated by e-beam at 250 Gy, 500 Gy, 750 Gy and 1000 Gy at a dose rate of 500 Gy/min using the Microtron facility available at Department of Physics, Mangalore University.

The antimicrobial activity of control and irradiated sealers was evaluated by well diffusion and biofilm inhibition assays. The microorganisms *E. faecalis* (ATCC 29212), *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923), *S. mutans* (MTCC 890) and *C. albicans* (ATCC 90028) were obtained from the stock cultures of Nitte University Centre for Science Education and Research. The bacteria and fungus were subcultured in Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA), respectively.

The well diffusion assay was carried out according to the slightly modified method of Filho MT et al¹¹. Briefly, the optical densities of seven hrs old bacterial and fungal cultures were adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard and swabbed uniformly on the 20 ml of solidified MHA and SDA medium. Then, control and irradiated sealers were placed aseptically to the wells of 6×4 mm prepared by using sterile cork and borer. Followed by overnight incubation of culture plates at 37°C, the zones of inhibition were recorded in

mm.

The biofilm inhibition ability of sealer was determined on the endodontic pathogens grown in 96 well plates as described by O'Toole¹². The control and irradiated sealers were immersed in 1 ml of Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB) and Sabouraud Dextrose Broth (SDB) for 24 hrs at room temperature. The 20 µl of the elute was inoculated into the each wells and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. Then the contents were discarded, followed by gentle wash in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7), the biofilms were stained with 0.1% crystal violet stain for 15 min. Excess stain was removed by washing the biofilm in double distilled water for three times. Following the destaining with 30% acetic acid, the quantification of viable cells was performed by taking the optical density reading at 560nm using Lisa chem plate reader.

The cytotoxicity of sealers to human gingival fibroblasts was evaluated by MTT 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide assay¹³. Briefly, 20 µl of eluted fraction of sealers, which were pre-incubated in 1 ml Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) for 24 hrs at room temperature was inoculated to well containing 1×10^4 cells in 96-well microtiter plate and incubated for 15 min at 37°C in 5% CO₂ incubator. Then the wells were washed once with PBS. The cytotoxicity of sealers was evaluated by incubating the cells with 100 µl of MTT dye (0.05 mg/ml) in PBS for 4 hrs at 37°C in 5% CO₂ incubator. The intensity of the colour was measured by adding dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) at 545 nm using Lisa chem plate reader.

All the assays were performed in triplicates. The results obtained were analyzed by one way ANOVA. The level of significance was considered at 5%.

Results and Discussion:

The antimicrobial activity of ZOE was observed only against *S. aureus* and *C. albicans*. However, the ZOE sealer irradiated at 1000 Gy showed a significantly ($P < 0.001$) increased antimicrobial activity against *S. aureus* (Figure 1 and 7) and *C. albicans* (Figure 2 and 8) compared to control ZOE.




Figure 1 : Zone of inhibition by control and irradiated ZOE against *S. aureus*



Figure 2 : Zone of inhibition by control and irradiated ZOE against *C. albicans*




Figure 3 : *E. faecalis* biofilm suppression by ZOE and irradiated ZOE.




Figure 4 : *S. aureus* biofilm suppression by ZOE and irradiated ZOE.




Figure 5 : *C. albicans* biofilm suppression by ZOE and irradiated ZOE.




Figure 6 : Cytotoxicity of ZOE and irradiated ZOE




Figure 7 : The antimicrobial activity of ZOE at 1000 Gy against *S. aureus*




Figure 8 : The antimicrobial activity of ZOE at 1000 Gy against *C. albicans*

The antibiofilm activity of sealer was observed against *E. faecalis*, *S. aureus* and *C. albicans*. In addition, the irradiated sealers showed an increased inhibition of *E. faecalis* (Figure 3), *S. aureus* (Figure 4) and *C. albicans* (Figure 5) biofilm. The ZOE irradiated at 250 Gy showed the substantially increased ($P < 0.01$) suppression effect on the formation of biofilm by *C. albicans*. In cytotoxicity assay, the percentage viability of cells was observed as 92.82%, 83.52% and 87.12% when treated with control, 250 Gy and 1000 Gy irradiated ZOE, respectively, and demonstrated that the cytotoxic effect of irradiated ZOE was insignificant ($P > 0.05$) compared to control (Figure 6).

Zinc oxide eugenol based sealers are most commonly employed sealants in endodontics¹⁴. Eugenol is a potent antimicrobial agent, therefore the antimicrobial activity of ZOE-based sealants attributed to the free eugenol released from set material¹⁵. Supporting these observations, previous studies also reported that the cytotoxic effect of ZOE-based sealants due to their free eugenol component¹⁶.

It has been reported that the irradiation of pharmaceutical compounds did not affect the biological properties like antimicrobial activity^{7,17}. In addition, it is used as a tool to degrade the detergents and reduce the adverse effects. The significantly decreased acute toxicity of sodium

dodecyl sulfate was seen after e-beam irradiation at 3 and 6 kGy⁸. So in this study, efforts have been made to evaluate the effect of e-beam on antimicrobial and cytotoxic activity on locally available ZOE-based sealer.

In this study the antimicrobial activity of ZOE-based sealant was observed only against *S. aureus* and *C. albicans*. On both the microbes, a significantly increased antimicrobial activity of irradiated ZOE-based sealer was seen at 1000 Gy of e-beam irradiation. Biofilm of *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans* was significantly suppressed by ZOE. There was no significant difference in suppression of *E. faecalis* and *S. aureus* biofilm by irradiated ZOE, but *C. albicans* biofilm was significantly suppressed by ZOE irradiated at 250 Gy. The biocompatibility of ZOE was not altered as there was no significant ($P > 0.05$) difference between ZOE and irradiated ZOE at 250 Gy.

Conclusions:

Based on the present study e-beam irradiation might be a tool in enhancing the antibacterial and antifungal activity of the sealers.

Acknowledgement:

Authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support given by the Department of Atomic Energy, Board of Research in Nuclear Sciences (BRNS), Government of India.

References:

- Sandqvist G. Ecology of the root canal flora. J Endod 1992;18:427-430.
- D'Souza L Henston, Sharma N, Chander S, Singh S, D'Souza R. Root canal sealers and its role in successful endodontics- A review. Annals of Dental Research 2012;2(2):68-78.
- Zarrabi MH, Javid M, Naderinasab M, Gharechahi M. comparative evaluation of antimicrobial activity of three cements: new endodontic cement (NEC), mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) and portland. Journal of Oral Science 2009;51(3):437-442.
- Gomes BPFA, Pedroso JA, Jacinto RC, Visnns ME, Ferraz CCR, Zaia AA. In vitro Evaluation of the Antimicrobial Activity of Five Root Canal Sealers. Braz Dent J 2004;15(1):30-35.
- Queiroz AM, Nelson-Filho P, Silva LAB, Assed S, Silva RAB, ITO IY. Antimicrobial Activity of Root Canal Filling Materials for Primary Teeth: Zinc oxide and eugenol Cement, Calen Paste Thickened with Zinc Oxide, Sealapex and EndoREZ. Braz Dent J 2009;20(4):290-296.
- Pizzo G, Giammanco GM, Cumbo E, Nicolosi G, Gallina G. In vitro antimicrobial activity of endodontic sealers. Journal of Dentistry 2006;34:35-40.
- Beteshobabrud R, Nabardi F. The stability studies of penicillin and ampicillin following γ -irradiation in the solid state. Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2009;8(3):153-157.
- Romanelli MF, Moraes MCF, Villavicencio ALCH, Borrelly SI. Evaluation of toxicity reduction of sodium dodecyl sulfate submitted to electron beam irradiation. Radiation Phys. Chem. 2004;71:409-411.
- Narayanan LL, Vaiahnavi C. Endodontic Microbiology. J Conserv Dent 2010;13(2):233-239.
- Peciulienė V, Reynaud AH, Balciuniene I, Haapasalo M. Isolation of yeasts and enteric bacteria in root-filled teeth with chronic and apical periodontitis. Int Endod J 2001;34(6):429-34.
- Filho MT, Tonomaru JMG, Barros DB, Watanabe E, Ito IY. In vitro antimicrobial activity of endodontic sealers, MTA-based cements and Portland cement. J Oral Sci. 2007;49:41-45.
- O'Toole G.A. Microtiter Dish Biofilm Formation Assay. J Vis Exp. 2011; 30(47):1-2.
- Sergeant JM, Taylor CG. Appraisal of the MTT assay as a rapid test of chemosensitivity in acute myeloid leukaemia. Br. J.Cancer 1989; 60:206-210.
- Kaiwar A, Nadig G, Hegde J, Lekha S. Assessment of antimicrobial sealers on *Enterococcus faecalis*: An in vitro study. World Journal of Dentistry 2012;13(1):26-31.
- Hume WR. The pharmacological and toxicological properties of zinc oxide- eugenol. Journal of American Dental Association 1986;113:789-91.
- Lindqvist L, Otteskog O. Eugenol- liberation from the dental materials and effect on human diploid fibroblast cells. Scandinavian Journal of Dental Research 1981;89:552-556.

17. Singh B, Parwate DV, Shukla SK. Radiosterelization of Fluroquinolones and Cephalosporines: Assessment of radiation Damage on Antibiotics by changes in optical property and colorimetric parameters AAPS PharmSciTech 2009;10(1):34-43.