

Skin Tightening Technologies

Ryan M. Greene, MD, PhD, FACS^{1,2} Jeremy B. Green, MD^{3,4}

¹Greene MD Plastic Surgery and Laser Center, Weston, Florida

²Voluntary Assistant Professor, Division of Facial Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, University of Miami, Miami, Florida

³Dr. Brandt Dermatology Associates, Coral Gables, Florida

⁴Voluntary Assistant Professor, Department of Dermatology and Cutaneous Surgery, University of Miami, Miami, Florida

Address for correspondence Ryan M. Greene, MD, PhD, FACS, Division of Facial Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, University of Miami, Miami, FL (e-mail: RGreene@DrRyanGreene.com).

Facial Plast Surg 2014;30:62–67.

Abstract

Keywords

- ▶ radiofrequency
- ▶ ultrasound
- ▶ skin tightening
- ▶ laxity

Radiofrequency (RF) and intense focused ultrasound (IFUS) are increasingly used to address skin laxity of the face and neck. Both nonablative RF and ultrasound create a heat-induced tissue response that leads to collagen remodeling and other ultrastructural changes. Although these treatments are not meant to replace surgical procedures, patient satisfaction in the majority of studies has been consistently high. This article discusses the various RF and IFUS technologies currently in use and reviews pertinent clinical studies evaluating their efficacy and safety.

Redundant facial and neck skin is a major feature of aging that until recently could only be addressed with surgery. Although surgical intervention is the gold standard for correction of skin laxity of the face, many patients instead opt for less invasive procedures that are associated with less downtime but more modest improvements. For physicians who offer these nonsurgical facial tightening procedures, it is essential to select appropriate candidates, set realistic expectations, and combine tightening procedures with other modalities, such as fillers and botulinum toxin, to optimize outcomes. This review summarizes the nonablative radiofrequency (RF) and focused ultrasound treatments currently available for addressing laxity of the face and neck.

Thermal Collagen Remodeling

Collagen undergoes several changes in aging skin that can create laxity. Although the amount of soluble collagen declines with age, insoluble collagen increases. This is caused by the increase in stable multichain cross-linking, which leads to a loss of skin elasticity. The additional stable cross-linking also leads to an increase in collagen tensile strength with age.¹ The amount of new collagen production decreases with age due to fibroblast changes.² Increased proteinase activity also degrades collagen, further diminishing collagen content in the dermis. Exposure to ultraviolet radiation leads to activation of these proteases to further degrade existing

collagen.³ These changes ultimately lead to decreased collagen turnover and thinning of the dermis. The selective reduction of type I collagen also leads to a decreased ratio of type I to type III collagen in the dermis.⁴

Several devices have been developed to address these aging skin changes through the heat modification of collagen. The heating of collagen breaks the intramolecular heat-labile bonds forming the chain cross-links, while the heat-stable intermolecular cross-links are maintained.⁵ This serves to unravel the triple helix and shorten the molecule. Electron microscopy has shown an increase in size of the collagen fibrils. Heating to sufficient temperatures stimulates neocollagenesis, but if the temperature is too high, irreversible denaturation changes the ordered crystalline structure of collagen into a random gelatinous form.

After the acute thermal shortening of the collagen molecule, a reparative process follows for approximately 1 month. At 3 months posttreatment, epidermal hyperplasia and thickening with the development of rete ridges are seen. The amount of newly synthesized collagen is significantly increased and may continue for up to 6 months after treatment.⁶ Most in vitro and in vivo studies suggest that temperatures in the dermis should approach from 45 to 65°C to achieve the desired = results of collagen molecule shortening. However, for every 5°C decrease in temperature, a 10-fold increase in time is needed to achieve a similar amount of collagen contraction.⁷ Thus, no true threshold temperature

Issue Theme Classical and State-of-the-Art Skin Rejuvenation; Guest Editors, Lisa D. Grunebaum, MD, and Noëlle S. Sherber, MD, FAAD

Copyright © 2014 by Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc., 333 Seventh Avenue, New York, NY 10001, USA.
Tel: +1(212) 584-4662.

DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1363756>.
ISSN 0736-6825.

exists, and the amount of contraction is determined by a combination of time and temperature. This controlled heating is the basis for nonablative skin tightening technologies that are currently available.

An Overview of Radiofrequency Technology

Historically, ablative and nonablative laser devices have been used to improve facial skin laxity, and recently novel technologies have emerged that use energy sources other than light and laser. RF is a novel nonablative technology that uses an electric current rather than light to deliver energy to the tissue. RF energy is a form of electromagnetic energy ranging from 300 MHz to 3 kHz that has been used in many areas of medicine including cardiology, urology, and sleep medicine. It was initially developed in the 1920s for electrocautery,⁸ but is now widely used in aesthetic applications. In 2002, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the first RF device for facial wrinkle reduction.⁹ Since the development of this monopolar RF device (ThermaCool; Thermage, Inc., Hayward, CA), many other RF devices have been developed and combined with laser and light sources.

Similar to laser and light energy, RF energy interacts with tissue to induce thermal changes. However, it does not follow the principles of selective photothermolysis, and thus does not target a specific chromophore in the skin. Instead, RF devices generate heat as a result of tissue resistance to the movement of electrons within the RF field (Ohm's law). This resistance, also called impedance, creates heat relative to the amount of current (I) and time (seconds).^{10,11} Heat is then produced when the tissue's inherent impedance converts the electrical current to thermal energy, indicated by the following formula: Energy (J) = $I^2 \times R \times T$ (where I is the current, R is the tissue impedance, and T is the time of application).¹²

Like other skin tightening treatments, RF treatment is based on lessening skin laxity through contraction and thickening of collagen fibers. The application of RF energy produces resistance within the various layers of the skin. The resistance within the tissue creates an electrical current that is converted to thermal energy. This resistance, also known as impedance, varies according to the tissue's size, depth, and various layers (dermis, muscle, fat, and fibrous tissue). Unlike laser and light-based treatments, this electrical current does not selectively target epidermal melanin. Thus, patients of all skin types can be more safely treated with RF. However, although RF is more theoretically safe for all skin types, there is a risk of dyspigmentation if thermal injury occurs due to overheating of the skin.

With RF treatments, depth of penetration is not the only consideration. The fact that soft tissue is made up of multiple layers, including dermis, fat, muscle, and fibrous tissue, all with varying resistance to the movement of RF energy, should also be considered.¹¹ Impedance is the principle that allows the heat to reach a larger volume of tissue, and structures with higher impedance are more susceptible to heating and thus to tissue injury.¹³

There are two major electrode configurations available in current RF devices: monopolar and bipolar. Although the inter-

action between the emitted energy and the target tissue is similar, the energy field created by each configuration differs.

Monopolar Radiofrequency

Monopolar RF devices deliver current using one active electrode that contacts the skin and another that acts as a grounding pad.¹¹ The active electrode delivers the current to the skin, tightening it via volumetric heating.¹⁴ A cooling spray is applied to protect the epidermis, which also creates a reverse thermal gradient.

The dermis is heated uniformly and volumetrically, sparing the cooled epidermis. The partial collagen denaturation, through breaking hydrogen bonds in the collagen triple helix, leads to collagen contraction and thickening.^{15,16} Some collagen contraction may occur immediately due to fibril denaturation.¹⁷ This collagen denaturation occurs at a threshold temperature of approximately 65°C.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Additional tightening then follows due to an inflammatory wound healing response that triggers neocollagenesis and further skin contraction.^{11,15,17} Collagen-based fibrous septae that separate fat lobules in the subcutaneous tissue are also preferentially heated, which leads to further collagen denaturation and contraction of the subcutaneous tissue. This accounts for the immediate tightening and lifting effects noted after treatment.^{11,17} It is also thought to be responsible for inward (Z-dimensional) tightening.²¹

The first monopolar RF device used for skin tightening was the ThermaCool device (Thermage, Inc.), which was introduced in 2001 and received FDA approval for the noninvasive treatment of periorbital rhytides and wrinkles in 2002 and for full face treatment in 2004.²² The ThermaCool device uses capacitive coupling to deliver RF energy to the skin through a thin membrane in the treatment tip. The ThermaCool device has four main components: a monopolar RF generator producing 6 MHz of alternating current, a handpiece, a disposable treatment tip, and a cryogen cooling module. Using a unique capacitive coupling membrane, RF is dispersed uniformly across the thin dielectric material on the treatment tip. The RF generator changes the polarity of the electric field in the tissue 6×10^6 times per second, causing charged molecules to move with the electric field at the same frequency. Heat is then generated from the dermal tissue's natural resistance to electron movement.

The efficacy of monopolar RF has been widely investigated in various applications. Fitzpatrick et al conducted a blinded, multicenter trial in which 86 patients received a single treatment in the lateral canthal and forehead areas.²³ Treatment efficacy was evaluated using the Fitzpatrick Wrinkle Classification System (FWCS), in addition to objective eyebrow position measurements. A total of 83% of patients showed the improvement of at least one point on the FWCS, and 50% of patients were satisfied with the improvement in periorbital wrinkling. Brow lift of at least 0.5 mm was noted in approximately 62% of patients. The authors concluded that there was an objective and subjective reduction of periorbital wrinkles and changes in brow position.²³ Side effects were uncommon, although there was an overall incidence of 0.36% of second-degree burns.

Bassichis et al also evaluated the ThermoCool device for rejuvenation of the upper third of the face by assessing changes in brow position.²⁴ Twenty-four patients received a single-pass treatment in the temporal and lateral forehead areas. They found that treatment resulted in a statistically significant brow elevation of at least 0.5 mm in 87.5% of patients. Despite this, 64% of patients did not perceive a cosmetic benefit. No complications were reported.

Brow elevation was also studied by Nahm et al in a study involving ten patients.²⁵ One side of the face was treated with a single pass using the ThermoCool device. By 3 months post-treatment, there was a statistically significant average elevation of 4.3 mm of the mid-brow and 2.4 mm of the lateral brow, with a 1.9 mm increase in the level of the palpebral crease.

Using a different monopolar RF device (Biorad, Guangdong, China), El-Domyati et al treated patients for 3 months at 2-week intervals. All six patients exhibited notable improvement in skin tightening in the periorbital and forehead regions, with continued improvement for 3 months after treatment. Skin tightening improved from 35 to 40% at the end of treatment to 70 to 75% at 3 months following treatment.²⁶

A 4 MHz monopolar system (Pelleve, Ellman International, Inc., Oceanside, NY) has recently been evaluated for the treatment of periorbital rhytides. Javate et al evaluated patients 1, 3, and 6 months after treatment, and statistically significant changes were noted clinically and according to electron microscopic evaluation.²⁷ Taub et al evaluated this device using a continuous motion technique, achieving a surface temperature of 40 to 42°C and maintaining that temperature for an additional four to six passes.²⁸ Two weeks after the first treatment, patients noted an overall 25 to 30% improvement. Although there was a reduction in results after the second treatment, the treating physician and patient rated an average improvement of 46 and 30%, respectively, 6 months after the final treatment.²⁸

Treatment of laxity in the lower face and neck with the ThermoCool device has also been investigated. Jacobson et al treated 24 patients with laxity of the neck, nasolabial folds, marionette lines, and jawline, with 17 of the 24 patients showing notable improvement up to 3 months following treatment.²⁹ Alster and Tanzi reported similar findings, with improvement in moderate cheek laxity and nasolabial folds.³⁰

Side effects are generally mild and self-limited following monopolar RF treatments. Weiss et al published an extensive retrospective chart review that investigated the rate and degree of side effects after treatment using the ThermoCool device.³¹ Most side effects experienced were transient erythema and edema. However, there were rare cases of superficial crusting, slight depression of the cheek, subcutaneous erythematous papules, and neck tenderness that were noted. The overall rate of adverse side effects was 2.7%, but none of these side effects were experienced when using a lower energy multiple-pass treatment algorithm.³¹

Hybrid Monopolar and Bipolar Radiofrequency

A hybrid RF system takes advantage of two mechanisms of RF-induced tissue heating by using two handpieces: one monopolar and one bipolar (Accent RF, Alma Lasers, Ltd., Caesarea, Israel). The monopolar handpiece achieves deep volumetric heating of the skin (up to 20 mm) through the rotational movement of water molecules in the alternating current of the electromagnetic field.³² The bipolar handpiece is used for more superficial localized (nonvolumetric) heating, at a depth of 2 to 6 mm, based on tissue resistance to the RF conductive current.^{32,33}

A study investigating hybrid monopolar and bipolar RF treatments for the treatment of facial rhytides and skin laxity found that 56% of participants had some degree of improvement. And when divided into two age groups, the younger age group reported statistically significantly higher satisfaction scores when compared with the older group.³³ In the study, the authors supported their observation by citing a study that suggested that heat-labile collagen bonds are progressively replaced by irreducible multivalent cross-links as the tissue ages, rendering older skin less amenable to RF tissue tightening.³⁴ Patient satisfaction scores were also higher in the younger age group in this study.

Alexiades-Armenakas et al compared the monopolar and bipolar handpieces in a split face study for the treatment of facial rhytides and laxity. After four treatments, the degree of improvement for each handpiece approached but did not achieve statistical significance.⁹ Although there was a slightly greater degree of improvement with the bipolar handpiece, this difference did not achieve statistical significance.

As with other RF devices, side effects are uncommon, but there is still risk of burns, skin breakdown, and scarring with the use of inappropriately high energies.³⁵ Using pain as a feedback mechanism and operator technique are both important for optimal patient safety. The handpiece should be kept in continual motion when in contact with the skin to prevent overheating.

Vacuum-Assisted Bipolar Radiofrequency

Vacuum-assisted bipolar RF (Aluma, Lumenis, Inc., Santa Clara, CA) combines bipolar RF with a vacuum technology known as FACES (Functional Aspiration Controlled Electrothermal Stimulation). This device uses a handpiece that incorporates a vacuum to suction a segment of skin between two electrodes. This limits the volume of treated tissue to the skin between the electrodes, allowing the use of lower overall energy. As only targeted layers of skin and subcutaneous fat are suctioned between the electrodes, nontarget structures such as muscle and bone are avoided.³⁵ Although not clinically proven, some have postulated that exposure to the vacuum may cause mechanical stress on fibroblasts and increased collagen formation to increase clinical efficacy.^{16,36}

Gold et al studied vacuum-assisted bipolar RF in 46 patients with facial skin laxity. Using the Fitzpatrick-Goldman Classification of Wrinkling and Degree of Elastosis scale, the mean elastosis score decreased from 4.5 (pretreatment) to 2.5 (6 months posttreatment). Despite the overall satisfaction of treatment outcome among the participants, satisfaction

levels declined during the follow-up period.¹⁶ The authors noted that this declining satisfaction did not correspond with the progressive improvement noted by the investigators, a phenomenon often observed with long-term follow-up of aesthetic treatments. This decline in satisfaction is also thought to be a common finding in RF skin treatments because of delayed neocollagenesis and the long-term wound healing response, with slowly progressive, incremental changes.

Side effects that can occur after vacuum-assisted bipolar RF procedures include erythema, burns, blistering, edema, purpura, crusting, and transient hyperpigmentation.¹⁶

Combined Bipolar Radiofrequency and Optical Energy

Although monopolar RF devices have only one active electrode contacting the skin, the bipolar configuration consists of two active electrodes placed a short distance apart that overlie the treatment area. The current flows between the two electrodes, and the depth of penetration is approximately half the distance between the two electrodes.¹⁵ Depth of penetration is the major limitation of the bipolar configuration. Though offering a more shallow depth of penetration, this configuration does provide more controlled distribution of energy and less pain.³⁷

Bipolar RF devices are frequently combined with light-based technologies, termed electro-optical synergy (ELOS).^{12,38} The ELOS system (Syneron Medical Ltd., Yokneam, Israel) uses the synergistic effects of light- and RF-based devices. The light energy preheats the target tissue through photothermolysis, lowering the tissue's impedance. This in turn makes the tissue more susceptible to the RF component. The advantage is that lower energies of both the light and RF can be used to deliver a safer treatment with fewer side effects.^{15,38} The RF also allows for deeper penetration into the dermis than nonablative lasers, which are subject to scatter within the tissue.

Common ELOS systems incorporate intense pulsed light (IPL), a diode laser, or infrared light. Earlier systems such as the Aurora SR and Polaris WR (Syneron Medical Ltd.) used the bipolar configuration with an IPL and a 900-nm diode laser, respectively. The optical and RF energies are delivered simultaneously through the treatment tip. Recently, a newer generation ELOS Plus platform was made available that also incorporates both an IPL and a diode laser with RF energy.

A clinical study by El-Domyati et al evaluated the histologic changes and clinical outcomes using the Aurora SR system.³⁹ Six subjects were treated in the periorbital region over six sessions. Both clinical photographs and punch biopsy samples were analyzed both immediately and 3 months after treatment. At 3 months, improvements in skin tightening, skin texture, wrinkles, and overall satisfaction were 75 to 80%, 70 to 75%, 95 to 100%, and 95 to 100%, respectively. On histologic analysis, increased epidermal thickening, a 53% reduction in elastin content and a 28% increase in newly synthesized collagen fibers were noted.

A second study using the Aurora SR system was conducted by Sadick et al, who reported similar findings in 108 patients.⁴⁰ Each patient received five full-face treatments and

were assessed according to photographic evaluation and patient satisfaction. Although overall skin improvement was 75.3%, which included wrinkle improvement, pore size, and pigmentation, among other factors, skin laxity improved 62.9%. Patient satisfaction was 92% at 15 weeks following treatment.

The Polaris WR system was also evaluated for the treatment of facial rhytides and skin laxity.⁴¹ The succession of RF and diode laser energy led to heating at a maximal dermal depth of 2 mm. Twenty-four patients underwent three treatment sessions. Improvements were noted in both skin laxities in facial rhytides, most notably in the periorbital region. Continued improvement in skin laxity was observed at 6 months posttreatment.

Fractional Radiofrequency

A recent nonablative approach involves fractional RF, which is currently delivered in two ways. Formerly known as Matrix RF, Sublative Resurfacing (Syneron Medical Ltd.) uses a series of electrodes to deliver RF energy; another device (ePrime, Syneron Medical Ltd.) uses an array of microneedles arranged in pairs between which RF energy is delivered.⁴² Thermal wounds are created in a fractional manner directly to the reticular dermis. The tissue directly beneath the electrodes or microneedles is selectively targeted for deep dermal heating, while the surrounding areas are left intact.⁴³ The unaffected areas serve as a reservoir of cells that promote wound healing in the treated areas.

Fractional RF devices contain an applicator with a disposable tip that contains parallel rows of electrodes or microneedles that are arranged in a bipolar array. A closed circuit of bipolar RF current is created between positively and negatively charged electrodes or microneedles.

A prospective multicenter study was performed on 35 subjects who underwent three treatments on their entire face with the Matrix RF device.⁴³ Eighty-seven percent of patients showed improvement in skin tightening, with a trend toward less wrinkling and elastosis. Eighty percent of patients were satisfied with their treatment. Other than one patient experiencing prolonged edema, side effects were minimal.

Another study was undertaken to assess the efficacy and safety of the Matrix RF treatments in photoaged Asian skin.⁴⁴ Moderate (26–50%) and incremental improvements were observed in each category of physician evaluation, including smoothness and tightness. The degree of elastosis also decreased significantly. Importantly, no pigment alterations or fat atrophy were observed.

Intense Focused Ultrasound

Intense focused ultrasound (IFUS) has been investigated as a tool for treating solid benign and malignant tumors for many decades and has recently emerged as a potential noninvasive alternative in tissue tightening.⁴⁵ The primary mechanism of heat-induced tissue response is through coagulative necrosis with precisely defined, sharp margins caused by absorption of acoustic energy.⁴⁶ The ultrasound waves induce a vibration in the composite molecules of a given tissue, and the thermoviscous losses in the medium create tissue heating. As

with RF, the cellular changes depend on the increase in temperature and the exposure duration. This can range from subtle ultrastructural cell damage with modulation of cellular cytokine expression to total cell necrosis.⁴⁶ These findings parallel those found after laser or light-induced heat applications.

IFUS uses short, millisecond pulses with a frequency in the megahertz domain, using significantly lower energies (0.5–10 J) than with traditional high-intensity focused ultrasound. Initial experiments on postmortem skin using a prototype device (Ulthera Inc., Mesa, Arizona) showed a focal depth of 4.2 mm below the skin surface.⁴⁵ This depth would potentially permit the targeting of surgical planes, such as the superficial muscular aponeurotic system.

Initial clinical evaluation with the Ulthera device showed significant tightening with a 1-mm eyebrow lift in more than 75% of study participants.⁴⁷ This helped lead to FDA approval in 2009 for tightening of the skin around the eyebrow. Recent studies have investigated the effects of IFUS on tightening of the lower face and neck. Suh et al treated 22 subjects, and improvements of the nasolabial folds and jaw line were assessed. Objective improvement was seen in both areas, and 77 and 73% of patients reported improvement in the nasolabial folds and jaw lines, respectively.⁴⁸ Histologic evaluation of biopsy samples showed greater dermal collagen with thickening of the dermis and straightening of elastic fibers in the reticular dermis after treatment.

Another study evaluated ultrasound tightening of skin laxity of the lower face and neck using a two-pass protocol.⁴⁹ Ten subjects were treated using the two-pass approach using two different probes. In this study, 80% of blinded clinicians and 90% of the subjects reported subjective improvement. The safety of focused ultrasound has also been evaluated in Asian patients.⁵⁰ Focal bruising was present in up to 25% of treatment sessions. Interestingly, two cases of postinflammatory hyperpigmentation were seen on the forehead at 1 month posttreatment. The only other notable side effect was pain, which was recorded as severe in 54.4% of treatment sessions.

Summary

Current skin tightening technologies present an attractive alternative to patients who are seeking nonsurgical intervention. However, these treatments are not meant to replace surgical procedures. Although these treatments produce modest results, patient satisfaction in the majority of studies has been consistently high. Ensuring patient satisfaction depends on proper patient selection and realistic patient expectations. Overall, patient satisfaction can also be increased by combining skin tightening treatments with other noninvasive treatments. In spite of the significant development that has occurred in nonsurgical tissue tightening, questions remain regarding ideal treatment parameters. More controlled randomized comparative clinical trials are necessary to optimize the clinical usefulness of these technologies.

References

- Farage MA, Miller KW, Maibach HI. Degenerative changes in aging skin. In: Farage MA, Miller KW, Maibach HI, eds. *Textbook of Aging Skin*. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag; 2010:25–35
- Fisher GJ, Varani J, Voorhees JJ. Looking older: fibroblast collapse and therapeutic implications. *Arch Dermatol* 2008;144(5):666–672
- Fligiel SE, Varani J, Datta SC, Kang S, Fisher GJ, Voorhees JJ. Collagen degradation in aged/photodamaged skin in vivo and after exposure to matrix metalloproteinase-1 in vitro. *J Invest Dermatol* 2003;120(5):842–848
- Oikarinen A. The aging of skin: chronoaging versus photoaging. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 1990;7(1):3–4
- Arnoczky SP, Aksan A. Thermal modification of connective tissues: basic science considerations and clinical implications. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2000;8(5):305–313
- Kist D, Burns AJ, Sanner R, Counters J, Zelickson B. Ultrastructural evaluation of multiple pass low energy versus single pass high energy radio-frequency treatment. *Lasers Surg Med* 2006;38(2):150–154
- Ruiz-Esparza J. Near [corrected] painless, nonablative, immediate skin contraction induced by low-fluence irradiation with new infrared device: a report of 25 patients. *Dermatol Surg* 2006;32(5):601–610
- Fisher GH, Jacobson LG, Bernstein LJ, Kim KH, Geronemus RG. Nonablative radiofrequency treatment of facial laxity. *Dermatol Surg* 2005;31(9 Pt 2):1237–1241, discussion 1241
- Alexiades-Armenakas M, Dover JS, Arndt KA. Unipolar versus bipolar radiofrequency treatment of rhytides and laxity using a mobile painless delivery method. *Lasers Surg Med* 2008;40(7):446–453
- Ruiz-Esparza J. Nonablative radiofrequency for facial and neck rejuvenation. A faster, safer, and less painful procedure based on concentrating the heat in key areas: the ThermoLift concept. *J Cosmet Dermatol* 2006;5(1):68–75
- Burns JA. Thermage: monopolar radiofrequency. *Aesthet Surg J* 2005;25(6):638–642
- Alster TS, Lupton JR. Nonablative cutaneous remodeling using radiofrequency devices. *Clin Dermatol* 2007;25(5):487–491
- Ee HL, Barlow RJ. Lasers, lights and related technologies: a review of recent journal highlights. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2007;32(1):135–137
- Sukal SA, Geronemus RG. Thermage: the nonablative radiofrequency for rejuvenation. *Clin Dermatol* 2008;26(6):602–607
- Elsaie ML. Cutaneous remodeling and photorejuvenation using radiofrequency devices. *Indian J Dermatol* 2009;54(3):201–205
- Gold MH, Goldman MP, Rao JR, Carcamo AS, Ehrlich M. Treatment of wrinkles and elastosis using vacuum-assisted bipolar radiofrequency heating of the dermis. *Dermatol Surg* 2007;33(3):300–309
- Abraham MT, Vic Ross E. Current concepts in nonablative radiofrequency rejuvenation of the lower face and neck. *Facial Plast Surg* 2005;21(1):65–73
- Friedman DJ, Gilead LT. The use of hybrid radiofrequency device for the treatment of rhytides and lax skin. *Dermatol Surg* 2007;33(5):543–551
- Mayoral FA. Skin tightening with a combined unipolar and bipolar radiofrequency device. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2007;6(2):212–215
- Zelickson BD, Kist D, Bernstein E, et al. Histological and ultrastructural evaluation of the effects of a radiofrequency-based nonablative dermal remodeling device: a pilot study. *Arch Dermatol* 2004;140(2):204–209
- Pope K, Levinson M, Ross EV. *Selective Fibrous Tissue Heating: An Additional Mechanism for Capacitively Coupled Monopolar Radiofrequency*. Haywood, CA: Thermage, Inc; 2005
- Narins DJ, Narins RS. Non-surgical radiofrequency facelift. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2003;2(5):495–500

- 23 Fitzpatrick R, Geronemus R, Goldberg D, Kaminer M, Kilmer S, Ruiz-Esparza J. Multicenter study of noninvasive radiofrequency for periorbital tissue tightening. *Lasers Surg Med* 2003;33(4):232–242
- 24 Bassichis BA, Dayan S, Thomas JR. Use of a nonablative radiofrequency device to rejuvenate the upper one-third of the face. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2004;130(4):397–406
- 25 Nahm WK, Su TT, Rotunda AM, Moy RL. Objective changes in brow position, superior palpebral crease, peak angle of the eyebrow, and jowl surface area after volumetric radiofrequency treatments to half of the face. *Dermatol Surg* 2004;30(6):922–928, discussion 928
- 26 el-Domyati M, el-Ammawi TS, Medhat W, et al. Radiofrequency facial rejuvenation: evidence-based effect. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2011;64(3):524–535
- 27 Javate RM, Cruz RT Jr, Khan J, Trakos N, Gordon RE. Nonablative 4-MHz dual radiofrequency wand rejuvenation treatment for periorbital rhytides and midface laxity. *Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg* 2011;27(3):180–185
- 28 Taub AF, Tucker RD, Palange A. Facial tightening with an advanced 4-MHz monopolar radiofrequency device. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2012;11(11):1288–1294
- 29 Jacobson LG, Alexiades-Armenakas M, Bernstein L, Geronemus RG. Treatment of nasolabial folds and jowls with a noninvasive radiofrequency device. *Arch Dermatol* 2003;139(10):1371–1372
- 30 Alster TS, Tanzi E. Improvement of neck and cheek laxity with a nonablative radiofrequency device: a lifting experience. *Dermatol Surg* 2004;30(4 Pt 1):503–507, discussion 507
- 31 Weiss RA, Weiss MA, Munavalli G, Beasley KL. Monopolar radiofrequency facial tightening: a retrospective analysis of efficacy and safety in over 600 treatments. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2006;5(8):707–712
- 32 Emilia del Pino M, Rosado RH, Azuela A, et al. Effect of controlled volumetric tissue heating with radiofrequency on cellulite and the subcutaneous tissue of the buttocks and thighs. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2006;5(8):714–722
- 33 Friedman DJ, Gilead LT. The use of hybrid radiofrequency device for the treatment of rhytides and lax skin. *Dermatol Surg* 2007;33(5):543–551
- 34 Hsu TS, Kaminer MS. The use of nonablative radiofrequency technology to tighten the lower face and neck. *Semin Cutan Med Surg* 2003;22(2):115–123
- 35 Bogle MA. Radiofrequency energy and hybrid devices. In: Alam M, Dover JS, eds. *Procedures in Cosmetic Dermatology Series: Non-Surgical Skin Tightening and Lifting*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 2008:21–32
- 36 Eastwood M, McGrouther DA, Brown RA. Fibroblast responses to mechanical forces. *Proc Inst Mech Eng H* 1998;212(2):85–92
- 37 Montesi G, Calvieri S, Balzani A, Gold MH. Bipolar radiofrequency in the treatment of dermatologic imperfections: clinicopathological and immunohistochemical aspects. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2007;6(9):890–896
- 38 Atiyeh BS, Dibo SA. Nonsurgical nonablative treatment of aging skin: radiofrequency technologies between aggressive marketing and evidence-based efficacy. *Aesthetic Plast Surg* 2009;33(3):283–294
- 39 El-Domyati M, El-Ammawi TS, Medhat W, Moawad O, Mahoney MG, Uitto J. Electro-optical synergy technique: a new and effective nonablative approach to skin aging. *J Clin Aesthet Dermatol* 2010;3(12):22–30
- 40 Sadick NS, Alexiades-Armenakas M, Bitter P Jr, Hruza G, Mulholland RS. Enhanced full-face skin rejuvenation using synchronous intense pulsed optical and conducted bipolar radiofrequency energy (ELOS): introducing selective radiophotothermolysis. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2005;4(2):181–186
- 41 Doshi SN, Alster TS. Combination radiofrequency and diode laser for treatment of facial rhytides and skin laxity. *J Cosmet Laser Ther* 2005;7(1):11–15
- 42 Alexiades-Armenakas M, Rosenberg D, Renton B, Dover J, Arndt K. Blinded, randomized, quantitative grading comparison of minimally invasive, fractional radiofrequency and surgical face-lift to treat skin laxity. *Arch Dermatol* 2010;146(4):396–405
- 43 Hruza G, Taub AF, Collier SL, Mulholland SR. Skin rejuvenation and wrinkle reduction using a fractional radiofrequency system. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2009;8(3):259–265
- 44 Lee HS, Lee DH, Won CH, et al. Fractional rejuvenation using a novel bipolar radiofrequency system in Asian skin. *Dermatol Surg* 2011;37(11):1611–1619
- 45 Laubach HJ, Makin IR, Barthe PG, Slayton MH, Manstein D. Intense focused ultrasound: evaluation of a new treatment modality for precise microcoagulation within the skin. *Dermatol Surg* 2008;34(5):727–734
- 46 Van Leenders GJ, Beerlage HP, Ruijter ET, de la Rosette JJ, van de Kaa CA. Histopathological changes associated with high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) treatment for localised adenocarcinoma of the prostate. *J Clin Pathol* 2000;53(5):391–394
- 47 Alam M, White LE, Martin N, Witherspoon J, Yoo S, West DP. Ultrasound tightening of facial and neck skin: a rater-blinded prospective cohort study. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2010;62(2):262–269
- 48 Suh DH, Shin MK, Lee SJ, et al. Intense focused ultrasound tightening in Asian skin: clinical and pathologic results. *Dermatol Surg* 2011;37(11):1595–1602
- 49 Lee HS, Jang WS, Cha YJ, et al. Multiple pass ultrasound tightening of skin laxity of the lower face and neck. *Dermatol Surg* 2012;38(1):20–27
- 50 Chan NP, Shek SY, Yu CS, Ho SG, Yeung CK, Chan HH. Safety study of transcutaneous focused ultrasound for non-invasive skin tightening in Asians. *Lasers Surg Med* 2011;43(5):366–375