

The Effects of Prognostic Factors in Idiopathic Sudden Hearing Loss

Suphi Bulğurcu¹ Behçet Şahin² Gökhan Akgül³ İlker Burak Arslan³ İbrahim Çukurova³

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Başkale State Hospital, Başkale, Van, Van 65600, Turkey

²Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Amasya Sabuncuoğlu Şerefeddin Training and Research Hospital, Amasya, Turkey

³Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Tepecik Training and Research Hospital, İzmir, Turkey

Address for correspondence Suphi Bulğurcu, MD, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Başkale State Hospital, Başkale, Van, Van 65600, Turkey (e-mail: suphibulg@yahoo.com).

Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2018;22:33–37.

Abstract

Introduction Sudden hearing loss is one of the otologic emergencies. The treatment of this disease is affected negatively by some prognostic factors.

Objective In this study, the effects of early treatment initiation in patients with idiopathic sudden hearing loss and of prognostic factors in early treated patients were investigated.

Methods Out of the 216 patients admitted between September 2007 and September 2015, 154 were identified as having idiopathic sudden hearing loss; they were followed-up for a mean time of 7.4 months, and evaluated retrospectively. The effects of several parameters on the success of the treatment were statistically evaluated, such as the time the treatment was initiated, being of the female gender, the severity of the hearing loss, having descending type audiogram patterns, being older than 60 years old, and the co-presence of vertigo.

Results Success rates were found to be significantly higher in idiopathic hearing loss patients that were admitted within the first week ($p < 0.05$) of the onset of the hearing loss. However, the outcomes were found to be similar when patients admitted within the first 3 days and 4–7 days after the occurrence of the hearing loss were compared ($p > 0.05$). Parameters such as female gender, severe hearing loss, descending type audiogram, being older than 60 years old, and co-presence of vertigo didn't reveal statistically significant effects on the outcome ($p > 0.05$).

Conclusion The aforementioned prognostic factors, which are well-known in the literature, did not have significant effects when the idiopathic sudden hearing loss treatment was initiated within the first 7 days of the onset of the hearing loss.

Keywords

- ▶ sudden hearing loss
- ▶ prognosis
- ▶ time

Introduction

Sudden hearing loss is an otologic emergency with an annual incidence of 5–20 patients per 100,000 individuals. The diagnostic criterion for sudden hearing loss is having a

hearing loss greater than 30 decibels (dB) in 3 consecutive frequencies within 3 days of the onset of the symptom. Studies show that males and females are equally affected, and the average age of the onset is reported to be between 43–53 years. It is also reported that 28–57% of the patients

received
November 9, 2016
accepted
April 1, 2017
published online
May 17, 2017

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1603108>.
ISSN 1809-9777.

Copyright © 2018 by Thieme Revinter
Publicações Ltda, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

License terms



has ear fullness, tinnitus, vertigo of varying severity, and balance disorders.¹

In the study by Kuhn et al, the underlying etiologies in 7–45% of the patients were identified. They categorized the causes as autoimmune, vascular, infectious, metabolic, neoplastic, neurologic, otologic, traumatic, toxic, and functional. The cases with no identifiable etiology were referred to as idiopathic sudden hearing loss (ISHL). The existing theories regarding the pathophysiology of ISHL include cochlear membrane rupture, vascular events, and viral infections.²

There are no clear treatment recommendations for patients with sudden hearing loss of unidentified etiology in the literature.³ On the other hand, several prognostic factors, including being of the female gender, late treatment initiation, the presence of descending type audiogram patterns, the co-presence of vertigo, severe hearing loss, and being older than 60 years old and younger than 15 years old were reported to have negative effects on the recovery process. Full recovery is defined as achieving at least a 25-dB improvement in pure tone average (average of 500, 1,000, 2,000 and 4,000 Hz), or a return to pre-loss values after treatment. When the improvement is between 10–24 dB, the cases are considered as partial recoveries. An improvement of less than 10 dB is deemed no recovery.^{4,5}

In this study, the association between the time the treatment was initiated and the success of it, as well as the effects of the negative prognostic factors, such as being of the female gender, having severe hearing loss, the co-presence of vertigo, the presence of descending type audiogram patterns, and advanced age on the outcomes of the patients treated within the first week were investigated.

Materials and Methods

A total of 216 patients with sudden hearing loss and showing a loss of at least 30 dB at three consecutive frequencies, admitted between September 2007 and September 2015, were evaluated retrospectively, after obtaining the approval of our local ethics committee. All patients were hospitalized and questioned for any infection symptoms for the past 10 days, trauma history, systemic diseases, neurologic and otologic conditions, and use of any ototoxic medications. All patients underwent contrast magnetic resonance imaging for any possible intracranial pathologies. Blood samples were

collected and analyzed for autoimmune and hematologic conditions, as well as viral infections. Laboratory examinations included tests for: full blood count; erythrocyte sedimentation rate; prothrombin time; serum glucose, cholesterol, lipids, urea and creatinine concentrations; viral serological tests for Epstein-Barr, rubella, cytomegalovirus, human immunodeficiency, hepatitis B and C; fluorescent treponemal antibody (FTA) test for *Treponema pallidum* infection; serum thyroid hormones; and antigen-nonspecific serologic tests for autoimmune diseases (anti-nuclear antigen [ANA], anti-smooth muscle antibody [ASMA], anti-deoxyribonucleic acid antibody [anti-DNA], and rheumatoid factor [RF]). The patients were followed-up for at least 6 months. No etiology could be identified in 154 of the patients (76 male, 78 female) with an average age of 63.94 years (range: 17–74 years), and they were eventually diagnosed as having ISHL. The right ear was affected in 89 patients, while 65 had left-sided hearing loss.

All patients with ISHL were treated according to our department's standard protocol (► **Table 1**).

The degree of hearing loss was classified as mild (26–40 dB), moderate (41–55 dB), moderately severe (56–70 dB), severe (71–90 dB) and profound (> 90 dB), as proposed by Shaia and Sheehy.⁶ Three treatment success categories were established according to the extent of the recovery. The groups were as follows: full recovery (that is, an improvement of at least a 25-dB in pure tone average, or a return to pre-loss values after treatment), partial recovery (that is, a pure tone average improvement between 10–24 dB) and no recovery (that is, an improvement of less than 10 dB). The patients belonged to one of the four treatment initiation time groups. In the early treatment group, the treatment was initiated within 0–3 days (group 1) and 4–7 days (group 2) after the hearing loss occurred, while the late treatment group consisted of patients whose treatment was initiated between 8–14 days (group 3) and 15–30 days (group 4) after the onset of the hearing loss.

Severe hearing loss was defined as having a loss greater than 70 dB. Four distinct audiogram type groups, namely sloping, ascending, flat, and total, according to Sheehy's classification, were established.⁷

In the early treatment group, the effects on the success of the treatment of being female, having severe hearing loss, showing descending type audiogram patterns, and being older than 60 years old were evaluated.

Table 1 Treatment protocol for patients with idiopathic sudden hearing loss

Drug	Duration of medication	Dose interval	Amount of dose	Drug administration route
Dexamethasone	5 days	1 × 1	0.5 mL	intratympanic
Methylprednisolone	1 day	1 × 1	250 mg	intravenous
Heparin sodium	10 days	2 × 1	1 mL	intravenous
Pentoxifylline	10 days	2 × 1	4 mL	intravenous
Pantoprazole	10 days	1 × 1	40 mg	peroral
Trimetazidine	10 days	2 × 1	20 mg	peroral
B vitamin complex	10 days	1 × 1	1 mg	peroral

Table 2 Recovery rate, audiogram types and coexistent vertigo presented according to the treatment initiation time

Treatment initiation time (days)/N	Mean age	Gender (F/M)	Recovery rate Complete/Partial/No	Audiogram types S/A/F/T	Coexistent vertigo
0–3 (group 1)	43.71	31/30	13/18/30	50/2/5/4	39
4–7 (group 2)	48.46	30/30	16/18/26	34/5/11/10	28
8–15 (group 3)	46.37	13/11	2/7/15	6/1/8/9	9
15–30 (group 4)	49.1	4/5	0/2/7	2/0/2/5	2

Abbreviations: F, female; M, male.

Note: Audiogram types: Sloping (S), ascending (A), flat (F), and total (T).

Patients with bilateral sudden hearing loss, describing a loss that had begun more than 30 days prior to their consultations, and those younger than 15 years old were excluded from the study.

A dataset was built using the International Business Machines Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (IBM SPSS, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, US). Numerical and categorical data were analyzed using the Student's *t*- and the chi-square tests where appropriate. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 78 females (mean age: 45 ± 2.7 years) and 76 males (mean age: 46 ± 3.4 years) diagnosed as having ISHL were included in the study. The average time of admission was 6.21 days. Recovery rate, audiogram types and coexistent vertigo were presented according to the treatment initiation time in ►Table 2. Moderate (41–55 dB) and moderately severe (56–70 dB) hearing losses are the most encountered audiogram types with ISHL (►Table 3).

In 66 patients, the causes of the sensorineural hearing loss were identified as: viral infections (21 patients), systemic disease (14 patients), trauma (13 patients), vascular pathologies (6 patients), ototoxicity (3 patients), vestibulo-cochlear nerve compression (2 patients), autoimmune conditions (2 patients), and Meniere's disease (1 patient). Regarding the remaining 154 patients with ISHL, there was a statistically significant association between treatment initiation times and recovery degrees, when early and late treatment groups were compared ($p = 0.043$). The degrees of recovery were similar between the patients treated at 0–3 days and 4–7 days ($p > 0.05$), and between the patients treated at 8–14 days and 15–30 days ($p > 0.05$)

(►Table 2). The effects of gender, having a loss greater than 70 dB, being older than 60 years, having descending type audiogram patterns and presence of vertigo in early treated patients were summarized in ►Table 4.

Discussion

No etiology can be detected in $\sim 70\%$ of patients with sudden hearing loss, and such cases are eventually identified as ISHL.⁸ Some of these patients show spontaneous recovery.⁹ Various treatment protocols are available in the literature, and steroids have been reported to be the most effective treatment method in general.^{10,11} Chen et al found that intratympanic steroids were more effective than systemic steroids in the treatment of sudden hearing loss.¹² Ding et al reported that the first choice in the treatment of ISHL should be systemic steroids.¹³ However, Arastou et al reported that the co-administration of systemic and intratympanic steroids was more effective in sudden hearing loss.¹⁴ We prefer to administer systemic and intratympanic steroids together.

On the other hand, different protocols reveal different recovery rates. In concordance with our findings, the most important issue in the treatment is reported to be the early initiation.¹⁵ In the study by Lee et al, 289 sudden hearing loss cases were evaluated, and the recovery rates were found to be of 73.8% when the treatment was initiated within 3 days, and of 87.2% when it was initiated within 7 days of the onset of the hearing loss.¹⁶ Uysal et al studied 96 ISHL patients and reported better recovery rates when the treatment began within the first week.¹⁷ Similarly, Cho et al. found significantly higher recovery rates (88%) in patients who were treated within the first 3 days.¹⁸ Contradicting results are also present in the literature.¹⁹ In the

Table 3 Relationship between recovery rates with treatment initiation time and degree of hearing loss

Treatment initiation time (days)/N	Mild C/P/N	Moderate C/P/N	Moderately severe C/P/N	Severe C/P/N	Profound C/P/N
0–3 (group 1)	2/0/0	1/4/6	2/4/7	5/6/8	3/4/9
4–7 (group 2)	2/2/1	3/4/6	3/1/6	6/7/5	2/4/8
8–15 (group 3)	0/0/3	1/2/2	0/2/2	1/3/6	0/0/2
15–30 (group 4)	0/0/0	0/1/1	0/1/2	0/0/3	0/0/1

Abbreviations: C, complete recovery; P, partial recovery; N, no recovery.

Table 4 Effects of gender, having a hearing loss greater than 70 dB, being older than 60 years, having descending type audiogram patterns, and co-presence of vertigo in the early treated patients

	Full Recovery		Partial Recovery		No Recovery	
		<i>p</i>		<i>p</i>		<i>p</i>
Gender		0.831		0.691		1.0
Female	14	19	28			
Male	15	17	28			
Hearing loss		0.837		0.949		0.295
> 70 dB	16	21	30			
≤ 70 dB	13	15	26			
Age		0.746		0.001		0.001
> 60 years old	7	0	25			
≤ 60 years old	22	36	31			
Audiogram type		0.184		0.001		0.004
Sloping	23	31	30			
Others	6	5	26			
Vertigo		0.192		0.708		0.143
Presence	13	19	35			
Absence	16	17	21			

present work, which was conducted with 154 ISHL patients, treatment success rates were significantly higher when the treatment was initiated within the first week.

Some authors suggest that being of the female gender is a negative factor for the success of the treatment. However, several contradicting reports indicating no differences between genders are also present.^{4,16,20,21} We also did not find any significant association between gender and treatment success.

There is a strong negative correlation between age and prognosis. A great deal of studies report that prognosis is significantly better in patients younger than 60 years of age.^{2,20–22} Although 75% of the patients that achieved full recovery were under the age of 60, no statistical significance could be obtained. On the other hand, several studies failed to show an association between age and prognosis.^{23,24}

Severe hearing loss is indicative of serious cochlear damage, and leads to poorer treatment success rates. Milder cases are reported to attain better treatment results.^{16,21,22} However, in our study, 55% of the patients with full recovery and 58% of the patients with partial recovery had a loss greater than 70 dB. This may partially be due to the fact that patients with severe hearing loss were admitted to the hospital earlier.

The patients in our study presented four audiogram types, namely descending, ascending, flat and total loss. The descending type was present in 54% of our cases. Various prevalence data are available regarding the audiogram types in sudden hearing loss patients.¹⁵ However, it is observed that the cases showing descending type audiograms have the poorest treatment success rates. Chang et al stated that a significant association could be made between the

audiogram types and the treatment success rates.^{21,25} But, in our study, we did not detect any association between audiogram types and treatment success.

Inflammatory response in specific cochlear areas may extend beyond the barriers in the anterior labyrinthine region, and may reach the vestibule and semicircular channels, consequently triggering vestibular symptoms, in addition to sudden hearing loss. The co-presence of vertigo is shown to worsen the treatment outcomes in sudden hearing loss cases.^{26,27} We also found lower success rates in patients with accompanying vertigo without statistical significance.

Conclusion

In the present study, we decided that, in ISHL patients, when the treatment was initiated within the first 7 days of the onset of the hearing loss, the recovery rate was increased. We also found that the effect of other prognostic factors was less significant in the cases of early treatment. Further studies about early treatment in ISHL might contribute to our study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Reference

- 1 Rauch SD. Intratympanic steroids for sensorineural hearing loss. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am* 2004;37(05):1061–1074
- 2 Kuhn M, Heman-Ackah SE, Shaikh JA, Roehm PC. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a review of diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis. *Trends Amplif* 2011;15(03):91–105

- 3 Baloğlu O, Olgun G, Saraç S. İdiyopatik ani sensörinöral işitme kaybı tedavisi. *Hacettepe Tıp Dergisi* 2007;38:26–32
- 4 Xenellis J, Karapatsas I, Papadimitriou N, et al. Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss: prognostic factors. *J Laryngol Otol* 2006;120(09):718–724
- 5 Byl FM Jr. Sudden hearing loss: eight years' experience and suggested prognostic table. *Laryngoscope* 1984;94(5 Pt 1):647–661
- 6 Shaia FT, Sheehy JL. Sudden sensori-neural hearing impairment: a report of 1,220 cases. *Laryngoscope* 1976;86(03):389–398
- 7 Sheehy JL. Vasodilator therapy in sensory-neural hearing loss. *Laryngoscope* 1960;70:885–914
- 8 Chau JK, Lin JR, Atashband S, Irvine RA, Westerberg BD. Systematic review of the evidence for the etiology of adult sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Laryngoscope* 2010;120(05):1011–1021
- 9 Mattox DE, Simmons FB. Natural history of sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 1977;86(4 Pt 1):463–480
- 10 Tsai HT, Hsueh N, Huang CM, Lin HC. Intratympanic steroid injection as a first-line therapy in uremia patients with sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Acta Otolaryngol* 2015;135(08):786–790
- 11 Eftekharian A, Amizadeh M. Pulse steroid therapy in idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Laryngoscope* 2016;126(01):150–155
- 12 Chen P, Wang S, Zhang Y, Huang H, Zhang C, Xiao Z. [Intratympanic versus systemic steroid initial treatment for idiopathic sudden hearing loss: a Meta-analysis]. *Lin Chung Er Bi Yan Hou Tou Jing Wai Ke Za Zhi* 2015;29(22):1970–1977
- 13 Ding XY, Cui TT, Feng GD, Gao ZQ. [Intratympanic versus systemic steroid treatment for idiopathic sudden hearing loss: a meta-analysis]. *Zhonghua Er Bi Yan Hou Tou Jing Wai Ke Za Zhi* 2013;48(05):412–416
- 14 Arastou S, Tajedini A, Borghei P. Combined intratympanic and systemic steroid therapy for poor-prognosis sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Iran J Otorhinolaryngol* 2013;25(70):23–28
- 15 Lloyd SK. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss: early diagnosis improves outcome. *Br J Gen Pract* 2013;63(613):e592–e594
- 16 Lee HS, Lee YJ, Kang BS, Lee BD, Lee JS. A clinical analysis of sudden sensorineural hearing loss cases. *Korean J Audiol* 2014;18(02):69–75
- 17 Uysal İÖ, Müderris T, Polat K, Yüce S, Gültürk S. Is the time from the onset to the treatment a prognostic indicator for hearing recovery in idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss? *Kulak Burun Bogaz İhtis Derg* 2015;25(02):70–76
- 18 Cho CS, Choi YJ. Prognostic factors in sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a retrospective study using interaction effects. *Rev Bras Otorrinolaringol (Engl Ed)* 2013;79(04):466–470
- 19 Siegel LG. The treatment of idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am* 1975;8(02):467–473
- 20 Edizer DT, Çelebi Ö, Hamit B, Baki A, Yiğit Ö. Recovery of Idiopathic Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss. *J Int Adv Otol* 2015;11(02):122–126
- 21 Chang NC, Ho KY, Kuo WR. Audiometric patterns and prognosis in sudden sensorineural hearing loss in southern Taiwan. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2005;133(06):916–922
- 22 Harada H, Kato T. Prognosis for sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a retrospective study using logistical regression analysis. *Int Tinnitus J* 2005;11(02):115–118
- 23 Zadeh MH, Storper IS, Spitzer JB. Diagnosis and treatment of sudden-onset sensorineural hearing loss: a study of 51 patients. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2003;128(01):92–98
- 24 Mamak A, Yılmaz S, Cansız H, İnci E, Güçlü E, Dereköylü L. A study of prognostic factors in sudden hearing loss. *Ear Nose Throat J* 2005;84(10):641–644
- 25 Cvorović L, Deric D, Probst R, Hegemann S. Prognostic model for predicting hearing recovery in idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Otol Neurotol* 2008;29(04):464–469
- 26 Bogaz EA, Maranhão AS, Inoue DP, Suzuki FA, Penido NdeO. Variables with prognostic value in the onset of idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Rev Bras Otorrinolaringol (Engl Ed)* 2015;81(05):520–526
- 27 Ceylan A, Celenk F, Kemaloğlu YK, Bayazit YA, Göksu N, Ozbilen S. Impact of prognostic factors on recovery from sudden hearing loss. *J Laryngol Otol* 2007;121(11):1035–1040