Feasibility of endoscopic mucosa-submucosa clip closure method (with video)
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ABSTRACT
Background and study aims We developed a new endoscopic closure technique using just conventional endoclips. The feasibility of endoscopic mucosa-submucosa clip closure method was evaluated in this clinical pilot study.
Patients and methods This study involved consecutive 25 patients who underwent colorectal endoscopic submucosal dissection. Endoclips were placed at the edge of the mucosal defect. Each arm of the endoclip gripped the mucosa and submucosa, respectively. The direction in which the endoclip grips were placed was parallel to the short axis of the defect. Several endoclips were applied in this way. As a result, the mucosal defect was significantly reduced in size. Additional clips were placed to achieve complete closure.
Results Mean size of resected specimen was 31.2 ± 11 mm. The success rate was 96 % (24/25). Mean procedure time was 9.6 ± 4.4 minutes. Mean number of endoclips was 9.3 ± 3.7. No complications were observed in any of the patients after the procedure.
Conclusion Endoscopic mucosa-submucosa clip closure method could close mucosal defect of size around 2–4 cm completely using just conventional endoclips, and it seems easy, simple and low cost.

Introduction
Recently, endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) has been gaining acceptance as an alternative to endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) with a high possibility of en bloc complete resection without limitation of lesion size [1–4]. Even when ESD is successful, there is a risk of delayed perforation or bleeding. Incidence of delayed perforation and postoperative bleeding following colorectal ESD are 0.2 % to 0.4 % [5, 6] and 0.7 % to 2.2 %, [7–9] respectively. Furthermore, postoperative bleeding rates in patients receiving antithrombotic agents were reported to range from 22.5 % to 25 % [10, 11]. Several recent studies advocated closure of the defects after EMR and ESD with endoclips to prevent delayed adverse events [12, 13]. Liaquat et al. reported that closure after EMR (lesions >2 cm) drastically decreased incidence of delayed hemorrhage from 9.7 % to 1.8 %.

However, the size of the mucosal defect after ESD is relatively large compared with the size after EMR, making it difficult to achieve complete closure using only conventional endoclips.

Several useful methods have been reported such as endoscopic purse-string suture [14], slip knot clip suturing method [15–17], string clip suturing method [18, 19], and “loop clip” [20]. However, these methods need endo-loop, double-channel endoscope, string, or supplement devices. We previously reported a closure method using just conventional clips [21]. Here, we report results of a clinical pilot study of the endoscopic mucosa-submucosa clip closure method.
Patients and methods

Patients
The current study involved 25 consecutive patients who underwent colorectal ESD at Tokyo Medical Center between May 2017 and February 2018. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) tumor more than 6 cm; (2) immediate perforation during ESD; (3) lesions extending to anus or ileocecal valve; and (4) presence of clinically significant underlying disease (serious cardiopulmonary, hepatic or renal disease). This study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Tokyo Medical Center (the registration number: R17-096), and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Mucosa-submucosa clip closure method
Endoclips (EZ Clip, HX-610-090 L [long-type], OLYMPUS, Tokyo, Japan) were placed at the edge of the mucosal defect after colorectal ESD. Each arm of the endoclip gripped the mucosa and submucosa, respectively. The direction of the endoclips was parallel to the short axis of the defect. The second endoclip was also applied in this way. Additional endoclips were required to achieve complete closure (Fig. 1). Finally, endoscopic inspection was performed to visually confirm complete closure. A single-channel endoscope was used in this study.

Evaluation of the procedure
We evaluated success rate, mean procedure time, number of clips and adverse events (AEs). Success rate was defined as the percentage of success (complete closure of mucosal defect) among a number of enrolled patients. “Complete closure of mucosal defect” was defined as complete closure of the whole resection site with clips. Procedure time was measured from insertion of first clip to completion of the procedure. Delayed perforation was defined as no perforation during ESD and no symptoms immediately after tumor removal with subsequent sudden appearance of abdominal pain with free air on X-ray. The definition of delayed bleeding was bleeding symptom or hemoglobin loss (≥2 g/dL).

Statistics
All continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation.
Results

Characteristics of and outcomes in the 25 patients are summarized in ▶ Table 1. Mean age was 65 ± 11 years. Mean size of resected specimen was 31.2 ± 10.6 mm. In 24 of 25 patients (96%), complete closure was achieved with the mucosa-submucosa clip closure method.

The mucosa-submucosa clip closure method failed in one case (patient no. 13), in which the lesion was located at a flexure of the sigmoid colon, and the size was 60 mm. Although approximately 80% of the mucosal defect was closed with 13 clips, the mucosal defect was partially left open.

Mean procedure time was 9.6 ± 4.4 minutes. Mean number of endoclips was 9.3 ± 3.7. The rate of complications such as postoperative bleeding and perforation was 0%.

Discussion

In the current study, our newly developed closure technique, endoscopic mucosa-submucosa clip closure method, was successfully carried out on most of the patients with an acceptable procedure time. Our results indicate that the endoscopic mucosa-submucosa clip closure method is a feasible technique for closing mucosal defects that measure 2 to 4 cm after colorectal ESD.

The efficacy of clipping for preventing AEs after colorectal ESD is still controversial [22]. However, it would be effective to perform prophylactic clipping in patients at high risk of AEs. The endoscopic mucosa-submucosa clip closure method may be a promising option for patients with muscle layer injury or perforation during colorectal ESD, and patients who are taking antithrombotic drugs.
Mean procedure time in this study was 9.6 ± 4.4 minutes. Wang et al. reported that mean procedure time was 13.5 minutes (range 8–20) for endoscopic purse-string suture [23]. We previously reported that mean procedure time was 18.2 ± 3.3 minutes for “slip knot clip suturing method” [17], and 23.4 ± 13.8 minutes for “string clip suturing method” [19].

String-assisted closure techniques sometimes are stuck by a tangle of the string. The endoscopic mucosa-submucosa clip closure method is simple without string or a special device, and procedure time seems relatively short.

There are some reports of endoscopic closure for large mucosal defects. These include endoscopic purse-string suture [14, 23], use of the Overstitch endoscopic suturing system (Apollo Endosurgery Inc, United States) [24], and over-the-scope clip (OTSC) system (Ovesco Endoscopy, Germany) [25, 26]. The cost of the OTSC system is ¥79800 (US$725). The average cost of mucosa-submucosa clip closure method per patient was US$ 75.6 (9.3 clips per patient).

Complete closure of a mucosal defect measuring less than 30 mm is not so difficult with the conventional endoclips method. In the conventional endoclips method, each arm of the endoclips grips mucosa and mucosa (both sides of the mucosal defect). However, one arm of the endoclips often slips from the mucosa. The failed clip is useless and hampers the next step. Such situation might be stressful for an endoscopist. With the endoscopic mucosa-submucosa clip closure method, each arm of the endoclips grips the mucosa and submucosa, respectively. Neither arm of the endoclips slips, and clipping would be the result that an endoscopist expects. Therefore, stress for the endoscopist is eliminated.

This feasibility trial is limited by its small sample size. We did not evaluate the superiority over other methods. However, the high procedural success rate and relatively short procedure time showed the method’s technical feasibility. One of two large mucosal defects larger than 5 cm could not be closed completely in this study. This method is not appropriate for a large mucosal defect. Although the rate of complete closure of large mucosal defect was low in this study, further examination is needed.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the endoscopic mucosa-submucosa clip closure method was effective for complete closure of mucosal defects measuring 2 to 4 cm using just conventional endoclips, and it seems easy, simple and low cost. However, the utility and safety of this method will need to be verified in a large comparative study.
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