

BG-12 in Multiple Sclerosis

J. Theodore Phillips, MD, PhD¹ Robert J. Fox, MD²

¹Multiple Sclerosis Program, Baylor Institute for Immunology Research, Dallas, Texas

²Department of Neurology and Neurological Institute, Mellen Center for Multiple Sclerosis Treatment and Research, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio

Address for correspondence J. Theodore Phillips, MD, PhD, Multiple Sclerosis Program, Baylor Institute for Immunology Research, Dallas, TX 75204 (e-mail: ted.phillips@baylorhealth.edu).

Semin Neurol 2013;33:56–65.

Abstract

Dimethyl fumarate (DMF) is an orally administered agent that has been used for over 40 years for the treatment of psoriasis. Recent work demonstrates both DMF immunomodulatory and neuroprotective actions in vitro and in animal models of autoreactive central nervous system inflammation and neurodegeneration. DMF acts through chemical modification of the repressor protein Keap1, allowing stabilization and nuclear translocation of the transcription factor Nrf2, with subsequent downstream activation of a cascade of several cytoprotective and antioxidant pathways. Additionally, suppression of transcription factor NF- κ B-mediated proinflammatory signaling results in the inhibition of proinflammatory responses and induction of anti-inflammatory cytokines. BG-12 is an orally administered, enteric-coated microtablet preparation of DMF. In two phase III, relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (MS) trials, BG-12 led to a 44 to 53% reduction in annualized relapse rate and a 71 to 85% reduction in new T2 lesions on magnetic resonance imaging. The most common side effects of BG-12 are cutaneous flushing and gastrointestinal symptoms, with the highest incidence in the first month after starting treatment. No serious safety signals were seen during the phase II and III trials, including no increased risk of opportunistic infections or cancer. Altogether, BG-12's novel mechanism of action appears to provide a favorable balance of efficacy, safety, and tolerability for treatment of relapsing MS.

Keywords

- ▶ multiple sclerosis
- ▶ BG-12
- ▶ dimethyl fumarate
- ▶ fumaric acids
- ▶ NF-E2-related factor 2

For almost 20 years, disease-modifying therapies have been available for treatment of relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis (MS). The current standard, first-line therapies for MS are interferon beta – 1 (IFN β – 1; Avonex, Biogen Idec, Weston, Massachusetts; Betaseron/Betaferon, Bayer HealthCare, Leverkusen, Germany; Extavia, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland; Rebif, EMD Serono, Inc., Rockland, Massachusetts) and glatiramer acetate (GA; Copaxone, Teva Pharmaceuticals, Petah Tikva, Israel). Phase III clinical trials found each to reduce the rate of clinical relapses by approximately 30% relative to placebo.^{1–4} Side effects include skin reactions (predominantly subcutaneous therapies) and flu-like side effects and hepatic irritation (IFN β – 1 therapies). A distinct advantage of these therapies is their excellent long-term safety and reasonable tolerability, although their efficacy is modest and

all are administered by injection (subcutaneous or intramuscular).

Recently, three additional therapies have emerged as important long-term disease-modifying therapies for relapsing MS. Natalizumab (Tysabri, Biogen Idec, Weston, Massachusetts) is a monoclonal antibody that inhibits leukocyte trafficking into the central nervous system (CNS). Natalizumab has excellent efficacy in reducing clinical relapses, new brain lesions on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and delaying sustained progression of disability.^{5,6} Two drawbacks of natalizumab include its monthly intravenous administration and its association with progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), a potentially fatal CNS infection.⁷ Fingolimod (Gilenya, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland), a second contemporary therapy, is a sphingosine receptor

modulator that both reduces leukocyte egress from peripheral lymphoid tissue and may have direct immunomodulating effects within the CNS.⁸ Fingolimod shows beneficial relapse, disability progression and MRI effects. A head-to-head study showed fingolimod is more effective than IFN β – 1.⁹ However, fingolimod is associated with cardiac side effects (mostly initial bradycardia events), macular edema, and increased risk of some infections (most notably respiratory infections and herpes virus infections). A third therapy, teriflunomide (Aubagio, Genzyme, Cambridge, Massachusetts) has been recently approved for relapsing MS in the United States. Teriflunomide inhibits new pyrimidine synthesis and rapid proliferation of activated lymphocytes through its action on dihydroorotate dehydrogenase.¹⁰ Teriflunomide shows beneficial relapse, disability progression, and MRI effects. Gastrointestinal disturbances, hair thinning, and mild-moderate elevations in alanine aminotransferase levels have been noted more commonly with teriflunomide than placebo. Based on animal studies, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has also issued an alert concerning possible teratogenic effects in humans.¹¹

Despite the availability of many disease-modifying therapies for relapsing MS, none have the ideal treatment triad: strong efficacy, good tolerability, and excellent safety. The BG-12 preparation of dimethyl fumarate (DMF) may come closer to offering that ideal treatment triad.

Initial Clinical Experience with Fumaric Acids

Fumaric acid (FA) is an intermediate in the citric acid cycle used by cells to produce energy. In 1946, food chemists recognized its acid regulatory properties and fruit-like taste. FA is still routinely used as a food acidulant in beverages, baking powders, and candy (–Table 1).

The medicinal use of fumaric acids (FA) is credited to German chemist Walter Schweckendiek, who suffered from psoriasis. In the late 1950s, Schweckendiek turned to his professional expertise in chemistry to search for treatments for his skin condition. He proposed that psoriasis resulted from a defect in carbohydrate metabolism involving the citric acid cycle and its oxidative steps, and predicted improvement through ingestion of FA.¹² However, his first treatment attempts with oral FA were disappointing owing to significant gastrointestinal irritation. To improve gastrointestinal absorption and tolerability, Schweckendiek¹³ synthesized a mixture of FA ester (FAE) salts that did prove effective in treating his psoriasis, and also demonstrated less irritant side effects. Gunther Schafer, a general practitioner, later promoted a standardized treatment regimen using an FAE mixture and restricted diet, and subsequently reported encouraging open-label results with over 70% of 900 psoriasis patients showing improvement.¹⁴ Despite the lack of data supporting the metabolic defect hypothesis, efficacy and safety of FAE in psoriasis were subsequently demonstrated in two randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled studies.^{15,16} These studies were performed using a proprietary preparation of FAE (120 mg dimethyl fumarate [DMF], 87 mg monoethyl fumarate calcium salt, 5 mg monoethyl fumarate magnesium salt, and 3 mg monoethyl fumarate zinc salt per enteric-coated tablet). In 1994, the Swiss company Fumapharm (acquired by Biogen Idec, Weston, Massachusetts) received German regulatory approval for this FAE preparation (called Fumaderm) for the treatment of psoriasis. Fumaderm is currently one of the most widely prescribed systemic therapies for psoriasis in Germany, with visible improvement typically noted within 6 weeks. Fumaderm is generally well tolerated; cutaneous flushing and gastrointestinal upset are the most commonly reported side effects. A small study has

Table 1 Timeline of development of BG-12/DMF in multiple sclerosis

1946: Fumaric acids are recognized by food chemists for their acid regulatory properties and fruit-like taste.
1960s–1980s: German chemist Walter Schweckendiek and general practitioner Gunther Schafer develop fumaric acid ester-based regimen to treat psoriasis. ^{12,14}
1994: The Swiss company Fumapharm receives German regulatory approval for Fumaderm, which is a proprietary formulation of dimethyl fumarate and several fumarate ester salts.
~ 2000: Bochum neurologist Horst Przuntek observes that MS patients with psoriasis appear to have stabilized MS after starting Fumaderm.
2002: A patent is filed for the use of fumaric acids in the treatment of MS.
2003: Fumapharm establishes collaboration with Biogen Idec to develop fumaric acids in MS.
2006: Schilling and colleagues show DMF or MMF effectively prevents chronic EAE. ²⁴
2006: Schimrigk and colleagues publish a case series of 10 MS patients treated with Fumaderm. ⁵¹
2007: A placebo-controlled phase II trial shows a robust reduction in MRI lesions with BG-12, ⁷⁰ and two phase III trials in relapsing remitting MS get underway. ^{52,53}
2011: Linker and colleagues demonstrate fumaric acid esters activate the Nrf-2 antioxidant pathway and exert neuroprotective effects in EAE-associated neuroinflammation. ²⁵
2011–2012: Both phase III trials report positive results on clinical and MRI outcomes. ^{52,53}

Abbreviations: DMF, dimethyl fumarate; EAE, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis; MMF, monomethyl fumarate; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MS, multiple sclerosis.

found acceptable long-term safety in psoriasis patients treated for 10 to 14 years.¹⁷

DMF Mechanisms of Action

Pharmacokinetics

Comparative studies have shown that DMF (C₆H₈O₄; MW 141.1) is the key FAE component accounting for therapeutic benefit in psoriasis.¹⁸ DMF is rapidly hydrolyzed to monomethyl fumarate (MMF; also known as MHF, methylhydrogen fumarate) by esterases in the alkaline environment of the small intestine.¹⁹ In healthy volunteers and psoriasis patients, time to detectable MMF in blood is somewhat delayed with food intake, but without effect on maximum blood concentration 5 to 6 hours later.²⁰ Plasma FA levels remain unchanged by FAE ingestion. MMF is detectable in blood after a variable period of 60 minutes or more, whereas DMF is subject to a strong first-pass metabolism and is not systemically detectable at any time after oral DMF ingestion.^{20,21} MMF is further metabolized (50% protein-bound; serum half-life 36 h²²) in the citric acid cycle to yield water and carbon dioxide. DMF is highly lipophilic and may be absorbed by intestinal tissue, where it is at least partially metabolized to MMF. DMF can also conjugate directly to intracellular glutathione and be released systemically as a (possibly bioactive) glutathione conjugate, which is further metabolized to DMF-mercapturic acid and detectable in urine.²³ Additionally, DMF may also be released unaltered into the portal circulation and taken into venous blood cells, or hydrolyzed to MMF in the plasma. These scenarios suggest that DMF could still have biologically relevant activities *in vivo* (in addition to MMF) despite undetectable free-plasma DMF after ingestion. A small amount of unaltered MMF is excreted in urine and feces. FAEs do not appear to be nephrotoxic. Cytochrome p450-dependent pathways are not involved in FAE metabolism, and drug interactions have not been reported.

In Vivo Effects of DMF and Experimental Models of Demyelination and Neurodegeneration

Efficacy of FAEs in the prevention of myelin-oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG)-induced experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) in mice was first identified in 2006.²⁴ MOG-EAE is an animal model of inflammatory demyelination that also displays features resembling several neurodegenerative aspects of MS. Either DMF or MMF given preventatively in acute MOG-EAE led to decreased CNS inflammatory infiltrates and increased serum interleukin-10 (IL-10; an anti-inflammatory cytokine). Linker and colleagues later confirmed the preventative effects of DMF given during the acute phase of MOG-EAE, and extended these findings to demonstrate a therapeutic effect in established, chronic MOG-EAE.²⁵ However, in contrast to findings in acute phase EAE, DMF did not significantly decrease inflammatory infiltrates when administered during the chronic phase of MOG-EAE. Interestingly, clinical benefit in this model appeared to result primarily from reduction in demyelination with relative preservation of myelin and axons. This interesting, but unexpected, outcome was suggested to reflect the

rescue of neurons and glial (astrocytes) cells from oxidative stress-induced cell death through neuroprotection that is mediated by DMF-induced activation of the nuclear factor-(erythroid-derived 2-) related factor-2 (Nrf2) pathway. Similarly, other studies have now also demonstrated DMF-induced, Nrf2-dependent cytoprotection of neurons and astrocytes.^{26–28}

Evidence of oxidative stress is readily apparent within the CNS in EAE and MS.^{29–31} Glutathione, a major intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenger, is decreased within CNS inflammatory foci,³² and a variety of antioxidant proteins, including Nrf2, are increased in MS lesions.³³ The importance of the Nrf2 pathway in regulating CNS inflammation is emphasized by the observation of significantly worsened EAE in Nrf2 (–/–) knockout mice.^{25,34} Also, DMF neuroprotective effects are not inducible in Nrf2 (–/–) mice.

The effects of DMF or MMF have also been examined in other models of demyelination and neurodegeneration. In the cuprizone model of toxic, noninflammatory demyelination, DMF or MMF demonstrated minimal or no significant impact on demyelination or remyelination.³⁵ In contrast to EAE, no obvious protective effects of DMF or MMF on CNS cellular elements were found in this model. However, in an experimental model of neurodegeneration with similarities to Huntington's disease, the transgenic mouse strains R6/2 and YAC128 showed preservation of striatal and motor cortex neurons and improved short- and long-term motor outcomes with DMF treatment.³⁶ Apparent DMF-associated neuroprotection has also been observed in a rat model of excitotoxic neurodegeneration induced by intrastriatal malonate injection.³⁷ In this model, DMF treatment resulted in reduction of striatal lesion volume and improved abnormal apomorphine-induced rotational behavior compared with vehicle controls.

DMF Effects on the Immune System

After dismissal of the initial citric acid cycle defect hypothesis, early explanations of FAE therapeutic effects focused on the proinflammatory T-cell (Th1) theory of certain autoimmune disorders, including psoriasis³⁸ and MS.³⁹ In addition to antiproliferative effects on keratinocytes,⁴⁰ FAEs were found to have direct effects on multiple components of the immune system. MMF induces anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-4, IL-5, IL-10, and IL-1RA production by human peripheral blood mononuclear cells, without stimulation of proinflammatory cytokines IL-2, interferon gamma (IFN γ), or IL-12.^{41–43} Other studies found that DMF or MMF may decrease production of IFN γ and IL-12,⁴⁴ as well as decrease expression of cytokine-induced endothelial cell adhesion molecules ICAM-1, VCAM-1, and E-selectin.⁴⁵ *In vitro* differentiation of antigen-presenting, monocyte-derived dendritic cells (mDCs) is inhibited by DMF or MMF, resulting in decreased mDC production of IL-6, IL-10, IL-12, TNF α , and decreased T-cell production of proinflammatory IFN γ and IL-17.^{46–49}

DMF, but not MMF, may induce apoptosis in cultured mDCs and activated T cells.⁵⁰ Possibly related, a transient 4% increase in CD4+ T cell apoptosis was reported in Fumaderm-treated RRMS patients during the first 12 weeks of therapy.⁵¹ Apoptosis may therefore explain in part the mild decrease in circulating

lymphocyte count observed in psoriasis¹⁶ and MS^{52,53} patients treated with Fumaderm or BG-12, respectively.

Subcellular Mechanisms of Action

Twenty years ago, a systematic study of different compounds capable of inducing phase II detoxifying enzymes (e.g., NAD (P)H quinone oxidoreductase-1; NQO1) identified DMF, among others, to be highly effective.⁵⁴ A common structural feature among these inducing compounds is that of a so-called Michael acceptor, which reflects the compound's relatively electrophilic nature. As such, DMF and several other Michael acceptor compounds were shown to activate an electrophile-responsive element (EpRE) located in the 5' flanking region of the mouse glutathione S-transferase Ya subunit gene.⁵⁵ EpRE is also known, perhaps more commonly, as ARE (antioxidant-responsive element).^{56,57}

Further work showed that the effect of DMF and MMF on ARE/EpRE is actually indirect. Under normal conditions, ARE/EpRE is not active; however, under cellular stress conditions, Nrf2 activates ARE/EpRE.⁵⁸ Nrf2 is normally not available for downstream regulatory interactions as it is targeted for rapid ubiquitin E3 ligase- (Cul3-) directed proteasomal degradation through its usual bound interaction with the cytosolic repressor Keap1 (Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1). DMF or MMF S-alkylates Cys151 of cysteine-rich Keap1, thereby blocking the interaction of Keap1 and Nrf2, which prevents Nrf2 ubiquitination and leads to Nrf2 stabilization, phosphorylation, nuclear translocation, and subsequent Nrf2 nuclear activation of ARE/EpRE.⁵⁹ Therefore, Keap1 can be considered a critical intracellular redox sensor; therefore, it is sensitive to inorganic and organic hydroperoxides, peroxyxynitrite, and other electrophilic molecular species generated by oxidative stress and tissue damage.⁶⁰ ARE/EpRE activation results in an increase in synthesis of many antioxidation-related proteins such as NQO1, heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), glutamate-cysteine ligase catalytic subunit (GCLC), glutamate-cysteine ligase modifier subunit (GCLM), peroxiredoxin-1 (Prx1), glutathione S-transferase Mu-1 (GSTM1), thioredoxin (Trx), thioredoxin reductase (TrxR), and various heat shock proteins.⁶⁰ ARE/EpRE activation also enhances other prosurvival processes such as phase II detoxification, nucleotide excision repair, and autophagy inhibition. Nrf2 signaling is ultimately terminated through a combination of Nrf2 nuclear export processes dependent on Fyn-mediated Nrf2 phosphorylation, as well as removal of Nrf2-activating signals through the action of the induced antioxidant enzymes.⁶⁰

DMF and MMF also enhance glutathione synthesis through the Nrf2 signaling pathway, despite initial reduction of intracellular glutathione stores through direct reaction with glutathione.^{26-28,61-64} Importantly, initial glutathione reduction in mDCs results in preferential induction of type II mDCs promoting Th2 differentiation, and also blocks STAT1 phosphorylation, thereby reducing proinflammatory mDC IL-12 production.⁴⁹

NF-kB, a key redox-sensitive, proinflammatory nuclear transcription factor, is inhibited by DMF or MMF through Nrf2-mediated induction of phase II antioxidants, with subsequent reduction of intracellular ROS accumulation in im-

mune-activated cells, and inhibition of NF-kB upstream signal mediators Akt, NIK, and IKK.^{60,65} Through these indirect inhibitory actions of DMF and MMF on NF-kB, proinflammatory gene expression is suppressed. DMF also inhibits NF-kB activity through suppression of ERK1/2 and downstream MSK1 kinase activities, and subsequent reduction of p65 (RelA) phosphorylation, nuclear translocation, and target gene transactivation.^{48,66} In addition, recent work suggests that DMF may be capable of suppressing inflammation through one or more Nrf2-independent pathways.⁶⁷

MMF, but not DMF, also competitively binds and activates the high-affinity nicotinic acid (NA) receptor GPR109A, with subsequent production of prostanoids.⁶⁸ This interaction appears to account for commonly encountered MMF- (and NA-) induced cutaneous flushing. COX-1 and COX-2 inhibitors were found to decrease early- and late-phase flushing, respectively, which prompted a recent successful study with aspirin to lessen flushing after DMF ingestion.⁶⁹

Summary of DMF Mechanisms of Action

DMF is a small electrophilic molecule that is rapidly converted in the gut to MMF, its major bioactive metabolite. MMF alkylates the intracellular redox sensor Keap1, resulting in stabilization and nuclear translocation of Nrf2, which in turn activates the gene regulatory element ARE/EpRE with subsequent downstream induction of a variety of antioxidant and cytoprotective proteins. Through reduction of intracellular ROS by several of these induced phase II proteins, proinflammatory activities of NF-kB are reduced. DMF direct effects on lymphocytes and dendritic cells induce Nrf2-related pathways, inhibit proinflammatory cytokine production, and promote a shift from Th1 (and Th17) to Th2-like immune activities. Other non-Nrf2 mediated pathways may be involved in DMF anti-inflammatory effects as well. DMF direct effects on neurons and glia (primarily astrocytes) induce Nrf2-related pathways that promote neuroprotection and cell survival. Therefore, DMF-associated net beneficial effects in MS are expected to result from combined anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective actions.

Early Studies of BG-12 in Multiple Sclerosis

The idea of using FAEs therapeutically in MS was reportedly born in the late 1990s at St. Josef Hospital, Ruhr University, Bochum, Germany, when neurology chair Horst Przuntek noticed that the disease course of two of his MS patients appeared to stabilize after they started treatment of their concomitant psoriasis with Fumaderm [R. Gold, personal communication, 2012]. His colleague Sebastian Schimrigk then conducted an open-label, phase I trial of Fumaderm in 19 MS patients, which found a 95% reduction in number of gadolinium-enhancing (Gd+) lesions over 48 weeks.⁵¹

Gastrointestinal side effects from Fumaderm led to its further refinement into BG-12, which is an enteric-coated, microtablet preparation of DMF. A U.S. patent was filed in 2002 for the use of FAE in the treatment of MS, and a formal collaboration with between Fumapharm and Biogen Idec was established in October 2003.

Subsequently, a 48-week, 257 patient phase IIb clinical trial in relapsing-remitting MS was conducted (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT00168701). Participants were randomized to three different doses of BG-12 (120 mg daily, or 120 mg three times daily [360 mg/d], or 240 mg three times daily [720 mg/d]) or placebo for 24 weeks, followed by a 24-week dose-blinded safety extension (placebo switched to BG-12 240 mg three times daily).⁷⁰ The primary outcome was its effect on new Gd⁺ lesions, where the highest dose of BG-12 showed a 69% reduction ($p < 0.0001$) in lesion number compared with placebo. The middle dose group (120 mg three times daily) did not show a significant reduction in lesions, but was probably handicapped by a 56% higher baseline MRI disease activity relative to the placebo group. When the primary outcome is instead expressed as the within-group reduction in MRI disease activity compared with each group's prestudy baseline, a consistent dose response is observed: 29%, 41%, 69%, and 73% lesion reduction in the placebo, 120 mg/d, 360 mg/d, and 720 mg/d groups, respectively. BG-12 was tolerated relatively well, with 91% of patients completing the fully blinded first 24 weeks, and 97% completing the dose-blinded, 24-week safety extension. As seen with Fumaderm, the most common BG-12 associated adverse effects were skin flushing and gastrointestinal events.

Phase III Trials of BG-12 in Multiple Sclerosis

Based upon these encouraging phase II trial results, two phase III trials were conducted: Determination of the Efficacy and Safety of Oral Fumarate in Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis (DEFINE; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT00420212)⁵³ and Comparator and an Oral Fumarate in Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis (CONFIRM; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT00451451).⁵² Both DEFINE and CONFIRM were 2-year, randomized, placebo-controlled trials, evaluating clinical relapses, progressive disability, and (in a subset of patients) MRI disease activity. Two doses of BG-12 were evaluated—240 mg three times per day (720 mg/d), and 240 mg twice a day (480

mg/d with placebo given for the midday dose)—and were compared with placebo given three times per day. The CONFIRM trial also included glatiramer acetate (GA) as an open-label (but still randomized and evaluator-blinded) treatment arm, as required by the European Medicines Agency, to provide a risk-benefit comparison to a standard therapy. Because of potential partial treatment unblinding due to skin flushing and gastrointestinal side effects, several steps were taken to ensure study validity: blinded examining neurologists were unaware of either treatment assignment (oral therapy vs. GA) or patient; patients were instructed to not take study medication within 4 hours of each study visit to reduce potential unblinding of study investigators; an independent, blinded adjudication committee confirmed all relapses; and image analysis was conducted blinded to all study information. Enrollments of DEFINE and CONFIRM studies started in 2007, and the last patient completed follow-up in 2011. The DEFINE study enrolled and dosed 1,234 patients; the CONFIRM study enrolled and dosed 1,417 (including the additional GA arm). Both studies enrolled patients from North and Central America, Europe, and Asia. Of note, these trials were one of the first to enroll MS patients in India. Seventy-seven percent of the study participants in the DEFINE study completed the study (68% on originally assigned study medication), and 80% of the study patients in the CONFIRM study completed the study (71% on originally assigned study medication).

Efficacy

In the DEFINE and CONFIRM studies, both dosing regimens of BG-12 reduced the annualized relapse rate (ARR; the primary outcome in the CONFIRM study) by 44 to 53% ($p < 0.001$ for all; ▶ **Table 2**).^{52,53} Various sensitivity analyses in both studies showed consistent results. Similarly, the risk of relapse (the primary outcome in the DEFINE study) was also reduced by 34 to 50% in both dosing regimens ($p < 0.001$; ▶ **Table 2**). BG-12 reduced the risk of sustained progression of disability by 34 to 38% in the DEFINE study ($p < 0.05$), and 21 to 24% in the CONFIRM study, which was not significant. In the CONFIRM

Table 2 Key outcomes in BG-12 phase III studies

Reduction in:	Define ⁵³		Confirm ⁵²		GA (%)
	bid (%)	tid (%)	bid (%)	tid (%)	
Annualized relapse rate	53 ^a	48 ^a	44 ^a	51 ^a	29 ^b
Risk of relapse	49 ^a	50 ^a	34 ^b	45 ^a	29 ^b
Risk of sustained progression of disability	38 ^b	34 ^c	21 ^d	24 ^d	7 ^d
New or enlarging T2 lesions	85 ^a	74 ^a	71 ^a	73 ^a	54 ^a
New T1-hypointense lesions	72 ^a	63 ^a	57 ^a	65 ^a	41 ^b
New gadolinium-enhancing lesions	90 ^a	73 ^a	74 ^a	65 ^a	61 ^a

Abbreviations: BID, twice daily dosing; GA, glatiramer acetate; TID, three times daily dosing.

Note: Main clinical and MRI outcomes from the DEFINE (Determination of the Efficacy and Safety of Oral Fumarate in Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis) and CONFIRM (Comparator and an Oral Fumarate in Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis) phase III clinical trials.

^a $p < 0.001$.

^b $p \leq 0.01$.

^c $p < 0.05$.

^dNot significant.

study, only 13 to 17% of patients in each group had sustained progression of disability, which was lower than the 16 to 27% of patients with progressive disability in the DEFINE study. This difference may contribute to the difference in progression outcomes between the two studies. BG-12 also showed a robust effect on MRI measures of inflammatory disease activity in both studies: the number of new or newly enlarging T2 lesions on MRI was reduced by 71 to 85%; the number of Gd+ lesions were reduced by 65 to 90%, and new T1 black holes were reduced by 57 to 72% (all $p < 0.001$; ▶ **Table 2**).

Compared with placebo, GA performed similarly to that seen in previous clinical trials,^{4,71} with a 29% reduction in ARR and a 29% reduction in the risk of relapse. The proportion with sustained progression of disability was not different from placebo (7% reduction, $p = 0.70$). GA reduced new or newly enlarging T2 lesions by 54% ($p < 0.001$), Gd+ lesions by 61% ($p < 0.001$), and T1 black holes by 41% ($p = 0.002$). Compared with placebo, the treatment effect of BG-12 was numerically greater than GA for all clinical and imaging outcomes. In a post hoc direct comparison, BG-12 showed a greater treatment effect than GA on ARR (three times daily only, $p = 0.02$); new or enlarging T2 lesions (twice daily, $p = 0.007$; three times daily, $p = 0.002$); and new T1 lesions (three times daily only, $p = 0.003$).

Importantly, except for the additional GA treatment arm in the CONFIRM study, both the DEFINE and CONFIRM studies were identically designed, with the same inclusion and exclusion criteria, treatment assignments, clinical management, safety monitoring, and outcome criteria. This identical study design allowed a subsequent, preplanned combined analysis of both studies, comparing each BG-12 dose to placebo. This combined analysis found a reduction in the proportion of patients with sustained progression of disability of 32% (BG-12 twice daily, $p = 0.003$) and 30% (BG-12 three times daily, $p = 0.006$).⁷² In addition, the combined analysis showed a 49% reduction in ARR with both BG-12 dosing regimens ($p < 0.0001$), and a reduction in the risk of relapse of 43% (twice daily, $p < 0.0001$) and 47% (three times daily, $p < 0.0001$). MRI lesion reduction in the combined analysis was similarly robust, with 73 to 78% reduction in new or enlarging T2 lesions ($p < 0.0001$ for both doses), 64 to 65% reduction in new T1 lesions ($p < 0.0001$), and 70 to 83% reduction in the odds of having a greater number of Gd+ lesions vs placebo ($p < 0.0001$).⁷³

Safety and Tolerability

BG-12 was generally well tolerated in both phase III trials, with skin flushing and gastrointestinal events reported as the most common BG-12 associated adverse events. Skin flushing was reported in 28 to 38% of BG-12 treated patients in both studies, compared with 5 to 6% of placebo patients. Skin flushing occurs approximately 30 to 45 minutes after dosing, typically involves the chest, neck, and face, and usually resolves spontaneously after 15 to 30 minutes. In a small study with healthy volunteers, aspirin was shown to almost completely block BG-12-related flushing.⁶⁹ Gastrointestinal events were reported in 36 to 41% of BG-12 treated patients in both studies, compared with 26% of placebo patients. These

gastrointestinal events include nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and diarrhea. Flushing led to treatment discontinuation in 1 to 4% of BG-12 treated patients, and nausea or diarrhea each led to treatment discontinuation in 0 to 2% of BG-12 treated patients. The incidence of both flushing and gastrointestinal events peak in the first month of treatment, and significantly decline thereafter. The incidence of serious adverse events was similar between BG-12 groups and placebo. There was an equal, low rate of cancer across placebo and treatment groups in both studies.

Infections were observed in 56 to 68% of BG-12 treated patients, compared with 50 to 65% of placebo-treated patients in both studies. The incidence of serious infections was 1 to 2% across all groups. No opportunistic infections were reported in BG-12-treated patients. There was a reduction in mean white cell count (11–12%) and mean lymphocyte count (28–32%) in both BG-12 treatment groups during the first year, which then plateaued and remained within the normal range. White blood cell counts $< 3.0 \times 10^9/L$ were seen in 7 to 10% of BG-12-treated patients (and 1% of placebo), while lymphocyte counts $< 0.5 \times 10^9/L$ were seen in 4 to 5% of BG-12-treated patients (and $< 1\%$ of placebo); none of these patients were discontinued from BG-12 due to these laboratory values. An increased incidence of mildly elevated liver aminotransferase levels was seen during the first few months of treatment in the DEFINE (6% in BG-12 groups, and 3% in placebo) study, but not in the CONFIRM study.

Ongoing Studies

At the conclusion of both the DEFINE and CONFIRM studies, patients who successfully completed 2 years of follow-up were offered enrollment in an open-label extension study. Patients originally randomized to BG-12 continued their previous regimen (twice daily or three times daily), and patients originally randomized to placebo or GA were re-randomized to either BG-12 twice daily or BG-12 three times daily. Follow-up includes clinical, MRI, and safety assessments. Although regulatory submission included only twice daily dosing, the inclusion of three times daily in the long-term follow-up will identify whether the three times daily regimen may have long-term benefits over a twice daily regimen that were not apparent over the first 2 years of treatment.

In addition, a 6-month, open-label, add-on study has been conducted in patients receiving either IFN β – 1 or GA, intended primarily to evaluate the safety of adding BG-12 to standard first-line disease modifying therapies. This combination study is complete, although results have not yet been reported.

Discussion

BG-12 shows robust efficacy in relapsing MS as measured by relapse rate, disability progression, and new lesions on MRI. Although a significant slowing in sustained progression of disability was shown only in the DEFINE study, a preplanned combined analysis of DEFINE and CONFIRM showed that both

Table 3 Key outcomes in recent phase III oral MS treatment trials

Reduction in:	BG-12 (bid only)		Fingolimod (0.5 mg only)		Teriflunomide (14 mg only)				
	DEFINE ⁵³ (%)	CONFIRM ⁵² (%)	Average (%)	FREEDOMS ⁸ (%)	FREEDOMS II ⁷⁸ (%)	Average (%)	TEMSO ¹⁰ (%)	TOWER ⁷⁹ (%)	Average (%)
Annualized relapse rate	53	44	48.5	54	48	51.0	31	36	33.5
Risk of relapse	49	34	41.5	52	48	50.0	28	n/a	28.0
Disability slowing	38	21	29.5	30	17	23.5	26	32	29.0
New/enlarging T2 lesions	85	71	78.0	74	74	74.0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gadolinium-enhancing lesions	90	74	82.0	82	70	76.0	80	n/a	80.0

Abbreviations: bid, twice daily; CONFIRM, Comparator and an Oral Fumarate in Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis; DEFINE, Determination of the Efficacy and Safety of Oral Fumarate in Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis; FREEDOMS, Efficacy and Safety of Fingolimod in Patients With Relapsing-remitting Multiple Sclerosis; TEMSO, Study of Teriflunomide in Reducing the Frequency of Relapses and Accumulation of Disability in Patients With Multiple Sclerosis; TOWER, An Efficacy Study of Teriflunomide in Patients With Relapsing Multiple Sclerosis.

BG-12 regimens significantly slowed the progression of disability relative to placebo. BG-12 was also relatively well tolerated, with skin flushing and gastrointestinal events being the most common adverse events, leading to only a small proportion of treatment discontinuations. The incidence of these adverse events was maximal in the first month and declined thereafter, emphasizing the importance of patient education and early clinical follow-up after starting BG-12. The safety profile of BG-12 appears strong. BG-12 has been associated with only a slight increased incidence of infections (i.e., upper respiratory tract infections) and no increased incidence of opportunistic infections. Mild hepatic enzyme elevations were seen with BG-12 in the DEFINE study, but not the CONFIRM study. There was no increase in cancer in either study.

BG-12 also showed favorable efficacy compared with GA. Although the CONFIRM trial was neither designed nor powered to demonstrate superiority or noninferiority of BG-12 compared with GA, the magnitude of efficacy favored both doses of BG-12 across the majority of endpoints. Furthermore, the efficacy and safety of GA was generally consistent with results from its previous studies in relapsing MS,^{4,71} thus supporting the validity of the CONFIRM study results.

It is of interest to compare the efficacy of BG-12 with that of two other recently approved oral MS therapies: fingolimod and teriflunomide. All three therapies are oral and are generally well tolerated, which provide distinct advantages compared with the current injectable therapies. Each of these oral therapies has been evaluated in multiple placebo-controlled phase III trials in relapsing MS. Although each study was conducted somewhat differently and included patients from different regions of the world, previous meta-analyses suggest that the results of placebo-controlled trials in relapsing MS can be successfully compared one to another for relative efficacy.⁷⁴ Indeed, the similar results from separate phase III trials of injectable therapies (IFN β – 1 and GA) predicted the similar efficacies of these therapies when they were compared head-to-head.^{75–77} **Table 3** shows the results of the placebo-controlled, phase III trials of BG-12, fingolimod, and teriflunomide at a dosing currently approved or submitted for regulatory approval (240 mg twice daily for BG-12, 0.5 mg daily for fingolimod, and 14 mg daily for teriflunomide).^{8,10} The efficacy of the three therapies appears broadly comparable across clinical and imaging outcomes.

Conclusion

Despite advances in the treatment options for relapsing MS, there remains a need for an effective, safety, and well-tolerated MS disease modifying therapy. BG-12 appears to approach this ideal combination, with comparable efficacy to other approved oral therapies for MS. Over 20 years of extensive clinical experience with the fumaric acids in psoriasis suggests that BG-12 may have very strong long-term safety. The mechanisms of BG-12 action are unique and appear to involve both immunomodulatory as well as separate neuroprotective components. Altogether, BG-12 appears

to be a very promising and unique addition to the therapeutic options for relapsing MS.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Phillips receives consulting fees from Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Novartis, Sanofi, and Teva, and grant support from Roche. Dr. Fox receives consulting fees from Avanir, Biogen Idec, EMD Serono, Novartis, and Questcor, and grant support from Novartis.

References

- Jacobs LD, Cookfair DL, Rudick RA, et al; The Multiple Sclerosis Collaborative Research Group (MSCRG). Intramuscular interferon beta-1a for disease progression in relapsing multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 1996;39(3):285–294
- The IFNB Multiple Sclerosis Study Group and The University of British Columbia MS/MRI Analysis Group. Interferon beta-1b in the treatment of multiple sclerosis: final outcome of the randomized controlled trial. *Neurology* 1995;45(7):1277–1285
- PRISMS Study Group. Randomised double-blind placebo-controlled study of interferon beta-1a in relapsing/remitting multiple sclerosis. PRISMS (Prevention of Relapses and Disability by Interferon beta-1a Subcutaneously in Multiple Sclerosis) Study Group. *Lancet* 1998; 352(9139):1498–1504
- Johnson KP, Brooks BR, Cohen JA, et al. Copolymer 1 reduces relapse rate and improves disability in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: results of a phase III multicenter, double-blind placebo-controlled trial. The Copolymer 1 Multiple Sclerosis Study Group. *Neurology* 1995;45(7):1268–1276
- Polman CH, O'Connor PW, Havrdova E, et al; AFFIRM Investigators. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of natalizumab for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354(9):899–910
- Rudick RA, Stuart WH, Calabresi PA, et al; SENTINEL Investigators. Natalizumab plus interferon beta-1a for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354(9):911–923
- Clifford DB, De Luca A, Simpson DM, Arendt G, Giovannoni G, Nath A. Natalizumab-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in patients with multiple sclerosis: lessons from 28 cases. *Lancet Neurol* 2010;9(4):438–446
- Kappos L, Radue EW, O'Connor P, et al; FREEDOMS Study Group. A placebo-controlled trial of oral fingolimod in relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362(5):387–401
- Cohen JA, Barkhof F, Comi G, et al; TRANSFORMS Study Group. Oral fingolimod or intramuscular interferon for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362(5):402–415
- O'Connor P, Wolinsky JS, Confavreux C, et al; TEMSO Trial Group. Randomized trial of oral teriflunomide for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365(14):1293–1303
- Genzyme Corp. Aubagio (Teriflunomide). Highlights of Prescribing Information. Available at: <http://products.sanofi.us/aubagio/aubagio.pdf>. Accessed September 14, 2012
- Schweckendiek W. Heilung von Psoriasis vulgaris. [Treatment of psoriasis vulgaris]. *Med Monatsschr* 1959;13(2):103–104
- Schweckendiek W. Behandlung von psoriasis mit lipoidlöslichen fumarsäureverbindungen. *Medizin Heute* 1966;15:219–220
- Schafer G. Fumarsäure lindert die schuppenflechte. *Selecta* 1984;15:1260–1261
- Nugteren-Huying WM, van der Schroeff JG, Hermans J, Suurmond D. Fumaric acid therapy for psoriasis: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1990;22(2 Pt 1):311–312
- Altmeyer PJ, Matthes U, Pawlak F, et al. Antipsoriatic effect of fumaric acid derivatives. Results of a multicenter double-blind study in 100 patients. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1994;30(6):977–981
- Hoefnagel JJ, Thio HB, Willemze R, Bouwes Bavinck JN. Long-term safety aspects of systemic therapy with fumaric acid esters in severe psoriasis. *Br J Dermatol* 2003;149(2):363–369
- Nieboer C, de Hoop D, Langendijk PN, van Loenen AC, Gubbels J. Fumaric acid therapy in psoriasis: a double-blind comparison between fumaric acid compound therapy and monotherapy with dimethylfumaric acid ester. *Dermatologica* 1990;181(1):33–37
- Werdenberg D, Joshi R, Wolffram S, Merkle HP, Langguth P. Presystemic metabolism and intestinal absorption of antipsoriatic fumaric acid esters. *Biopharm Drug Dispos* 2003;24(6):259–273
- Litjens NH, Burggraaf J, van Strijen E, et al. Pharmacokinetics of oral fumarates in healthy subjects. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2004;58(4):429–432
- Rostami-Yazdi M, Clement B, Mrowietz U. Pharmacokinetics of anti-psoriatic fumaric acid esters in psoriasis patients. *Arch Dermatol Res* 2010;302(7):531–538
- Mrowietz U, Christophers E, Altmeyer P; The German Fumaric Acid Ester Consensus Conference. Treatment of severe psoriasis with fumaric acid esters: scientific background and guidelines for therapeutic use. The German Fumaric Acid Ester Consensus Conference. *Br J Dermatol* 1999;141(3):424–429
- Rostami-Yazdi M, Clement B, Schmidt TJ, Schinor D, Mrowietz U. Detection of metabolites of fumaric acid esters in human urine: implications for their mode of action. *J Invest Dermatol* 2009;129(1):231–234
- Schilling S, Goelz S, Linker R, Luehder F, Gold R. Fumaric acid esters are effective in chronic experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and suppress macrophage infiltration. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2006;145(1):101–107
- Linker RA, Lee DH, Ryan S, et al. Fumaric acid esters exert neuroprotective effects in neuroinflammation via activation of the Nrf2 antioxidant pathway. *Brain* 2011;134(Pt 3):678–692
- Duffy S, So A, Murphy TH. Activation of endogenous antioxidant defenses in neuronal cells prevents free radical-mediated damage. *J Neurochem* 1998;71(1):69–77
- Scannevin RH, Chollate S, Jung MY, et al. Fumarates promote cytoprotection of central nervous system cells against oxidative stress via the nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 pathway. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2012;341(1):274–284
- Lin SX, Lisi L, Dello Russo C, et al. The anti-inflammatory effects of dimethyl fumarate in astrocytes involve glutathione and haem oxygenase-1. *ASN Neuro* 2011;3(2):
- Gilgun-Sherki Y, Melamed E, Offen D. The role of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis: the need for effective antioxidant therapy. *J Neurol* 2004;251(3):261–268
- Haider L, Fischer MT, Frischer JM, et al. Oxidative damage in multiple sclerosis lesions. *Brain* 2011;134(Pt 7):1914–1924
- van Horsen J, Schreibeit G, Drexhage J, et al. Severe oxidative damage in multiple sclerosis lesions coincides with enhanced antioxidant enzyme expression. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2008;45(12):1729–1737
- Srinivasan R, Ratiney H, Hammond-Rosenbluth KE, Pelletier D, Nelson SJ. MR spectroscopic imaging of glutathione in the white and gray matter at 7 T with an application to multiple sclerosis. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2010;28(2):163–170
- van Horsen J, Drexhage JA, Flor T, Gerritsen W, van der Valk P, de Vries HE. Nrf2 and DJ1 are consistently upregulated in inflammatory multiple sclerosis lesions. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2010;49(8):1283–1289
- Johnson DA, Amirahmadi S, Ward C, Fabry Z, Johnson JA. The absence of the pro-antioxidant transcription factor Nrf2 exacerbates experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Toxicol Sci* 2010;114(2):237–246

- 35 Moharrehg-Khiabani D, Blank A, Skripuletz T, et al. Effects of fumaric acids on cuprizone induced central nervous system demyelination in the mouse. *PLoS ONE* 2010;5(7):e11769
- 36 Ellrichmann G, Petrasch-Parwez E, Lee DH, et al. Efficacy of fumaric acid esters in the R6/2 and YAC128 models of Huntington's disease. *PLoS ONE* 2011;6(1):e16172
- 37 Arnold HM, Huang C, Huang R, et al. Neuroprotective effects of bg-12 on malonate-induced striatal lesion volume in Sprague-Dawley rat brain. *Neurology* 2012;78:01P02121
- 38 Ghoreschi K, Mrowietz U, Röcken M. A molecule solves psoriasis? Systemic therapies for psoriasis inducing interleukin 4 and Th2 responses. *J Mol Med (Berl)* 2003;81(8):471–480
- 39 Frohman EM, Racke MK, Raine CS. Multiple sclerosis—the plaque and its pathogenesis. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354(9):942–955
- 40 Thio HB, Zomerdijk TP, Oudshoorn C, et al. Fumaric acid derivatives evoke a transient increase in intracellular free calcium concentration and inhibit the proliferation of human keratinocytes. *Br J Dermatol* 1994;131(6):856–861
- 41 de Jong R, Bezemer AC, Zomerdijk TP, van de Pouw-Kraan T, Ottenhoff TH, Nibbering PH. Selective stimulation of T helper 2 cytokine responses by the anti-psoriasis agent monomethylfumarate. *Eur J Immunol* 1996;26(9):2067–2074
- 42 Asadullah K, Schmid H, Friedrich M, et al. Influence of monomethylfumarate on monocytic cytokine formation—explanation for adverse and therapeutic effects in psoriasis? *Arch Dermatol Res* 1997;289(11):623–630
- 43 Zoghi S, Amirghofran Z, Nikseresht A, Ashjzadeh N, Kamali-Sarvestani E, Rezaei N. Cytokine secretion pattern in treatment of lymphocytes of multiple sclerosis patients with fumaric acid esters. *Immunol Invest* 2011;40(6):581–596
- 44 Litjens NH, Rademaker M, Ravensbergen B, Thio HB, van Dissel JT, Nibbering PH. Effects of monomethylfumarate on dendritic cell differentiation. *Br J Dermatol* 2006;154(2):211–217
- 45 Vandermeeren M, Janssens S, Borgers M, Geysen J. Dimethylfumarate is an inhibitor of cytokine-induced E-selectin, VCAM-1, and ICAM-1 expression in human endothelial cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1997;234(1):19–23
- 46 Zhu KJ, Mrowietz U. Inhibition of dendritic cell differentiation by fumaric acid esters. *J Invest Dermatol* 2001;116(2):203–208
- 47 Litjens NH, Rademaker M, Ravensbergen B, et al. Monomethylfumarate affects polarization of monocyte-derived dendritic cells resulting in down-regulated Th1 lymphocyte responses. *Eur J Immunol* 2004;34(2):565–575
- 48 Peng H, Guerau-de-Arellano M, Mehta VB, et al. Dimethyl fumarate inhibits dendritic cell maturation via nuclear factor κ B (NF- κ B) and extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 and 2 (ERK1/2) and mitogen stress activated kinase 1 (MSK1) signaling. *J Biol Chem* 2012;287(33):28017–28026
- 49 Ghoreschi K, Brück J, Kellerer C, et al. Fumarates improve psoriasis and multiple sclerosis by inducing type II dendritic cells. *J Exp Med* 2011;208(11):2291–2303
- 50 Treumer F, Zhu K, Gläser R, Mrowietz U. Dimethylfumarate is a potent inducer of apoptosis in human T cells. *J Invest Dermatol* 2003;121(6):1383–1388
- 51 Schimrigk S, Brune N, Hellwig K, et al. Oral fumaric acid esters for the treatment of active multiple sclerosis: an open-label, baseline-controlled pilot study. *Eur J Neurol* 2006;13(6):604–610
- 52 Fox RJ, Miller DH, Phillips JT, et al; CONFIRM Study Investigators. Placebo-controlled phase 3 study of oral BG-12 or glatiramer in multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367(12):1087–1097
- 53 Gold R, Kappos L, Arnold DL, et al; DEFINE Study Investigators. Placebo-controlled phase 3 study of oral BG-12 for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367(12):1098–1107
- 54 Talalay P, De Long MJ, Prochaska HJ. Identification of a common chemical signal regulating the induction of enzymes that protect against chemical carcinogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1988;85(21):8261–8265
- 55 Friling RS, Bensimon A, Tichauer Y, Daniel V. Xenobiotic-inducible expression of murine glutathione S-transferase Ya subunit gene is controlled by an electrophile-responsive element. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1990;87(16):6258–6262
- 56 Rushmore TH, Pickett CB. Transcriptional regulation of the rat glutathione S-transferase Ya subunit gene. Characterization of a xenobiotic-responsive element controlling inducible expression by phenolic antioxidants. *J Biol Chem* 1990;265(24):14648–14653
- 57 Itoh K, Mimura J, Yamamoto M. Discovery of the negative regulator of Nrf2, Keap1: a historical overview. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 2010;13(11):1665–1678
- 58 Li W, Kong AN. Molecular mechanisms of Nrf2-mediated antioxidant response. *Mol Carcinog* 2009;48(2):91–104
- 59 Takaya K, Suzuki T, Motohashi H, et al. Validation of the multiple sensor mechanism of the Keap1-Nrf2 system. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2012;53(4):817–827
- 60 Brigelius-Flohé R, Flohé L. Basic principles and emerging concepts in the redox control of transcription factors. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 2011;15(8):2335–2381
- 61 Schmidt TJ, Ak M, Mrowietz U. Reactivity of dimethyl fumarate and methylhydrogen fumarate towards glutathione and N-acetyl-L-cysteine—preparation of S-substituted thiosuccinic acid esters. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2007;15(1):333–342
- 62 Lehmann JCU, Listopad JJ, Rentzsch CU, et al. Dimethylfumarate induces immunosuppression via glutathione depletion and subsequent induction of heme oxygenase 1. *J Invest Dermatol* 2007;127(4):835–845
- 63 Schmidt MM, Dringen R. Fumaric acid diesters deprive cultured primary astrocytes rapidly of glutathione. *Neurochem Int* 2010;57(4):460–467
- 64 Thiessen A, Schmidt MM, Dringen R. Fumaric acid dialkyl esters deprive cultured rat oligodendroglial cells of glutathione and upregulate the expression of heme oxygenase 1. *Neurosci Lett* 2010;475(1):56–60
- 65 Gerdes S, Shakery K, Mrowietz U. Dimethylfumarate inhibits nuclear binding of nuclear factor kappaB but not of nuclear factor of activated T cells and CCAAT/enhancer binding protein beta in activated human T cells. *Br J Dermatol* 2007;156(5):838–842
- 66 Gesser B, Johansen C, Rasmussen MK, et al. Dimethylfumarate specifically inhibits the mitogen and stress-activated kinases 1 and 2 (MSK1/2): possible role for its anti-psoriatic effect. *J Invest Dermatol* 2007;127(9):2129–2137
- 67 Bista P, Zeng WK, Ryan S, Lukashev M, Yamamoto M. Dimethyl fumarate suppresses inflammation in vitro via both nrf2-dependent and nrf2-independent pathways. *Neurology* 2012;78(Meeting Abstracts 1):P02.108
- 68 Hanson J, Gille A, Zwykiel S, et al. Nicotinic acid- and monomethyl fumarate-induced flushing involves GPR109A expressed by keratinocytes and COX-2-dependent prostanoid formation in mice. *J Clin Invest* 2010;120(8):2910–2919
- 69 Sheikh SI, Nestorov I, Russell H, et al. Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of bg-12 administered with and without aspirin: key findings from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in healthy volunteers [abstract]. *Neurology* 2012;78 (Meeting Abstracts 1): P04.136
- 70 Kappos L, Gold R, Miller DH, et al; BG-12 Phase IIb Study Investigators. Efficacy and safety of oral fumarate in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase IIb study. *Lancet* 2008;372(9648):1463–1472
- 71 Comi G, Filippi M, Wolinsky JS; European/Canadian Glatiramer Acetate Study Group. European/Canadian multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study of the effects of glatiramer acetate on magnetic resonance imaging—measured disease activity and burden in patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2001;49(3):290–297
- 72 Gold R, Miller DH, Phillips JT, et al. Clinical efficacy of BG-12 in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: an integrated analysis of

- the phase 3 DEFINE and CONFIRM studies. Paper presented at: 28th Congress of the European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis; October 10–13, 2012; Lyon, France
- 73 Miller D, Gold R, Fox RJ, et al. Effects of BG-12 on magnetic resonance imaging outcomes in relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis: an integrated analysis of the phase 3 define and confirm studies. Paper presented at: 28th Congress of the European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis; October 10–13, 2012; Lyon, France
- 74 Sormani MP, Bonzano L, Roccatagliata L, Cutter GR, Mancardi GL, Bruzzi P. Magnetic resonance imaging as a potential surrogate for relapses in multiple sclerosis: a meta-analytic approach. *Ann Neurol* 2009;65(3):268–275
- 75 Mikol DD, Barkhof F, Chang P, et al; REGARD study group. Comparison of subcutaneous interferon beta-1a with glatiramer acetate in patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis (the REbif vs Glatiramer Acetate in Relapsing MS Disease [REGARD] study): a multicentre, randomised, parallel, open-label trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2008;7(10):903–914
- 76 Cadavid D, Wolansky LJ, Skurnick J, et al. Efficacy of treatment of MS with IFNbeta-1b or glatiramer acetate by monthly brain MRI in the BECOME study. *Neurology* 2009;72(23):1976–1983
- 77 O'Connor P, Arnason B, Comi G, et al. Interferon beta-1b 500 mcg, interferon beta-1b 250 mcg and glatiramer acetate: primary outcomes of the Betaferon®/Betaseron® efficacy yielding outcomes of a new dose study. *Neurology* 2008;70(11):LBS.004
- 78 Calabresi P, Radue EW, Goodin D, et al. Efficacy and safety of fingolimod in patients with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS): Results from an additional 24-month double-blind, placebo-controlled study (FREEDOMS II study). *Neurology* 2012;79:e90–e91
- 79 Kappos L, Comi G, Confavreux C, et al. The efficacy and safety of teriflunomide in patients with relapsing MS: Results from TOWER, a phase III, placebo-controlled study (abstract). Abstract presented at: 28th Congress of the European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis; October 9–13, 2012; Lyon, France